

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

AIRTEL

Transmit the following Teletype message to:

DIRECTOR, FBI

LEAVING FOR SYRACUSE, NY, TEN FORTY FIVE PM, EST, NY
CENTRAL RR, ROOMETTE 5, CAR NINE NINE FIVE, TO ATTEND
ANNUAL CONFERENCE NY STATE ASSOCIATION OF CHIEFS OF POLICE,
HOTEL ONONDAGA, SYRACUSE. AT SYRACUSE UNTIL THURSDAY,
JULY 23rd.

L.V.⁰ BOARDMAN

Noted in
Comm. Sect
By Lew/mj

cc Movement

LVB:MT

JUL 21 1953

82 JUL 24 1953

Approved: L.V. Boardman

Sent

M

Per. [Signature]

Special Agent in Charge

Mr. Tolson	
Mr. Ladd	
Mr. Nichols	
Mr. Belmont	
Mr. Clegg	
Mr. Glavin	
Mr. Harbo	
Mr. Rosen	
Mr. Tracy	
Mr. Egan	
Mr. Gurnea	
Mr. Hendon	
Mr. Pennington	
Mr. Quinn	
Mr. Nease	
Miss Gandy	

OFFICE MEMORANDUM

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : MR. TOLSON

DATE: 7/9/53

FROM : G. C. GEARTY

SUBJECT:

On 7/9/53 SAC Boardman of the New York Office advised that on this date he was forwarding to the Bureau a request for three weeks of annual leave beginning July 27, 1953. He indicated he would appreciate it if he could be promptly notified in the event the leave was approved in view of the fact it was necessary for him to make airplane reservations for himself and his family to Minnesota.

DIRECTORS NOTATION "OK" H.

You inquired as to the status of the inspection at New York.

For your information, it is anticipated the inspection will terminate this weekend. Inspector Mason advised that based on information he has received from Inspector Brown, the New York Office appears to be in much better shape at this time than it was following the inspection seven months ago. He stated that it appeared the office had been working very hard to bring it into its present status. There still were, however, at least three problems which are known to the Bureau.

(1) Space, there being no immediate prospect of alleviating this situation.

DIRECTORS NOTATION "JUST WHAT IS THIS PROBLEM? I THOUGHT NEW BLDG WAS ADEQUATE. H.

(2) The Security work, the delinquency of which is being gradually eliminated.

(3) Stenographic shortage, concerning which the office seems to be progressing satisfactorily.

Mason indicated the various problems are being gradually corrected. He said there was a possibility that in six months the New York Office would be up to date. He also indicated that the present complement of Agents appears to be satisfactory in the event the number is kept constant.

GCC:DW

89 JUL 24 1953

AIR-TEL

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

New York, N. Y.
6/26/53

Transmit the following Teletype message to: BUREAU
FBI NA RETRAINING SESSION, LAKE PLACID, NEW YORK, JUNE
29, 30, JULY 1. DEPARTING VIA NEW YORK CENTRAL 12:30 PM
DAYLIGHT TIME, ARRIVING IN ALBANY 3:49 PM, DAYLIGHT TIME.
TRAVELING WITH SAC J. J. KELLY FROM ALBANY TO LAKE PLACID.
AT LAKE PLACID CARE OF HOTEL ST. MORITZ. RETURNING TO
NEW YORK JULY 2, 1953. ASAC WHELAN ACTING.

FD-36
Mr. Tolson _____
Mr. Ladd _____
Mr. Nichols _____
Mr. Belmont _____
Mr. Clegg _____
Mr. Glavin _____
Mr. Rosen _____
Mr. Tracy _____
Mr. Egan _____
Mr. Gurnea _____
Mr. Harbo _____
Mr. Hendon _____
Mr. Jones _____
Mr. Mumford _____
Mr. Quinn Tamm _____
Mr. Nease _____
Tele. Room _____
Mr. Holloman _____
Mr. Sizoo _____
Miss Gandy _____

Noted in
Comm. Sect. L.V. BOARDMAN
by SLW SM

3 - BUREAU (Reg. Mail)

LVB:SLM

oc movement

~~20 JUN 29 1953~~

20

Approved: *L.V. Boardman*

Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M

Per _____

NOT RECORDED-12

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Director, FBI
 FROM : SAC, Minneapolis

DATE: June 4, 1953

SUBJECT:

ReBumemo June 1, 1953 relative to the twisted news item which announced the arrest of Leland V. Boardman, 26, an apparel salesman.

The article of reference was clipped from the Minneapolis Tribune by an amused clerk. I dropped it, with comment, in the New York mail thinking that Mr. Boardman would be pleased at seeing his name in print in a Minnesota paper even in a garbled news account. The article appeared not in a regular news item, but in a condensation of national news. The matter is of such a minor nature that Gideon Seymour would probably wonder what had happened to us if we even mentioned the matter to him.

No further action will be taken unless the Bureau so directs.

WGB:RSK

CC: New York

67-38609-445	
Searched	26
12 JUN 15 1953	
JUN 10 1953	

No further action
WNV
6/9/53

JUN 22 1953

1 L.V. Boardman

OFFICE MEMORANDUM

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : MR. TOLSON
FROM : H. H. CLEGG
SUBJECT: INSPECTIONS

DATE: 5/4/53

The following Special Agents in Charge, who are on probation, have recently had inspections and therefore it is not contemplated that they will be inspected again at any early date:

- (1) C. E. WEEKS - Honolulu, inspected 4/10/53 and continued on probation. Weeks now under transfer to Memphis and in an inspection of the Memphis Office last month, the office appeared to be in generally good condition.
- (2) J. K. MUMFORD - Dallas - inspected 4/21/53 - put on probation 4/22/ for not notifying the Bureau of improper handling of a fugitive apprehension.

SAC's On Probation & Offices Now Under Inspection or Inspection Finished in Immediate Past

- (1) ARTHUR CORNELIUS, Jr. - Salt Lake City - inspection ended today. Cornelius has been on probation since 7/8/52 because of weaknesses in the Philadelphia inspection and subsequently for improper handling of the FinnCase in Salt Lake City.
- (2) GEORGE C. BURTON - Portland - Inspection finished a week ago. Report will be submitted within next few days. Burton has been on probation since 11/8/52 because of delinquencies discovered in the Denver inspection.
- (3) J. J. CASPER - New Haven - On probation since 12/8/52 because of delinquencies discovered during inspection of New Haven Office. Is now being inspected by Stein. This inspection will continue about another week and a prompt report will be submitted.
- (4) G. B. NORRIS - Phoenix - Inspection will probably be completed about 5/13 or 5/14 and a prompt report will be submitted. Norris has been on probation since 4/9 because of improper handling of an extortion investigation.

EDM/wl

79
4 JUL 29 1953

ORIGINAL FILED IN 67-030-1899

SAC's on Probation & Inspections Scheduled as Follows:

- (1) R. N. HOSTENY - Springfield - On probation since 10/30/52; because of delinquencies discovered in Springfield inspection. Hosteny was reinspected in February and continued on probation. If approved, Inspector Williams, who is enroute Washington, will be intercepted at Kansas City and instructed by phone to proceed to Springfield for a full inspection which will probably commence about 5/6. Williams left Salt Lake City today by train.
- (2) L. V. BOARDMAN - New York - on probation since 1/16/53 as result of inspection delinquencies. The Director authorized another inspection of New York in six months. Mr. B. C. Brown will conduct this inspection in early June. Until then, he will be continuing his West Coast trip.
- (3) J. M. LOPEZ - Birmingham - on probation since 2/18/53 because of not advising the Bureau of the identity of the Sr. Resident Agent at Monroe, La. or securing Bureau approval. At that time Lopez was SAC at New Orleans and an inspection there in January reflected generally favorable conditions. Since then, Lopez has been transferred to Birmingham as SAC. More recently, an anonymous letter has been received at the Bureau concerning Lopez and inasmuch as Birmingham was due for an inspection within the next few weeks, it is recommended that the inspection begin 5/11 by Inspector DeLoach.
- (4) C.H. CARSON - Atlanta - on probation since 3/3/52, because of inadequacies in carrying out his responsibilities in Los Angeles. Los Angeles now under inspection by B. C. Brown and it is too early to tell the nature of conditions for which Carson will be held responsible in the Los Angeles Office. Carson is now SAC at Atlanta. It has been previously approved for Inspector Williams to commence an inspection of Atlanta; it is now necessary to request permission for this to be reassigned to Inspector DeLoach and to follow DeLoach's inspection of Birmingham. If approved, this inspection will start about 5/25/53.
- (5) A. C. SCHLENKER - Savannah - on probation since 3/6/53 because of unsatisfactory handling and unexcusable delays in a Civil Rights investigation. It has been previously approved for Inspector Williams to handle this inspection and it is now necessary to request permission to reassign to DeLoach to be handled immediately following the Atlanta inspection, which will be about 6/8/53.

- (6) R. C. GODFREY - San Juan - on probation since 3/27/53 as result of inspection delinquencies. The Director has ordered an early recheck. If approved, Inspector Williams, after completing the Springfield inspection, will proceed to the Bureau, be here about a day, and then proceed to San Juan for a recheck. This will make the San Juan inspection start about 5/21.
- (7) E. L. BOYLE - Louisville - on probation since 4/9/53 because of delinquencies discovered in his office during a recent inspection. If approved, Inspector Williams will reinspect Louisville after completing the San Juan inspection. (Louisville will be third on his list) and the starting date will be about 6/8/53.
- (8) D. S. HOSTETTER - Newark - on probation since 4/14 as result of delinquencies found in the 3/53 inspection of the Boston Office. Newark was last inspected 2/13/53, under SAC McKee. We believe it advisable to proceed to Newark for another inspection at this time and recommend that Inspector Stein proceed there and commence an inspection immediately following his New Haven inspection. This would mean that the Newark inspection will begin about 5/11.

It would also mean that instead of starting an inspection of the Domestic Intelligence Division in about a week, as had been originally contemplated, Stein will not be able to start the Domestic Intelligence Division inspection until early June. We regret the delay in the Domestic Intelligence Division inspection but feel it most important to get early inspections for the offices named above as the Director previously instructed.

RECOMMENDATION: If the above plans are approved, this schedule set out will be followed.

Tolson's notation: "OK"

Director's Notation: "OK" H.

May 1, 1953

MEMORANDUM FOR THE DIRECTOR

The following Special Agents in Charge are presently on probation:

67-030-1902

<u>NAME</u>	<u>OFFICE</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>LAST INSPECTION</u>	<u>DATE OF LAST RECHECK</u>
C. E. Weeks	Honolulu	2-26-52	4-9-53	
	Continued			
	Continued			
	(Ordered to			
	Memphis as			
	SAC)			

L. V. BOARDMAN

REASON

Improper supervision of a security investigation in the Honolulu Division. Because of unsatisfactory condition of criminal informant coverage disclosed during inspection dated 10-28-52. Because of his poor judgment in connection with the posed pictures of SA's R. T. Burress and J. P. Condon which appeared in the Honolulu press.

<u>NAME</u>	<u>OFFICE</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>LAST INSPECTION</u>	<u>DATE OF LAST RECHECK</u>
A. Cornelius, Jr.	Salt Lake City	7-8-52	3-19-53	Under inspec-
	Continued	9-11-52		tion as of
	Continued	10-27-52		4-27-53
	Continued	2-6-53		

REASON

Failure to bring about the necessary improvement in the criminal informant coverage of the Philadelphia Office. Because of improper handling of case entitled "Fraud Against the Government and Interstate Transportation of Stolen Aircraft", involving Goerge and Charles Finn.

<u>NAME</u>	<u>OFFICE</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>LAST INSPECTION</u>	<u>DATE OF LAST RECHECK</u>
R. N. Hosteny	Springfield	10-30-52	2-12-53	

REASON

Delinquencies discovered during inspection of the Springfield Office dated 10-1-52.

<u>NAME</u>	<u>OFFICE</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>LAST INSPECTION</u>	<u>DATE OF LAST RECHECK</u>
G. C. Burton	Portland	11-18-52	3-3-53	

REASON

Because of delinquencies discovered by Inspector Stein.

126
22 AUG 5 1953

<u>NAME</u>	<u>OFFICE</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>LAST INSPECTION</u>	<u>DATE OF LAST RECHECK</u>
J. J. Casper	New Haven	12-8-52	11-7-52	Under inspection as of 4-27-53

REASON

Because of delinquencies discovered during inspection of the New Haven Office.

L. V. Boardman	New York	1-16-53	12-18-52	
----------------	----------	---------	----------	--

Because of delinquencies discovered during inspection of the New York Office.

J. M. Lopez	Birmingham	2-18-53	1-29-53 (New Orleans Office)	
-------------	------------	---------	---------------------------------	--

Because of not advising the Bureau of the identity of the Senior Resident Agent designated at Monroe, La. or securing Bureau approval for his designation in accordance with Bureau regulations.

C. H. Carson	Atlanta	3-3-53	5-28-52 (Los Angeles Office)	Under inspection as of 4-9-53
--------------	---------	--------	---------------------------------	-------------------------------

In view of the inept manner in which he had carried out the responsibilities of the Los Angeles Division.

A. C. Schlenker	Savannah	3-6-53	12-19-52	
-----------------	----------	--------	----------	--

Because of the unsatisfactory manner and inexcusable delays which occurred in connection with the investigation by the Savannah Division of the Civil rights matters involving Sam Z. McCutcheon, Jr.

R. C. Godfrey	San Juan	3-27-53	3-17-53	
---------------	----------	---------	---------	--

Because of certain findings by Inspector Van Pelt as a result of his recent analysis of the operations of the San Juan Division.

R. A. Newby	Quantico (Training & Inspection Division)	4-7-53		
-------------	--	--------	--	--

Failure to devote proper attention to handling of duties and responsibilities in connection with the inspection of the Louisville Office.

E. L. Boyle Louisville 4-9-53 3-17-53 _____

Delinquencies and weaknesses discovered during inspection of the Louisville Office.

G. B. Norris Phoenix 4-9-53 May of 1952 Under inspection as of 4-23-53

Improper handling of an extortion investigation.

D. S. Hostetter Newark 4-14-53 3-11-53 (Boston Office) _____

Because of delinquencies discovered during inspection of the Boston Office.

J. K. Mumford Dallas 4-22-53 4-21-53 _____

Because of an incident which occurred on the afternoon of 4-10-53, when an Agent of the Dallas Office attempted to apprehend Elson Salter, a Fugitive - Deserter.

SEAT OF GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS (INCLUDING SECTION CHIEFS)
ON PROBATION

<u>NAME</u>	<u>TITLE</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>REASON</u>
J. J. McGuire	Number One Man in Records and Communications Division	2-13-53	Improper supervision of employees located under him in the Records and Communications Division.
W. V. Cleveland	Number One Man to Mr. Belmont	3-12-53	Failure to report to his immediate supervisor an incident which resulted in a complaint to the Arlington County Police Department.

Respectfully,

W. P. Glavin

ORIGINAL FILED IN 69-030-1900

1 H. V. Boardman

April 1, 1953

MEMORANDUM FOR THE DIRECTOR

The following Special Agents in Charge are presently on probation:

<u>NAME</u>	<u>OFFICE</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>LAST INSPECTION</u>	<u>DATE OF LAST RECHECK</u>
C. E. WEEKS	Honolulu	2-26-52	10-28-52	Under inspection as of
	Continued	11-12-52		3-30-53

REASON
Improper supervision of a security investigation in the Honolulu Division. Because of unsatisfactory condition of criminal informant coverage disclosed during recent inspection.

<u>NAME</u>	<u>OFFICE</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>LAST INSPECTION</u>	<u>DATE OF LAST RECHECK</u>
A. Cornelius, Jr.	SALT Lake City	7-8-52	3-19-53	
	Continued	9-11-52		
	Continued	10-27-52		
	Continued	2-6-53		

REASON
in Salt Lake City

Failure to bring about the necessary improvement in the criminal informant coverage of the Philadelphia Office. Because of improper handline of case entitled "Fraud Against the Government and Interstate Transportation of Stolen Aircraft", involving George and Charles Finn.

<u>NAME</u>	<u>OFFICE</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>LAST INSPECTION</u>	<u>DATE OF LAST RECHECK</u>
G. D. King	Indianapolis	10-7-52	1-31-53	
	Continued	2-12-53		

REASON

Delinquencies discovered during inspection of the Indianapolis Office and the personal misconduct of the Agents at the Terre Haute, Resident Agency.

<u>NAME</u>	<u>OFFICE</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>LAST INSPECTION</u>	<u>DATE OF LAST RECHECK</u>
R. N. Hosteny	Springfield	10-30-52	2-12-53	

REASON

Delinquencies discovered during inspection of the Springfield Office dated 10-1-52.

4 JUL 29 1953

148

2 0

<u>NAME</u>	<u>OFFICE</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>LAST INSPECTION</u>	<u>DATE OF LAST RECHECK</u>
G. C. Burton	Portland	11-18-52	3-3-53	

REASON

Because of delinquencies discovered by Inspector Stein.

<u>NAME</u>	<u>OFFICE</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>LAST INSPECTION</u>	<u>DATE OF LAST RECHECK</u>
J. J. Casper	New Haven	12-8-52	11-7-52	

REASON

Because of delinquencies discovered during inspection of the New Haven Office.

<u>NAME</u>	<u>OFFICE</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>LAST INSPECTION</u>	<u>DATE OF LAST RECHECK</u>
L. V. Boardman	New York	1-16-53	12-18-52	

REASON

Because of delinquencies discovered during inspection of the New Haven Office.

<u>NAME</u>	<u>OFFICE</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>LAST INSPECTION</u>	<u>DATE OF LAST RECHECK</u>
J. M. Lopez	Birmingham	2-18-53	1-29-53 (New Orleans Office)	

REASON

Because of not advising the Bureau of the identity of the Senior Resident Agent designated at Monroe, La. or securing Bureau approval for his designation in accordance with Bureau regulations.

<u>NAME</u>	<u>OFFICE</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>LAST INSPECTION</u>	<u>DATE OF LAST RECHECK</u>
C. H. Carson	Los Angeles (Ordered to Atlanta as SAC 3-4-53; to arrive 4-3-53.	3-3-53	5-28-52	

REASON

In view of the inept manner in which he had carried out the responsibilities of the Los Angeles Division.

<u>NAME</u>	<u>OFFICE</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>LAST INSPECTION</u>	<u>DATE OF LAST RECHECK</u>
A. C. Schlenker	Savannah	3-6-53	12-19-52	

REASON

Because of the unsatisfactory manner and inexcusable delays which occurred in connection with the investigation by the Savannah Division of the civil rights matters involving Sam Z. McCutcheon, Jr.

Respectfully, W. R. Glavin

July 16, 1953

Mr. Leland V. Boardman
Federal Bureau of Investigation
United States Department of Justice
New York, New York

Dear Mr. Boardman:


I am writing to advise you and the personnel of your office of my personal satisfaction with the very fine summary prepared concerning Communist infiltration of the Progressive Party.

The excellence of the report could not have been achieved, I am certain, without the genuine interest and full cooperation of everyone who worked on the matter, and I wish that you would convey my personal commendation to all the agents and the clerical personnel involved.


Sincerely yours,
J. Edgar Hoover

WST:mao

Tolson _____
Ladd _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Clegg _____
Glavin _____
Harbo _____
Rosen _____
Tracy _____
Gearty _____
Mohr _____
Winterrowd _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
 Sizoo _____
Miss Gandy _____



JUL 22 1953



LELAND V. BOARDMAN
SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE
NON-VETERAN

EOD: 7/30/34
GS: 16

INSPECTOR BROWN:

Mr. Boardman has brought about a number of improvements in the seven months since the last inspection. Particularly outstanding are the changes which have been effected in the operation of the Chief Clerk's Office. Improvement noted in moral and personnel appears more settled. Case load and delinquency have both been reduced since last inspection. Criminal informant coverage has improved, but much work remains in this field. Security informant coverage has improved but is still inadequate, as exemplified by missing Security Index subject. Concentrated pressure is necessary on Security Matters in order to overcome the extreme delinquency, which resulted to a large extent from a severe shortage of manpower which shortage has now been corrected. Greater productivity is needed by Agents. Too many deadlines missed (41 per cent) in applicant cases. Boardman has made progress, is working diligently, seems to have the proper attitude as to operations of his Division, has not lapsed into the so-called New York attitude, and while much work remains to be done in the New York Division, no administrative action is recommended.

Inspection Report
New York Office
Inspector B. C. Brown
8/12/53
BCB:dmb

157
89 AUG 20 1953

3/1/54
2-11
67-NOT RECORDED-11

August 27, 1953

Mr. Leland V. Boardman
Federal Bureau of Investigation
United States Department of Justice
New York, New York

Dear Mr. Boardman:

I am taking this opportunity to express to you and through you to all the employees of the New York Office my appreciation for the splendid and generous gesture by New York agents and clerical personnel on behalf of [redacted], a former Bureau employee.



The knowledge of this spirit of fellowship which exists within the FBI is a constant source of gratification to me, and I did not want to let this occasion pass without telling you how pleased I am.

Sincerely yours,

J. Edgar Hoover

Tolson _____
Ladd _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Clegg _____
Glavin _____
Harbo _____
Rosen _____
Tracy _____
Gearty _____
Mohr _____
Winterrowd _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
 Sizoo _____
Miss Gandy _____

LRH:rk



SEP 11 1953

Mr. Tolson

H. H. Clegg

~~SECRET~~

7/31/53

4-6-92

Classified by 9803 RDD/KSR

Declassify on: OADR

APPEND 91-2069

Classified by 1565 SDP/KSR
Declassify on: OADR

6-27-91

INSPECTION - NEW YORK DIVISION
INSPECTOR B. C. BROWN
6/9 - 7/23/53

CLASSIFIED DECISIONS FINALIZED

BY DEPARTMENT REVIEW COMMITTEE (DRC)

DATE: 4-14-93

9803 RDD/KSR 9/10/93

SYNOPSIS

EVALUATION

APPEND 91-2069
LELAND V. BOARDMAN

Physical Condition and Maintenance --- Good

Temporary crowding of Agents on first floor will be relieved as soon as applicant work decreases and Agents are reassigned to Security Squads. Additional space may soon be required by Chief Clerk's Office operations, at least part of which could be made available on the 11th floor, without altering executive offices.

Investigative Operations --- Unsatisfactory

Case write-ups prepared in 3.35 per cent of pending cases (384 write-ups) and .4 per cent (7 cases) of closed files. Principally, delayed investigation and reporting in Security Matter-C Cases. 127 Security Index subjects missing as of 7/23/53, including 7 top functionaries and 35 key figures. Many of these have been missing for over two years. Deadlines were missed in 41 per cent of 295 Applicant-type cases closed in April and May, 1953. Criminal Informant coverage improved, but still inadequate in headquarters city, and also in 6 Resident Agencies which have no approved informants. Investigative work 50 per cent delinquent on 6/30/53, with 948 unassigned cases being 85.6 per cent delinquent. Average number of cases and leads closed per Agent 6.85 in May and 6.42 in June, 1953, considered extremely low. Inspector installed production records and directed submission of monthly reports of production to Bureau.

Administrative Operations ----- Good

Errors of form 5.2 per cent in pending and 5.3 per cent in closed files, about one half the number noted during last inspection. Operations in Chief Clerk's Office current to within one day's work per employee, also an improvement. Chief Clerk's Office now adequately staffed. Office shows substantial improvement over fiscal year 1952 in all categories of criminal statistics. cc: Mr. Glavin (Attention: H. L. Edwards)

BCB:JEN:mew:MCP

Attachment

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
EXCEPT WHERE SHOWN
OTHERWISE

~~SECRET~~

67-72-63

~~SECRET~~

Personnel Matters -----Good

Morale much improved over that observed during last inspection and personnel have settled down somewhat. Three Agents and 10 stenographers and clerks failed their examinations. Average time in office in Investigative Section was 27.2 per cent. Security Squads ranged from 39 to 54 per cent, considered high; establishment of Clerical File Review Unit will substantially reduce this figure and make Agents available for outside work. Criminal and Applicant Sections ranged from 11 per cent to 15.6 per cent. Improvement in scope and caliber of training in New York Office noted. SAC believes presently assigned 1072 Special Agents adequate. Inspector agrees. Stenographic and typing personnel now at authorized strength with recruiting continuing for replacements only. Clerical personnel now recruited to meet estimated needs of Office. Overtime averages 1 hour 40 minutes, equitably distributed.

Contacts ----- Fair

SAC Contacts number 168, of whom 91 known to SAC. Special Service Contacts now total 21, of whom 6 not met by SAC. 12 Special Service Contacts were given assignments during past 6 months but were not particularly productive. New York Office had made 296 speeches as of 6/19/53, as compared to 360 for entire year 1952. SAC had made 13 speeches this year and had 2 scheduled. ASAC Whelan had made 7 and ASAC McCabe had made 7. SAC and ASAC should make more.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. SAC Leland V. Boardman - Generally speaking, Mr. Boardman has made a number of improvements in the seven months since the last inspection, although much remains to be done to bring the New York Office up to Bureau standards in all respects. Boardman has worked hard. Administration is much stronger than at the time of last inspection. Case loads and delinquency both reduced. Criminal informant coverage has improved but much work remains in this field. Security informant coverage has improved but is still inadequate, as exemplified by missing Security Matter investigations; however, the New York Office was "hamstrung" by a personnel shortage which has now been corrected. Greater productivity is needed by Agents. Too many deadlines missed

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

(41 per cent) in Applicant cases; however, it is anticipated that within the next two or three months, New York will be current in the Applicant field. Boardman has made progress, is working diligently, seems to have the proper attitude as to the operations of his division, has not lapsed into the so-called New York attitude, and while much work remains to be done in the New York Division, no administrative action is recommended; however, if approved, a recheck inspection of the New York Division will be made in approximately 90 days for the specific purpose of inquiring into the following matters: Applicant deadlines, criminal and security informant coverage, whereabouts of Security Index subjects, currentness and quality of Security investigations, SAC contacts and waterfront investigations.

This recheck must be made. H.

Tolson 8/6/53

2. ASAC Arthur Marchessault - Arrived during the last week of the inspection. Little opportunity was afforded to judge him; however, it appeared that he had a refreshing attitude with regard to conquering the Security problems of the New York Office and he took hold vigorously.

Tolson

3. ASAC William M. Whelan - The Director, by letter dated 8/4/53, removed Mr. Whelan from probation, on which he was placed on January 22, 1953, as a result of unsatisfactory investigative operations found in his Division during October-December 1952 inspection. No recommendation is being made as to Mr. Whelan, now SAC at San Francisco.

Tolson

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

4. ASAC Edward J. McCabe - Thirteen case write-ups in pending cases, for a percentage of .6, and one case write-up in closed cases, for a percentage of .3, were noted in Division II, under McCabe. He is considered fully qualified to be SAC in a medium sized office but is believed to be most effective in his present position. No recommendation.

Tolson

5. ASAC William G. Simon -- No change is recommended in status of Simon, who arrived in New York on June 1, 1953, to take charge of the newly created applicant division, which includes Selective Service work. Mr. Simon has not been in charge of this work sufficiently long to permit a valid determination as to the manner in which he will function. His approach has been sound, however, in assuring himself that every Agent has close individual supervision and renders maximum production.

Tolson

b6

6. [] - Supervisor in charge of the Administrative Division, which includes the Chief Clerk's Office. There has been substantial improvement in this operation. He has been on probation since 11/21/52 as a result of administrative delinquencies in handling the Nassau County Police matter and was continued on probation as a result of delinquencies found in the Chief Clerk's Office during the last inspection. It is recommended that he be removed from probation in view of the definite improvement shown in administrative operations.

I agree.
Tolson

O.K.
H.

-4-

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

7. Thomas G. Spencer - The Director has already approved the recommendation that Mr. Spencer be removed from probation. He was placed on probation January 22, 1953, because of the inadequate security informant program disclosed during the inspection made in December 1952. He is on limited duty, having been relieved of his supervisory assignment at his own request on June 29, 1953, due to impaired health.

Tolson

- (U) 8. Thomas J. McAndrews - that he be removed from probation. McAndrews supervises [Soviet and Polish] espionage and security informants. He was placed on probation on January 22, 1953, as a result of the inadequate security informant program found during the 1952 inspection. The present security informant program is not adequate but there has been sufficient improvement to warrant removing McAndrews from probation at this time. He has commended twice recently by the Director for his supervision of the William Perle and the Rosenberg cases. It is also recommended that McAndrews be considered as SAC material. (S)

I agree.

Tolson

O.K.

H.

b6

9. [redacted], Supervisor of Selective Service and Deserter cases, has been on probation since 1/22/53 for his share in the responsibility for the unsatisfactory security informant coverage found during the last inspection. This program, although inadequate, has shown sufficient improvement to warrant removing [redacted] from probation at this time. Until June 1, 1953, [redacted] supervised certain Internal Security and related matters (was not responsible for the desk which has the extreme delinquency in Security Matter-C cases). There were 9

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

case write-ups for a percentage of 1.5 on cases handled by [redacted]. Six of these involved delayed investigation and reporting. [redacted] is personally responsible for three case write-ups involving his failure to assign 3 Fugitive Selective Service Act cases, which cases had been placed in an unassigned status by his predecessor. His responsibility for this delinquency covered less than 30 days. It is recommended that [redacted] be removed from probation.

I agree. O.K.
Tolson H.

b6

10. [redacted] had direct supervisory responsibility for the desk which has the heavy delinquency in Security Matter-C Cases. He resigned during the inspection and proper notation regarding the delinquency will be placed in his personnel file.

Tolson

11. John J. Ragan - that he be removed from probation at this time. He is supervisor of Technical Installations and was placed on probation 4/16/53 as a result of his delay in notifying the SAC concerning a Special Employee who was absent from work without an adequate explanation. The Technical Installations were found to be functioning satisfactorily and securely under Ragan's supervision.

I agree.
Tolson

O.K.
H.

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

12. Howard L. Gillespie, Supervisor of miscellaneous criminal violations, was placed on probation 1/22/53 because of the weaknesses found in the General Criminal Informant Program during the December 1952 inspection. The Selective Service and Deserter Section, for which Gillespie was responsible until 6/1/53 reflected 22 case write-ups, principally delayed investigation and reporting, in 1817 pending files and 2 case write-ups in 218 closed files. The Miscellaneous Criminal Section, supervised by Gillespie since 6/1/53, reflected 6 case write-ups in 352 pending cases and 1 in 60 closed cases. In view of the volume handled, coupled with a manpower shortage, Gillespie is deemed to have done better than average job as a Supervisor. It is recommended that he be removed from probation.

I agree.
Tolson

O. K.
H.

13. The following supervisors have been recommended for consideration for further training in the Bureau's work as supervisors at the Seat of Government in order that they may, if found qualified, be given further consideration as ASAC material:

b6

Section

T. John Brownfield
[redacted]
Joseph M. Fitzgerald
[redacted]
John P. Linehan
[redacted]
Bernard D. Marren
[redacted]
C. Lee Snoeyenbos
[redacted]
Eugene W. Walsh

Liaison - Resident Agents
Applicant
Russian Espionage
General Criminal
Selective Service
Applicant
General Criminal
Security Matters
Espionage
Applicant
Applicant
Security Matters
Administrative (Chief Clerk's
Office)

Tolson

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

No particular action requiring Bureau approval has been recommended for other supervisors of the New York Office.

SUMMARY

1. Headquarters city space averages about 55 square feet per employee. Crowded conditions exist on first floor occupied by the Applicant Squad and on fifth floor housing the Accounting and General Criminal Squads. First floor crowding will be relieved within next few months with the expected decline in applicant work and reassignment of men to Security work. General Criminal Squads spends only 15 per cent of time in office so crowding does not appear to intergere greatly with efficiency. Expansion of clerical staffs doing file review and correlation work may soon require that additional space be made available to Chief Clerk's Office. Transfer of certain activities to 11th floor will provide large part, if not all, of space required for correlation and file review work, without altering executive offices.

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

2. Case load reduced 9.6 per cent, from 15,150 cases on January 31, 1953, to 13,697 on June 30, 1953. Delinquency reduced from 62.6 per cent on January 31, 1953, to 50.7 per cent on June 30, 1953; 948 unassigned cases were 85.6 per cent delinquent on June 30, 1953.
3. Delinquency in Security work was 80.3 per cent with 14,228 cases assigned on June 30, 1952. Present delinquency, June 1, 1953, 67.8 per cent with 7,071 cases assigned.
4. The 921 Agents assigned to investigative work carry an average load of 13 cases each. Agents closed an average of 6.85 cases and leads in May, and 6.42 in June, 1953, considered extremely low, and reflecting need for closer personal supervision. Reorganization effected during inspection to afford tighter supervision and increase production.
5. Stenographers were carrying an average of 35 pages on their books, with Agents waiting to dictate some 200 to 300 hours of work during inspection. As of 7/31/53, however, dictation was current, and the 5-day rule, suspended temporarily, has been reinstated.
6. Of 91 accountants, only 45 are assigned to the Accounting Squad; 63 require further training before being fully qualified to handle all types of Bureau accounting matters. Delinquency in accounting-type cases was 20 percent as of 6/1/53. Over 30 accountants currently are being assigned to waterfront investigations, hence no reduction in the number of accountants in the New York Office is being recommended.
7. Errors of substance found in 384 pending files for a percentage of 3.35 and in 7 closed cases for a percentage of .4; 380 write-ups involved delayed investigations and reporting, mostly in Security Matter-C Cases, in which no report had been written in over a year.
8. New York Office responsible for missing deadlines in 41 per cent of all applicant-type cases, with the deadline being missed in 75 per cent of United Nations (Classification 138) cases, as result of bottleneck in receiving information from United Nations Headquarters.
9. New York Office has not given Theft from Interstate Shipment cases involving items of lesser value immediate attention, and in 54 cases closed during May, 1953, subjects were developed in only 15 per cent of them. New York Office has increased receipt of cases by 235 per cent in past six months and has solved several major hijacking and theft cases.

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

10. New York Office has required an average of about 21 days for submission of preliminary reports in Civil Rights cases whereas Bureau deadlines is 10 days.

11. Approved criminal informants have increased from 27 to 34 since last inspection and potential criminal informants have increased from 134 to 373; coverage in headquarters city area still inadequate and 6 Resident Agencies do not have approved criminal informants.

12. Estimated 11,832 members of Communist Party reside in territory handled by New York Office. Whereabouts of 127 Security Index Subjects including 7 top functionaries and 35 key figures unknown. 2,022 Security Index cards do not have photographs and the addresses and employment of 205 Security Index Subjects have not been verified in the last 6 months.

13. Only 15 Communist Party members have been developed as informants. Additional coverage is by 12 Security Informants not Party members and 13 confidential sources. Efforts to penetrate underground have not been particularly effective. Confidential sources and Security Informants for Communist Party front organizations inadequate.

14. No substantial progress has been made on summary report program, with over 4,000 remaining to be done. Moratorium on this project still in effect but New York is to advise Bureau within 4 months regarding possibility of lifting same.

15. Error of form found in 5.3 per cent of pending files, as compared to 10.4 per cent in last inspection.

16. Three Agents and 10 stenographers and clerks failed their examinations. Average time in the office was 27.2 per cent with Security Squads ranging from 39 percent to 54 per cent. General investigative Agents spending from 11 to 15 per cent of their time in the office. Morale improved over last inspection. Overtime averaged 1 hour 40 minutes per Agent for March, April, and May, 1953, equitably distributed.

17. SAC Boardman has met 70 and developed 21 of total of 168 SAC contacts listed, and has met 15 of 21 Special Service Contacts, not an impressive showing for ten months. During this same period, SAC has made 16 speeches. Only 27 speeches have been made by SAC Boardman, ASAC Whelan and ASAC McCabe in first six months of this year. The office made 296 up to June 19, 1953, as compared to 360 during 1952.

Tolson

~~SECRET~~

TO: MR. TOLSON

9-1-53

FROM: H. H. CLEGG

SUBJECT: NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF COUNTY & PROSECUTING ATTORNEYS

L. P. Boardman

On my memorandum of August 27, 1953, referring to favorable comments by District Attorney Miles McDonald of Brooklyn concerning an agent whose name he could not recall but described by him as a fat boy, the Director asked "can we identify him?"

SAC Boardman advises that this Agent is [redacted] who entered on duty 9-20-48, and is now assigned to the New York Office. His permanent brief is attached.

McDonald also complimented SAC Whalen and an Agent by the name of Shannon. The Director wanted a summary on Shannon, whose permanent brief is attached. Donald Edmund Shannon entered on duty 2-23-42 and is now assigned to the New York Office.

McDonald told SAC Poster that he considered Boardman a "foul ball." The Director instructed that Boardman be briefed fully. I talked to Boardman fully about this by phone on 8-31-53.

Attachments - 2

HHC:hd

Addendum: I have informed both SAC Boardman and ASAC Simon on 8-31-53 that the Director had instructed that in the future only an experienced, approved, senior supervisor of the New York Office should be assigned to make any contacts with District Attorney Miles McDonald and that no Agents of the office should be assigned to contact McDonald for any reason. This has also been included in a letter to the New York Office dated 9-2-53, as confirmation. HHC:hd 9-2-53

67-38619-448	
Searched	171
Numbered	

RECORDED - 55

89 SEP 28 1953

ORIGINAL FILED IN 67-38619-448

Director, FBI

August 27, 1953

H. H. CLEGG

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF
COUNTY AND PROSECUTING ATTORNEYS -
Denver Convention, Morning of
August 27, 1953

L. V. BOARDMAN

(1) It will be recalled that KILLOP F. McDONALD, DA from Brooklyn, is presiding. He was critical of the FBI, according to our information in yesterday's closed session. Information was obtained by SA A. S. REEDER that the SCHUSTER case was mentioned by McDONALD without any details. This is a case on which first SCLEIDT and WEALEN called on McDONALD, and more recently BOARDMAN and WEALEN called on McDONALD. He is still bringing up this same old chestnut.

When he came up close to me in the lobby, I looked past him and ignored him and then he got closer and stated there was an Agent, he could not think of his name, described by him as a fat boy who graduated from the same school as McDONALD and was in frequent contact with McDONALD. He is very fond of this young Agent. He also complimented SAC WEALEN and Agent SHANNON, presently or formerly of the New York Office. He then said, in effect, that he thought that these discussions which they had had would do much good to clear the atmosphere to help both "you people and the A's". I replied that I felt that if anyone had a complaint he should clear it up locally with the local office where the facts are known, which is the only place where the matter can be properly settled; that discussions of picayune misunderstandings, publicly explained, sowed seeds of discord, and that I frankly doubted the sincerity of those who did not try to clear up the matter locally and I also questioned their real desire for cooperation. At this time he said, "Excuse me", and went over to talk to somebody else who seemed to be waiting for him.

Now that SCLEIDT^{and} WEALEN, later BOARDMAN and WEALEN, have gone over the SCHUSTER case with McDONALD and he is still "griping", I consider him incorrigible.

REC/33
AMOD

136
SEP 28 1953

RECORDED-55

67-38117-449
Searched <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Numbered <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
12 SEP 25 1953
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

ORIGINAL FILED IN

(2) SAC J. B. POSTER in the lobby outside the conference room sat on the same sofa with McDONALD and they engaged in conversation, during which McDONALD called BOARDMAN a "foul ball" but expressed his liking for SCHEIDT, TED CONROY, BILL WEALEN and BELMONT. He also expressed to Mr. POSTER his displeasure at the fact that an indictment had been returned against VINCENT GUINN in Queens County, former Congressman and United States Attorney.

(3) Mr. ANSELM SODARO, Baltimore, Chairman of the committee to inquire into relations with the FBI, informed me this morning that the report of his committee will show what has been done, including the preparation of a booklet by the Bureau and the appearance of Mr. POSTER and myself before the committee, and they will suggest that any time there are any complaints as to cooperation either way they should be cleared up locally. They will recommend no resolution whatsoever. (We guarantee nothing with this crowd.)

(4) Assistant DA JIM CORBEN, Louisiana, was on the panel this morning led by STEELTER, the "gripping" DA from Reno. STEELTER was chairman of the panel and led the discussion on extradition proceedings. He introduced CORBEN, who discussed briefly the Unlawful Flight to Avoid Prosecution Act and referred to the fact that by contacting the United States Attorney the assistance of the FBI could be obtained in locating the fugitives charged with violation of this Act. He cited a case where the FBI had picked up a fugitive for his office in Chicago and the fugitive was on his way to New Orleans in twenty-four hours. He stated that the prosecution was invariably first in the State Court. He also discussed extradition from Canada and other foreign countries and stated again the FBI would help by informing the foreign police of the fugitive status of the individual, and that this had led, for example, to one apprehension for his office in Canada.

He was asked to name the violations and he got all confused and asked me to name the violations in the Fugitive Felon Act, which I did from the floor. (McDONALD was absent at this time or I probably would have been out of order.)

(5) Assistant DA RUDOLPH McBRIDE, referred to in yesterday's communication, had been appointed to the committee on FBI relations at the request of his superior. We find that his superior is Judge PEREZ of Plaquemines Parish, Louisiana (Port Sulphur). Judge PEREZ is a well known figure in politics and was one of the prime movers in the State's Rights Dixiecrat Movement in 1948. It was Judge PEREZ who

stated that he wanted to discuss at a closed meeting the old question of federal and local relationships in law enforcement. I learned from McBRIDE that PEREZ made his speech praising the attitude of Director HOOVER and stating that the Director not only preached but practiced what he preached in opposing the nationalization of police and unnecessary federal encroachment. He stated further that he thought all the District Attorneys should be alert to watch the movement by any "Fair Deal" outfit which might expand the federal legislation so as to make it a ministerial duty of the FBI to investigate more things than the Federal Government should handle.

SAC POSTER has gotten acquainted with Judge PEREZ and has arranged a fishing party for him. I have talked to him and we have a mutual friend, former Vice Presidential candidate on the Dixiecrat ticket, ex-Governor FIELDING WRIGHT, and both SCREEN, who has been very friendly, and McBRIDE, likewise friendly, are subordinates to PEREZ.

McBRIDE did say to me in confidence that there were occasionally Agents who came into their office who obviously looked down on the poor, benighted numbskulls in his Parish, and you could tell that their attitude was unfriendly from the beginning. This, however, was an exception and he stated that he would never report any Agent of this type because they settled things locally if any difficulty comes up. He stated they have excellent cooperation from the New Orleans Office.

(6) Governor DAN TROMBTON spoke for approximately twenty minutes. The gist of his speech was "Hurray for Colorado" and "Hurray for law enforcement and cooperation".

(7) SA A. S. REEDER has reported that he overheard a conversation wherein an unidentified District Attorney talking to another DA, who was known to REEDER, had indicated that the criticisms of the FBI at yesterday's closed meeting were trivia and isolated cases and that they should have been settled locally.

(8) Mr. GENE LOWELL, formerly reporter for the "Denver Post", now public relations representative in the Office of District Attorney BERT BEATING of Denver, has been friendly to the Bureau for years. He suggested that the booklet which

had been put out by the Bureau; "The FBI and Local Law Enforcement", would make a splendid article for a feature write-up in next Sunday's "Denver Post". He suggested that a friendly reporter by the name of SNYDER, known to him and to SAC POSTER, prepare the article with POSTER's help. I learned that Mr. POSTER knows SNYDER well and he concurs and, consistent with my conversation with Mr. NICHOLS today, SAC POSTER will make these arrangements.

October 5, 1953

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

Mr. Leland V. Boardman
Federal Bureau of Investigation
New York, New York

DECLASSIFIED BY 1565 SDP/KSR
ON 6-20-91

Dear Mr. Boardman:

I have carefully considered the fact that a report submitted by the New York Office in the Internal Security, Registration Act case concerning the publication "LRAPER" contained an excessive number of errors and that because of a weakness in your office procedure you are unable to fix responsibility for the approval of the report in stenotted form.

I am displeased with the obvious laxity in the administrative procedures of the New York Office which permitted this situation to arise and, I shall expect you, as Special Agent in Charge, to take immediate steps to prevent the recurrence of delinquencies of this nature.

Very truly yours,


J. Edgar Hoover

John Edgar Hoover
Director

CC: New York Field Office file
SOG

NEM:JRO jro

Tolson _____
Ladd _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Clegg _____
Glavin _____
Harbo _____
Rosen _____
Tracy _____
Gandy _____
Mohr _____
Winterrowd _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
Sizoo _____
Miss Gandy _____



RECEIVED
FBI
OCT 6 12 46 PM '53

RECEIVED
OCT 5 11 09-8 5

1565
7860

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : SAC, New York

DATE: October 9, 1953

FROM : DIRECTOR, FBI

SUBJECT: U. S. Treasury Check No. 22,097,148
Payee: Leland V. Boardman
Amount: \$746.27


Transmitted herewith is the above-captioned check covering payment of the following described vouchers containing Confidential Items:

<u>Date of Voucher</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Remarks</u>
9-30-53	\$746.27	

Enclosure
Registered
Return Receipt Requested

JSJ/pcsj

OCT 9 2 18 PM '53
U. S. DEPT OF JUSTICE
FBI
RECEIVED-NY 10/9/53



NOT RECORDED-11

20 OCT 1

October 12, 1953

Mr. Leland V. Boardman
Federal Bureau of Investigation
United States Department of Justice
New York, New York


Dear Mr. Boardman:

I want to express to you and to the personnel of your office my sincere appreciation and commendation for the efforts which all of you put forth in connection with the investigation of Communist Party functionaries Robert Campbell and Joseph Brandt and the apprehension of Campbell.

Such investigations require the utmost good judgment and discretion as well as painstaking attention to detail on the part of the participating agents, and it is my wish that you convey to everyone involved my satisfaction with the effective handling of these highly important assignments.

Sincerely yours,


J. Edgar Hoover



Tolson _____
Ladd _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Clegg _____
Glavin _____
Harbo _____
Rosen _____
Tracy _____
Gearty _____
Mohr _____
Winterrowd _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
 Sizoo _____
Miss Gandy _____

LRH:rk

OCT 20 1953



COPY 97

OFFICE MEMORANDUM

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : A. H. BELMONT
FROM : W. A. Branigan

DATE: September 28, 1953

SUBJECT: "IRAPER"
INTERNAL SECURITY -R & AR
REGISTRATION ACT

b6

By Bulet 9-9-53, in the above captioned matter New York was instructed to submit memoranda of explanation by the reporting agent and supervisor who approved the report of SA James H. Kavanagh dated 8-4-53, because of an excessive number of errors in rerep. By letter 9-24-53, SAC at New York submitted the required memoranda of SA Kavanagh and Assistant Supervisor [redacted].

The author of rerep states the delinquency was due to his own failure to proofread the report adequately prior to its being stenciled. [redacted] states he did not see the report until it had been mimeographed and assembled and that in view of NYO policy that a report must be approved by a member of the supervisory staff prior to being mimeographed [redacted] assumed that the report had been proofread and the necessary corrections made and verified in the stencil by another member of the supervisory staff. On this assumption he approved it for proper assembly and administrative correctness.

The SAC states it has not been possible to ascertain who approved the stencil prior to its being mimeographed and for that reason he does not consider that [redacted] was derelict. SAC Boardman advises that as a result of this situation a new office procedure has been set up whereby in the future responsibility can clearly be fixed for the approval of reports that have been stenciled and are submitted to the Bureau in mimeograph form. SAC Boardman recommends that the author of the report receive a letter of censure for his delinquency and that no administrative action be taken against Assistant Supervisor [redacted].

RECOMMENDATIONS:

b6

1. That the author of the report be censured. "OK." G "I AGREE" J.P.M. 9-29-53 TOLSON
2. That Assistant Supervisor [redacted] be censured for his having approved the report without determining that its contents had previously been proofread and approved prior to mimeograph. L.V.G. "I Agree." JPM 9-29-53 "OK." Tolson
3. That SAC Boardman be censured because of the weakness in his office procedure which permitted this situation to arise where by it was not possible to fix responsibility for the approval of the report in stencil form. G "I agree." JPM 9-29-53 "OK." Tolson

cc - Administrative Division

ETB:mpp 100-346794

"Letters of censure to Kavanagh, [redacted] & Boardman." NEM:iro 10-5-53

20 OCT 22 1953

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

AIRTEL

Transmit the following Teletype message to: DIRECTOR, FBI

LEAVING NEW YORK VIA PENN RR, ONE TWENTY AM,
10/26/53, LOWER 4, CAR AM-15, ARRIVING WASHINGTON
SEVEN FORTY FIVE AM, 10/26/53, FOR ATTENDANCE
AT KIDNAP SQUAD COURSE. ASAC MARCHESSAULT
ACTING.

L. V. BOARDMAN

LVB:MT

10/23/53

Mr. H. _____
Miss Gandy _____

NOT RECORDED
67-NOT RECORDED-14
10/24/53
CLASSIFIED
Roted 12
Comm Sect
By [Signature]

Mr. Clegg
Mr. Glavin
Mr. Rosen

Approved: [Signature]
Special Agent in Charge

17 NOV 4 1953

Sent _____ M

Per _____

cc - Mr. Clegg
Mr. Rosen
Monsieur

Mr. Glavin

October 14, 1953

H. L. Edwards

W. V. Boardman**IMPROPER SIGNING OUT OF SPECIAL AGENTS
IN THE NEW YORK OFFICE; 10/6/53****SYNOPSIS:**

On October 6, 1953, SAC Boardman advised that between 5:35 and 5:40 p.m. that date he had checked the Number 3 cards of agents assigned to the Security Matter - C Section in the New York Office and determined that 18 agents of that squad had improperly recorded their time of departure from the office. The Number 3 cards of these agents, which had already been placed in the register at the time of the SAC's check, reflected departure time later than the time the cards were actually filled out and placed in the register.

Separate individual memoranda have been submitted regarding each of the 18 agents involved together with appropriate recommendations for administrative action. Separate memoranda have also been submitted regarding the supervisors of these agents with recommendations for administrative action against the various supervisors.

It is noted that the agents furnished various alibis for their actions and a number indicated that the reason for improperly recording their departure time was to avoid a general congestion at the register when they actually left the office. In this connection, it was pointed out that each squad in the New York Office has its own register and that at approximately 6 p.m. each day the registers are picked up from the individual squad areas and taken to the main floor where they are maintained in the property room.

On 10/14/53 SA T. F. Ring, Personnel Assistant in the New York Office telephonically advised that as of October 9, 1953, there were 1055 Special Agents and 50 Special Employees assigned to the New York Office and that there were a total of 18 Number 3 registers in the office located on 6 of the floors. He stated that there was one additional register which services the personnel assigned to the Technical Surveillance Plant which is located outside of the field office. SA Ring pointed out the number of men utilizing these registers vary from 18 to 172. He stated that Section 12, the Security Matter - C Section, has a total of 217 Special Agents and Special Employees, and that this section is subdivided into 7 units with each unit having its own Number 3 register. He pointed out that the registers for all 7

Tolson
Ladd
Nichols
Belmont
Glavin
Harbo
Tracy
Mohr
Winterrowd
Tele. Room
Holloman
Gandy

Personnel files of SAC Boardman and
ASAC Marchessault

of the units are located on the 6th floor of the building and that 145 Special Agents and Special Employees representing 5 of these units are also located on the 6th floor. He stated that 2 units, comprising a total of 72 men, are located on the 7th floor.

SA King noted that in order to prevent congestion at the registers it is the practice for agents to fill out their Number 3 cards at their desks rather than at the registers. Thus, an agent preparing to leave the office fills out his Number 3 card at his desk and places it in the register as he is leaving the floor. Upon his return he removes the Number 3 card from the register. SA King pointed out that in spite of this system, if all employees utilizing any one register attempted to sign out at the same time, there will be a minor degree of congestion at the registers.

Inspector B. C. Brown has advised that the matter of congestion at the registers was thoroughly checked into during the recent inspection of the New York Office and it is felt that the present system is the most practical under the circumstances existing in New York. Mr. Brown advised that during the most recent inspection of the New York Office arrangements were made to move all registers to the main floor at approximately 6 p.m. each day as it is felt this is the most convenient location. Mr. Brown expressed the opinion that no problems exist with respect to congestion of the registers in the New York Office providing there is no rush to the elevators at 5:30 on the part of the agents.

Mr. Tolson has instructed Mr. Clegg to have the Training and Inspection Division look into this matter to determine if it might be desirable to change the signing out procedure in the New York Office so that agents will be required to sign out in chronological order on a register other than the Number 3 register.

SAC Boardman commented that he is extremely irate and distressed to find that agents of the New York Office have engaged in the practice of improperly recording their time of departure from the office. He noted that the supervisors of the various agents indicated they had conducted spot checks at various times but have previously failed to uncover delinquencies of this nature. Administrative action against the various supervisors is being handled separately. SAC Boardman stated that he feels that he and ASAC Marchessault, who is in charge of the Intelligence Division of the New York Office, must accept responsibility for this situation and he recommended that letters of censure be directed to ASAC Marchessault and himself in connection with this matter.

RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE DIVISION:

1. It is recommended that SAC Boardman and ASAC Marchessault be censured by letter because of the improper action of agents under their supervision in this instance.

2. That specific instructions be issued to SAC Boardman that the supervisors in the New York Office check the Number 3 cards at least once a week at a time when instances like these might be noted, and submit the results of the check to the SAC.

3. That during the next inspection of the New York Office, which will be scheduled within the next three weeks, the Inspector will again look into signing out procedures to determine if the procedures being utilized are satisfactory.

Tolson _____
Ladd _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Clegg _____
Glavin _____
Harbo _____
Rosen _____
Tracy _____
Gearty _____
Mohr _____
Winterrowd _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
 Sizoo _____
Miss Gandy _____

(over)

DETAILS:

All pertinent information has been set forth in the synopsis and is not being repeated in the details.

Tolson _____
Ladd _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Glavin _____
Rosen _____
Kosco _____
Tracy _____
Gearty _____
Mohr _____
Winterrowd _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
 Sizoo _____
Miss Gandy _____

PERMANENT BRIEFS OF THE PERSONNEL FILES OF SAC BOARDMAN AND ASAC
MURCHESSAULT ARE ATTACHED.

Handwritten signature/initials

November 3, 1953

MEMORANDUM FOR MR. TOLSON

On October 23, 1953, I saw Special Agent in Charge Leland V. Boardman of the New York Field Division. Mr. Boardman makes an excellent personal appearance and is taking hold of his responsibilities as SAC at New York in an excellent manner. I would rate him above average.

I discussed with Mr. Boardman generally the problems with which he has been confronted at New York City, namely, the relationship with the U. S. Attorneys in New York City and Brooklyn and the imperative need for seeing that that situation does not get out of hand. I stressed to Mr. Boardman the fact that we, of course, are a service agency and must respond promptly and adequately to the requests which are made by the U. S. Attorneys but that we are not going to be "run" by any U. S. Attorney any more than we would presume to tell the U. S. Attorney how to run his office.

I also discussed with Mr. Boardman the need for continued efforts to reduce the delinquency in our work and commended him for the progress which had already been made.

I also called Mr. Boardman's attention to my concern about the fact that only 4.53 cases are closed per man in the New York Office whereas the average in the field is 10.17.

I also discussed with Mr. Boardman the recent episode involving eighteen Agents who had falsified the time of their departure. I told Mr. Boardman that I thought this showed a very bad attitude upon the part of those men, particularly since a large number of them were stationed at New York as their office of preference.

I also discussed with Mr. Boardman the informant problem and he seems to be thoroughly alive to the need for developing additional informant coverage. He has not been satisfied with the security investigations in New York and informs me that ASAC Marchessault is taking hold of this matter in a very vigorous manner.

I pointed out to Mr. Boardman the need for making certain that his entire supervisory staff was adequate and if they were not, that he should promptly indicate the same to the Bureau for effect transfers or removals, as the situation warranted.

Tolson _____
Ladd _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Clegg _____
Glavin _____
Harbo _____
Rosen _____
Tracy _____
Gandy _____
Mohr _____
Winterrowd _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
 Sizoo _____
Miss Gandy _____

23 Nov 1953

67-452	
BEST FROM B.O.	
TIME	5:00 PM
DATE	11-3-53
BY	<i>Handwritten signature</i>

Qa

Best Copy Available

Q

I note that the New York Office is scheduled for a reinspection within the next few weeks and I would like to have this expedited in order that I can determine in detail the progress which has been made toward bringing about better operations in that office.

Very truly yours,

SEH

John Edgar Hoover
Director

JEH:mpd

Tolson _____
Ladd _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Clegg _____
Glavin _____
Harbo _____
Rosen _____
Tracy _____
Gearty _____
Mohr _____
Winterrowd _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
 Sizoo _____
Miss Gandy _____

November 18, 1953

PERSONAL AND ~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Mr. L. V. Boardman
Federal Bureau of Investigation
290 Broadway
New York 7, New York

DECLASSIFIED BY 1565 SDP/KSR
ON 6-20-91

Dear Boardman:

Frank Holloman told me that you called yesterday regarding my statement on the Harry Dexter White case, and I certainly want to express my appreciation for your kind comments.


Thanks very much for calling. It was most thoughtful of you.

Sincerely,

J. E. H.

NOTE: "Dear Boardman" per Reading Room.

Tolson _____
Ladd _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Clegg _____
Glavin _____
Harbo _____
Rosen _____
Tracy _____
Gearty _____
Mohr _____
Winterrowd _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
Miss Gandy _____



RECORDED-24

67-1565-453
Searched _____
Numbered _____
11 NOV 26 1953
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

68
30 DEC 1 1953
RHD:jbg
jbg

RECEIVED READING ROOM
FBI
NOV 18 5 46 PM '53
U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : SAC, New York

DATE: November 19, 1953


FROM : DIRECTOR, FBI

SUBJECT: U. S. Treasury Check No. 22,413,696
Payee: Leland V. Boardman
Amount: \$200.00

Transmitted herewith is the above-captioned check covering payment of the following described vouchers containing Confidential Items:

<u>Date of Voucher</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Remarks</u>
11/12/53	\$200.00	

Enclosure
Registered
Return Receipt Requested
JSJ/rls.



NOT RECORDED-11

121
NOV 20 1953

November 16, 1953

SAC, NEW YORK CITY

RE: Leland V. Boardman
 SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE
 General In-Service Course 10/26 to 11/6/53
 (Major Case School #1)

Dear Sir:

The above-named Special Agent attended the above General In-Service Training Course at the Seat of Government and attained the following grades:

Notebook	E
Examination	
Double Action Course	98
Practical Pistol Course	90
Shotgun (Skeet)	21/25
.30 Rifle	
Machine Gun	92

Also held over until noon, 11/7/53, for special conference.

The firearms grades with the exception of the Shotgun Skeet Course should be entered on the individual field firearms training record.

Very truly yours,

J. E. Hoover
 John Edgar Hoover
 Director

cc: Leland V. Boardman
 Special Agent in Charge
 New York City

MAILED 8

NOV 16 1953

COMM-FBI

20 NOV 16 1953

Mr. Tolson

11/7/53

H. H. Clegg

**KIDNAPING SQUAD COURSE
(EASTERN SQUAD)**

The men listed below attended the specialized major case course of training from October 26 through November 6, 1953, and satisfactorily completed the course:

Inspectors in Charge - Kelly, James J. - Boston - Boardman, L. V. - New York
Administrative Officers - Burke, Wm. W., Jr. - Miami - Boswell, H. C. - Buffalo
Liaison (Inside) Men - Lally, R. J. - Baltimore - Mulroy, J. C. - Philadelphia b6
Reporting Officers - [redacted] - Newark - Shanklin, J. G. - Mobile
Equipment Supervisors - [redacted] - Newark - Kurtzman, R. H. - WFO
Press Officers - Kemper, E. C., Jr. - Rec. & Comm. Div. - [redacted] - Boston
Sound Men - [redacted] - Buffalo - [redacted] - Laboratory
Lab Technicians - Thompson, C. E. and Hellman, W. R. Jr. - Lab, [redacted] (Ident.)
Photographers - [redacted] - Philadelphia - Preston, R. D. - Admin. Div.
Chief Clerks - [redacted] (A) - Philadelphia - Micek, A. J. - New York
Trng. & Insp. Div. Representatives - Inspectors B. C. Brown - J. H. Williams

Investigators:


b6

[redacted]	New York	[redacted]	Boston	b6
Anderson, Robert	Baltimore	[redacted]	Baltimore	
[redacted]	Buffalo	[redacted]	Philadelphia	
Bauknight, F. S. (A)	Baltimore	Kennedy, L. J.	Buffalo	
Brownfield, T. J.	New York	[redacted]	Newark	
Byers, C. T.	Philadelphia	[redacted]	Albany	
Deary, James J.	New Haven	McKinney, J. D.	WFO	
Dougherty, V. G.	Philadelphia	[redacted]	New York	
Fletcher, G. R.	Richmond	O'Donnell, E. D., Jr.	Newark	
[redacted]	Newark	Rundbaken, Albert	Philadelphia	
[redacted]	New York	Shannon, D. V.	Boston	
[redacted]	Boston	[redacted]	New Haven	b6
Hallett, H. S.	Albany	[redacted]	Newark	
[redacted]	New York	Valentine, N. D.	Newark	
Jenkins, Thomas J.	WFO	[redacted]	Newark	
Jenkins, David G. (A)	New York			

cc - Mr. Ladd
Mr. Glavin
Mr. Rosen
Mr. Mohr
Mr. H. L. Edwards

b6

82 NOV 19 1953



OFFICE MEMORANDUM . UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Director, FBI

DATE: 10/8/53

FROM : SAC, NYC (67-1777)

L. V. BoardmanSUBJECT: IMPROPER SIGNING OUT ON THE PART OF
18 SPECIAL AGENTS IN THE NEW YORK
DIVISION, 10/6/53*Handled Separately*

By separate memorandum, which is enclosed herewith, I have set forth the circumstances, coupled with my recommendations, concerning the eighteen Special Agents whom I found to be improperly recording their time of departure on their #3 cards on the evening of 10/6/53. I have also included explanations by the supervisory personnel, together with my recommendations concerning them.

I am extremely irate and distressed to find that Special Agents assigned to the New York Office have engaged in such a practice. If it were not for the fact that I am mindful of the Bureau's fiscal position and its need to economize, I would unhesitatingly recommend that these men be transferred forthwith. However, I feel that such an action would place a drain on the Bureau's appropriation at this time. Consequently I am recommending suspension, probation, and letters of censure for those involved.

b6

I have noted that two Agents, Richard Pavel and [redacted], the latter of whom has already submitted his resignation, have made statements to the effect that they signed out at a time later than their actual departure in order to increase their overtime record. Both men have been questioned by me. Both have admitted that neither I nor any supervisory official of the New York Office has ever requested a specific amount of daily overtime from Special Agents. I will say that I have and shall continue to demand that every Agent equitably share the workload. I do not intend to sit by and permit shirkers to leave early while other Agents bear more than their share of the burden. I have advised the personnel in this office of that fact in the past, and it is my intention to reiterate my feelings in this matter to all Special Agent personnel in a conference to be held for that purpose.

b6

I also desire to comment on the explanation of SA [redacted], who stated that he had been crediting himself with time not used on his lunch hour for the purpose of reflecting a higher daily average overtime. This is something that is certainly not countenanced in this office and although I am certain it is not a widespread practice, I intend to bring this to the attention of all Special agents so that there will be no misapprehension about this practice in the future.

LVB:MT "Memo to Mr. Glavin". FDH:adv. 10-14-53.
Encls.

ORIGINAL FILED IN 67-72-6443

Director
NY 67-1777

I feel that ASAC Arthur J. Marchessault and I must accept responsibility for the situation which I have discovered in connection with this improper signing out.

I therefore recommend that letters of censure be directed to ASAC Marchessault and myself in connection with this matter.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE DIVISION:

The Administrative Division concurs with SAC Boardman's recommendation that he and ASAC Arthur J. Marchessault be censured in connection with this matter.

"I agree". Glavin, 10/22/53 .

8

8

DO-6

OFFICE OF DIRECTOR
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

November 17, 1953

5:02

Lee Boardman called from New York and stated that he had seen and heard you on television and he thought your presentation was made in a most forceful, clear and sincere manner and that your statements were crystal-clear. He thought that you had made a wonderful appearance and presentation and just wanted you to know.

Mr. Tolson ✓
Mr. Ladd ✓
Mr. Nichols ✓
Mr. Belmont ✓
Mr. Clegg ✓
Mr. Glavin ✓
Mr. Harbo ✓
Mr. Rosen ✓
Mr. Tracy ✓
Mr. Gearty ✓
Mr. Jones ✓
Mr. Mohr ✓
Mr. Winterrowd ✓
Tele. Room ✓
Mr. Holloman ✓
Miss Holmes ✓
Miss Gandy ✓

FCH:eff

L. V. BOARDMAN

With mail.

ack 11-19-53
11/17/53

NOV 30 1953 RECORDED-25

NOV 24 1953

67-38609-454

Searched

152

11 NOV 25 1953

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Mr. Clegg

11/19/53

G. C. Gearty

GTR #J-179877

For record purposes this is to record the fact that on 11/7/53 SAC Boardman in connection with his return to New York utilized GTR #J-179877, issued to me, in obtaining pullman accommodations to New York. The original, of course, was issued to the Pullman Company and he took the memorandum copy with him to submit with his expense voucher.

GCG:ATP

ORIGINAL FILED IN

Tolson _____
Ladd _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Clegg _____
Glavin _____
Harbo _____
Rosen _____
Tracy _____
Laughlin _____
Mohr _____
Winterrowd _____
Tele. Rm. _____
Holloman _____
Gandy _____

NOV 20 1953

December 2, 1953

Personal and Confidential

Mr. Leland V. Boardman.
Federal Bureau of Investigation.
New York, New York

DECLASSIFIED BY 1565 SDP/KSR
ON 6-20-91

Dear Mr. Boardman:

I wish that you would convey my sincere appreciation for a job well done to the New York agents who participated in the handling of the Interstate Transportation of Stolen Motor Vehicle case involving Richard Gould and others.

This investigation and the subsequent apprehensions were carried out in a most exemplary manner by the personnel involved, and I want the agents to know of my pride and gratification. They manifested commendable teamwork, efficiency and devotion to duty, and I consider such services to be in accordance with the highest standards of the Bureau.

Sincerely yours,

J. Edgar Hoover

67-35609-455

MAILED 4
DEC 3 1953
COMM-FBI

Tolson _____
Ladd _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Clegg _____
Glavin _____
Harbo _____
Rosen _____
Tracy _____
Gearty _____
Mohr _____
Winterrowd _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
 Sizoo _____
Miss Gandy _____

IRH:mag

U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE
RECEIVED - DIRECTOR

30 DEC 9 1953

RECEIVED READING ROOM
DEC 4 1953
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION



8 8

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

3-122

In Reply, Please Refer to
File No.

WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
United States Department of Justice
Washington, D. C.

Dear Sir:

For inclusion in the fund to be paid to the designated beneficiary of any Special Agent, of the FBI who has previously contributed to this fund and who dies from any cause except self-destruction while employed as a Special Agent. I am forwarding herewith (by CHECK - MONEY ORDER) the sum of \$10, payable to the Assistant Director, Administrative Division, FBI, to be included in said fund. Payment will be made for death by self-destruction after the Agent has been a member of the fund for a continuous period of two years. It is understood and agreed that the sum tendered herewith is a voluntary, gratuitous contribution to said fund which I understand is to be administered in the following manner. The liability of the fund shall not under any circumstances exceed the amount of monies in the fund at the time any liability shall occur.

The Director of the FBI will appoint a committee which shall consider all matters pertaining to the acquisition, safe keeping and expending of said fund, which committee will recommend appropriate action to the Director in pertinent matters. The Assistant Director of the Administrative Division of the FBI shall receive all contributions and account for same to the Director. Upon the death of any Special Agent who is a member of said fund the appointed committee will consider the case and submit a recommendation to the Director as to its conclusions. Appropriate instructions will then be issued to the Assistant Director of the Administrative Division, directing him to pay to the designated beneficiary the sum of \$10,000. The following person is designated as my beneficiary for FBI Agents' Insurance Fund:

Name Mrs. L. V. BOARDMAN Relationship Wife Date 11/9/53
Address 155 Elm Street, Tenafly, New Jersey

The following person is designated as my beneficiary under the Chas. S. Ross Fund providing \$1500 death benefit to beneficiary of agents killed in line of duty.

NAME Mrs. L. V. BOARDMAN Relationship Wife Date 11/9/53
Address 155 Elm Street, Tenafly, New Jersey

14 DEC 1 1953

NOV 30 1953

Very truly yours,

L. V. Boardman
Special Agent

LELAND V. BOARDMAN

EOD: 7/30/34

NON-VETERAN

SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE

GS-16

\$12,000

Inspector Brown:

SAC L. V. Boardman has made good progress in his program of bringing the New York Office into line with Bureau objectives. Supervision is tighter from top to bottom; Agents and Supervisors are beginning to see benefits of the program and are displaying new spirit in their investigative efforts. The size of the case load and the high delinquency no longer are accepted as insurmountable obstacles, but are viewed as challenges to industry and initiative. Errors of substance were found in .28 per cent of 7815 pending, and in .56 per cent of 1961 closed files reviewed, a substantial improvement. The SAC has a refreshing outlook on inspections, in that he appears as anxious as the Bureau to have everything brought into top condition. The SAC meets weekly with top Agents on informant programs, to keep abreast of progress, and to provide incentive. He has initiated system of combining tickler review with Agents' monthly file reviews by each Supervisor. More of his time is now being devoted to catching up on contacts, though there is still no evidence that the job has changed his basic attitude or gone to his head. He realizes many improvements are still needed and has indicated he will continue to follow closely all phases of the work. He is considered well qualified to continue as SAC in New York and to accept additional responsibility in the Bureau. No change is recommended.

New York Inspection

12/9/53

B. C. BROWN

6E

82 DEC 24 1953

NOT RECORDED-12

Handwritten signatures and initials

RECEIVED

8

made for 3
Wood mac

FOR THE FILE OF LELAND V. BOARDMAN

ROUTING SLIP

FD-4

(5-12-52)

Date 12/14/53, 1953

Memo To: BUREAU

 SAC Title:
 ASAC
 Supervisor
 Agent
 Steno
 Clerk
 Chief Clerk
 Special Employee File No.

ACTION DESIRED

 Assign to Open Case
 Acknowledge Prepare Tickler
 Bring File Reassign to
 Call Me Recharge serials
 See Me Search and Return
 Correct Send serials
 Delinquent to
 Expedite Submit new charge-out
 File Submit report by
 Leads need attention Type
 Read, Initial and Return Serials
 Return
 Undeveloped leads in your district awaiting attention.

Handwritten mark: a large 'X' with '11/18' written inside it.

for a 12/28/53 thru 12/30/53

ANNUAL LEAVE SLIP ATTACHED FOR APPROVAL.

Can be reached
at residence *at New York*
during leave *period*
period - *per leave*
slips - *mac*

S.A.C. L. V. BOARDMAN
Office NYC

(In intra office use return this with notation as to action taken or explanation.)

Detached in leave office mac

noted in leave office mac

SAC adv. 12-18-53 mac

DEC 21 1953

NOT RECORDED

Handwritten signature/initials.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

DEC 2 1953

TELETYPE

WASH 2 FROM NEW YORK

2

44-46 A

DIRECTOR URGENT

PERSONAL ATT. A. ROSEN

Mr. Tolson	
Mr. Ladd	
Mr. Nichols	
Mr. Belmont	
Mr. Clegg	
Mr. Glavin	
Mr. Harbo	
Mr. Rosen	
Mr. Tracy	
Mr. Egan	
Mr. Gurnea	
Mr. Hendon	
Mr. Pennington	
Mr. Quinn	
Mr. Nease	
Mr. W. C. Sullivan	
Mr. Tamm	
Mr. Holloman	
Miss Gandy	

UNITED AUTO RENTAL, BRONX, NY, ET AL, ITSMV. RE NY AERIAL RECORDS FIRST LAST REFLECTING THE APPREHENSION OF JOSEPH TURKISKY, RICHARD GOULD AND CARMINE PHILIP MARZASSO BY THE NYO IN CONNECTION WITH WARRANTS CHARGING CONSPIRACY ISSUED HERE NOVEMBER TWENTY LAST. THE BUREAU'S ATTENTION IS DIRECTED TO THE FACT THAT SINCE THE INCEPTION OF THE CASE ON NOVEMBER FIFTH LAST, THE MATTER HAS BEEN ASSIGNED TO SA JOHN JAMES O'BRIEN, WHO HAS DEVOTED HIS CONSTANT EFFORTS, INCLUDING LONG HOURS OF OVERTIME AND HOLIDAY WORK, TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE INVESTIGATION. CHIEFLY THROUGH HIS EFFORTS, THERE HAS BEEN ESTABLISHED WHICH BECAME THE BASIS FOR OBTAINING PROCEEDS AGAINST THE AFOREMENTIONED INDIVIDUALS, AS WELL AS AGAINST JOSEPH DEFRIOLE WHO WAS APPREHENDED IN NY ON NOVEMBER TWENTY LAST. SA O'BRIEN PARTICIPATED IN THE APPREHENSION OF DEFRIOLE ON NOVEMBER TWENTY AND IN THE APPREHENSION OF MARZASSO ON NOVEMBER TWENTY.

IT IS RECOMMENDED THEREFORE THAT A LETTER OF RECOMMENDATION BE SENT TO SA O'BRIEN. HOWARD L. GILLESPIE, SUPERVISOR OF THE ITSMV UNIT, DID AN EXCELLENT JOB IN SUPERVISING THIS CASE FROM ITS INCEPTION, COUNSELLING, ADVISING AND DIRECTING THE ACTIVITIES OF SA O'BRIEN.

END PAGE ONE

L. V. Howardman

THREE CLASSIFYING

Mr. McLaughlin
Mr. Rosen

PAGE TWO

AND THE OTHER AGENTS AND COORDINATING AND DIRECTING THE ENTIRE INVESTIGATION AND FORMULATING AND EXECUTING THE PLAN FOR THE SUCCESSFUL SIMULTANEOUS APPREHENSIONS OF ALL SUBJECTS IN NYC AND IS ESPECIALLY DESERVING OF A LETTER OF COMMENDATION. IT IS NOT BELIEVED THAT A PERSONAL LETTER SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO ANY OF THE OTHER AGENTS WHO PARTICIPATED IN THIS INVESTIGATION, BUT IT IS BELIEVED THAT A GENERAL LETTER BE DIRECTED TO THIS OFFICE COMMENDING ALL OF THE AGENTS WHO PARTICIPATED IN THE INVESTIGATION, NAMELY SAs [REDACTED] AND MARTIN P. GREELY WHO ASSISTED O'BRIEN IN THE APPREHENSION OF MARCIASSO, SAs ANTHONY J. MALONEY, JR. AND [REDACTED] WHO APPREHENDED TURKSKY, SAs JOHN R. KENNEDY AND CHARLES L. LUTES WHO APPREHENDED RICHARD GOULD AND SA JOSEPH E. GLAHERTY WHO APPREHENDED JOSEPH D'ERCOLE WITH SAs MARTIN P. GREELY AND O'BRIEN.

BOARDMAN

END

NY P 2 WA VH

*Letter of Commendation
to SAC O'Brien and
sent through under date
12/1/53.*

*EFB
12/1/53*

*cc: Mr. Egan
Mr. Glavin
Mr. Ladd
Mr. Nichols
Mr. Rosen
Mr. Tracy
Mr. Harbo
Mr. Mohr
Mr. Winterrowd
Mr. Tele. Room
Miss Gandy*

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Mr. Tolson

DATE:

FROM : Mr. Glavin

KIDNAP SQUAD COURSE

SUBJECT:

L. V. Boardman

There is attached hereto a schedule of SAC's for Kidnap Squad Courses for the calendar year 1953.

CC - Director

Mr. Tolson
Mr. Holloman
Mr. Mohr
Mr. Ladd
Mr. Nichols
Mr. Belmont
Mr. Clegg
Mr. Glavin
Mr. Harbo
Mr. Rosen
Mr. Tracy
Mr. Gearty
Mr. H. L. Edwards
Mr. Cavanaugh

DJB:abb

30 DEC 10 1953

8

8

<u>DATE</u>	<u>NAME</u>	<u>OFFICE</u>	October 16, 1953
10-26-53	L. V. Boardman	New York	Kidnap Squad Course
	J. J. Kelly	Boston	Kidnap Squad Course
	H. C. Boswell	Buffalo	Kidnap Squad Course
11-9-53	E. J. Powers	Miami	Kidnap Squad Course
	H. O. Hawkins	Mobile	Kidnap Squad Course
	M. P. Chiles	San Antonio	Kidnap Squad Course
	J. J. Casper	New Haven	Kidnap Squad Course
11-23-53	W. M. Whelan	San Francisco	Kidnap Squad Course
	J. F. Malone	Los Angeles	Kidnap Squad Course
	D. A. Bryce	Albuquerque	Kidnap Squad Course
	J. B. Poster	Denver	Kidnap Squad Course
12-7-53	F. H. McIntire	Detroit	Kidnap Squad Course
	L. Blaylock	Indianapolis	Kidnap Squad Course
	W. H. Williams	Omaha	Kidnap Squad Course
	*J. E. Milnes	New Orleans	Kidnap Squad Course

* J. E. Milnes ordered to St. Louis as SAC.

January 4, 1954

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

DECLASSIFIED BY 1565 SDP/KSR

ON 6-20-91

Mr. L. V. Boardman
290 Broadway
New York 7, New York

Dear Mr. Boardman:

The Bureau has thoroughly reviewed the handling of the apprehension of Charles E. Johnson by the New York Office on December 28, 1953, and the preparations made prior to the apprehension as set out in telephone calls from the New York Office on December 29, 1953, and in New York letter dated the same day.

This case involved one of the Bureau's Ten Most Wanted Fugitives. The initial lead arose from information received by the Boston Division as a result of the publication of subject's photograph in a story appearing in the "Saturday Evening Post." From the facts furnished by the New York Office there was sufficient time for two of your assistants and ten agents to proceed to the scene and participate in the arrest of Johnson. From this it appears that there was sufficient time for your office to secure what was felt to be sufficient personnel to handle not only the apprehension but any emergency situation which might arise in view of all the information in hand at that time.

While there are instances when local authorities should participate in the apprehension of Bureau subjects, this case was one in which the information was developed solely by the Bureau and the New York Office exercised poor judgment in deciding that local assistance was needed. The possible emergency situations relating to Johnson's health given by your office as one of the reasons for having local

MAILED 31
JAN 4 1954
COMM - FBI

67-386-2-456

Tolson _____
Ladd _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Clegg _____
Glavin _____
Harbo _____
Rosen _____
Tracy _____
Gandy _____
Mohr _____
Winterrowd _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
 Sizoo _____
Miss Gandy _____

1 cc: Mr. Nichols
1 cc: Mr. Glavin
1 cc: Mr. Mohr

JTH:ajs

JAN 15 1954

officers participate in the arrest appeared to be the type which could have been handled by agent personnel familiar with the general area. The situations which you state could be met and controlled by the invited law enforcement officers in accordance with the desires of the agents of your office are all the type things which should be personally handled by the Bureau Agents participating in the operation.

It is expected that in the future more mature judgment will be exercised in planning and executing apprehensions of this type. This matter should be thoroughly discussed with your assistants and Special Agents who act in a supervisory capacity so that this type action will not be repeated in the future.

Very truly yours,

J. Edgar Hoover

John Edgar Hoover
Director

Best Copy Available

OFFICE OF DIRECTOR
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

January 4, 1954

Attached is the Office Summary of the New York Office which was received in this office at 5:23 p.m. today.

At 8:40 this morning, Mrs. Fields, after being advised of the request of SAC Boardman to see you, called Mr. Glavin's office and in his absence talked to Mr. Renneberger. She requested a file brief of SAC Boardman, as well as a summary of the New York Office. At 9:05 a.m., Mr. Hereford in Mr. Glavin's Office advised that it would take quite a some time to prepare a summary in view of the recent inspection of the New York Office, which would have to be reviewed in detail and set forth in the summary. Mrs. Fields asked Mr. Hereford to send the brief of Boardman's file as soon as it was completed and to send the summary of the New York Office as soon as it was completed. This was done and you were earlier furnished SAC Boardman's brief.

Mr. Glavin has advised me that it has taken this period of time to prepare this summary in view of the extensive work in reviewing the recent inspection of the New York Office and briefing it down into summary form.

Attachment
FCH:hmm

I don't understand this. We knew Boardman was due here, we had already written the report on the inspection results. I don't see this summary.

Mr. Tolson _____
Mr. Ladd _____
Mr. Nichols _____
Mr. Belmont _____
Mr. Clegg _____
Mr. Glavin _____
Mr. Rosen _____
Mr. Tracy _____
Mr. Gearty _____
Mr. Jones _____
Mr. Mohr _____
Mr. Winterrowd _____
Tele. Room _____
Mr. Holloman _____
Mr. Sizoo _____
Miss Holmes _____
Miss Gandy _____

New York

L. V. BOARDMAN

67-72-6575
SEARCHED _____
SERIALIZED _____
INDEXED _____
FILED _____
121
12 JAN 6 1954
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORT OF MEDICAL EXAMINATION

1. LAST NAME—FIRST NAME—MIDDLE NAME BOARDMAN, DAVID V		2. GRADE AND COMPONENT OR POSITION Annual	3. IDENTIFICATION NO.
4. HOME ADDRESS (Number, street or RFD, city or town, zone and State) 155 51st St., Tenafly, NJ		5. PURPOSE OF EXAMINATION Annual	6. DATE OF EXAMINATION 15 Dec 1953
7. SEX M	8. RACE CAUC	9. TOTAL YRS. GOVT. SERVICE MILITARY CIVILIAN	10. DEPARTMENT, AGENCY, OR SERVICE FBI
11. ORGANIZATION UNIT		12. DATE OF BIRTH 4/21/-09	
13. PLACE OF BIRTH Hot Springs, Ark		14. NAME, RELATIONSHIP, AND ADDRESS OF NEXT OF KIN Mrs Boardman—wife—same as #1	
15. EXAMINING FACILITY OR EXAMINER, AND ADDRESS US Army Disp, 90 Church St, NYC		16. OTHER INFORMATION	

17. RATING OR SPECIALTY	TIME IN THIS CAPACITY: TOTAL	LAST SIX MONTHS
-------------------------	------------------------------	-----------------

NORMAL	ABNOR-MAL	CLINICAL EVALUATION (Check each item in appropriate column; enter "N. E." if not evaluated)
X		18. HEAD, FACE, NECK, AND SCALP
X		19. NOSE
X		20. SINUSES
X		21. MOUTH AND THROAT
X		22. EARS—GENERAL (Int. & ext canals) (Audiometry under items 70 and 71)
X		23. DRUMS (Perforation)
X		24. EYES—GENERAL (Visual acuity and refraction under items 59, 60, and 61)
X		25. OPHTHALMOSCOPIC
X		26. PUPILS (Equality and reaction)
X		27. OCULAR MOTILITY (Associated parallel movements, nystagmus)
X		28. LUNGS AND CHEST (Include breasts)
X		29. HEART (Thrust, size, rhythm, sounds)
X		30. VASCULAR SYSTEM (Varicosities, etc.)
X		31. ABDOMEN AND VISCERA (Include hernia)
X		32. ANUS AND RECTUM (Hemorrhoids, fistulae) (Prostate if indicated)
X		33. ENDOCRINE SYSTEM
X		34. G-U SYSTEM
X		35. UPPER EXTREMITIES (Strength, range of motion)
X		36. FEET
X		37. LOWER EXTREMITIES (Except feet) (Strength, range of motion)
X		38. SPINE, OTHER MUSCULOSKELETAL
X		39. IDENTIFYING BODY MARKS, SCARS, TATTOOS
X		40. SKIN, LYMPHATICS
X		41. NEUROLOGIC (Equilibrium tests under item 72)
X		42. PSYCHIATRIC (Specify any personality deviation)

NOTES.—Describe every abnormality in detail. (Enter pertinent item number before each comment; continue in item 73 and use additional sheets if necessary.)

(24) Conjunctivitis chronic mild.

(32) Prostate normal size shape and consistency.

(35) Traumatic amputation tuft distal phalanx middle finger NSHD.

(36) Pos planus 1 deg bil asymptomatic.

(39) Appendectomy scar, well healed.

Females only	(Check how done)
43. PELVIC	<input type="checkbox"/> VAGINAL <input type="checkbox"/> RECTAL

(Continue in item 73)

44. DENTAL (Place appropriate symbols above or below number of upper and lower teeth, respectively)															
O.—Restorable teeth I.—Nonrestorable teeth X.—Missing teeth XXX.—Replaced by dentures (8x8).—Fixed bridge, brackets to include abutments (F) FLORENTINE															
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
32	31	30	29	28	27	26	25	24	23	22	21	20	19	18	17

REMARKS AND ADDITIONAL DENTAL DEFECTS AND DISEASES
67-38679-457

LABORATORY FINDINGS

45. URINALYSIS: SP. GR. 1016	46. CHEST X-RAY (Place, date, film number, result) neg 12 Dec 1953	47. SEROLOGY (Specify test used and result) 12 JAN 11 1954
ALBUMIN neg	SUGAR neg	MICROSCOPIC not req
48. EKG no sig abn	49. BLOOD TYPE AND RH FACTOR Positive 1954	50. OTHER TESTS not req

entered on card 1-14-54 H. B. 24/5pm

THREE

JAN 22 1954

MEASUREMENTS AND OTHER FINDINGS												
51. HEIGHT 72		52. WEIGHT 190		53. COLOR HAIR brown		54. COLOR EYES green		55. BUILD: SLENDER <input type="checkbox"/> MEDIUM <input type="checkbox"/> HEAVY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OBESE <input type="checkbox"/>		56. TEMP.		
57. BLOOD PRESSURE (Arm at heart level)						58. PULSE (Arm at heart level)						
SITTING SYS. 108 DIAS. 76		RECUMBENT SYS. DIAS.		STANDING (5 min.) SYS. DIAS.		SITTING 78		AFTER EXERCISE 96		2 MIN. AFTER 78		
59. DISTANT VISION						60. REFRACTION			61. NEAR VISION			
RIGHT 20/25		CORR. TO 20/20		BY -0.50 S.		CX			31		CORR. TO BY	
LEFT 20/20		CORR. TO 20/20		BY -0.50 S.		CX			31		CORR. TO BY	
62. HETEROPHORIA: (Specify distance) ES° EX° R.H. L.H. PRISM DIV. PRISM CONV. PC PD												
63. ACCOMMODATION RIGHT LEFT				64. COLOR VISION (Test used and result) Ishihara neg				65. DEPTH PERCEPTION (Test used and score) UNCORRECTED CORRECTED				
66. FIELD OF VISION				67. NIGHT VISION (Test used and score)				68. RED LENS		69. INTRAOCULAR TENSION		
70. HEARING		71. AUDIOMETER						72. PSYCHOLOGICAL AND PSYCHOMOTOR (Tests used and score)				
RIGHT WY 15 /15 SV 15 /15		200 250 500 1000 2000 3000 4000 5000 6000 8000 10000						normal				
LEFT WY 15 /15 SV 15 /15		RIGHT LEFT										

73. NOTES (Continued) AND SIGNIFICANT OR INTERVAL HISTORY

1. Headaches frontal probably sinus.
2. Trench mouth 1929-no residual.
3. Sinusitis mild 1942-one severe attack
4. Pneumonia 1942-no sequelae.
5. Appendectomy as child-no sequelae.
6. Albumin in urine, in several exams, no residual
7. Traumatic distal phalanx-amputated-
8. Question of hemorrhoid no trouble
9. Optic neuritis 1942 no residual

(Use additional sheets of plain paper if necessary)

74. SUMMARY OF DEFECTS AND DIAGNOSES (List diagnoses with item numbers)

36. For planus 1 deg bil asympt.
34. Dental caries 1 needs filling.
35. Traumatic tuft distal phalanx lt middle finger, amputation

75. RECOMMENDATIONS-FURTHER SPECIALIST EXAMINATIONS INDICATED (Specify)		76. PHYSICAL PROFILE					
none		P	U	L	H	E	S
77. EXAMINEE (Check) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IS <input type="checkbox"/> IS NOT		78. IF NOT QUALIFIED, LIST DISQUALIFYING DEFECTS BY ITEM NUMBER					
Duty		PHYSICAL CATEGORY					
		A	B	C	E		
79. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF PHYSICIAN		SIGNATURE					
80. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF PHYSICIAN		SIGNATURE					
81. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF DENTIST OR PHYSICIAN (Indicate which)		SIGNATURE					
82. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF REVIEWING OFFICER OR APPROVING AUTHORITY		SIGNATURE				NUMBER OF ATTACHED SHEETS	

ATTACHMENT TO STANDARD FORM 88
(Revised July 21, 1952)

Report of Medical Examination

FOR INFORMATION AND GUIDANCE OF MEDICAL EXAMINER:

The following portions of the attached examination report form need not be completed:

2	67
3	68
11	69
14	71 (unless other
17	examination indi-
62	cates desirable)
65	72

Item 48, the electrocardiogram, is not required unless the examinee is over 35 years of age or unless other examination indicates such is desirable.

If the examinee is an applicant, the Chest X ray and blood type and Rh factor (Items 46 and 49) are not necessary unless the facilities for affording same are readily available to the examiner.

FOR ALL EXAMINEES, WHETHER CLERICAL OR SPECIAL AGENT APPLICANTS OR EMPLOYEES: BOARDMAN, LELAND V.

The medical examiner should answer the following question:

Examinee 15 qualified for strenuous physical
(is or is not)
exertion. (Designate which)

FOR ALL MALE EMPLOYEES OR APPLICANTS:

The medical examiner is requested to answer the following:

Does examinee have any defects restricting or prohibiting his participation in defensive tactics and dangerous assignments which might entail the practical use of firearms?

If answer is "yes" please specify.

IT IS ESSENTIAL THAT ALL STATEMENTS IN ITEMS 59, 61, 64 AND 70 PERTAINING TO VISUAL ACUITY, COLOR VISION AND HEARING BE COMPLETED IN DETAIL

(Signature of Medical Examiner)

29 Dec 53

(Date)

ENCLOSURE

17-38609-457 LVP

REPORT OF MEDICAL HISTORY

THIS INFORMATION IS FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY AND WILL NOT BE RELEASED TO UNAUTHORIZED PERSONS

1. LAST NAME—FIRST NAME—MIDDLE NAME BOARDMAN, LELAND V.			2. PLACE AND DATE OF EXAMINATION 1st Army, 90 Church St., NYC, 12/15/53		
3. DATE OF BIRTH 4/21/09	4. AGE IN YEARS LAST BIRTHDAY 44	5. IDENTIFICATION NO.	6. PURPOSE OF EXAMINATION Annual		
7. SERVICE, DEPARTMENT, OR AGENCY FBI		8. COMPONENT AND BRANCH	9. ORGANIZATION		10. GRADE, RATING, OR POSITION
11. SEX M	12. RACE Cauc	13. HOME ADDRESS (Street, or RFD number, city, zone, State) 155 Elm St., Tenafly, N.J.			
14. PLACE OF BIRTH Hot Springs, Ark.			15. OTHER DATA		

FAMILY HISTORY	RELATION	AGE	STATE OF HEALTH	IF DEAD—CAUSE OF DEATH	AGE AT DEATH	17. HAS ANY BLOOD RELATION (Parent, brother, sister, other) OR HUSBAND OR WIFE:	CHECK YES NO	RELATION(S)
	FATHER	78	Good			HAD TUBERCULOSIS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
	MOTHER	70	Good			HAD SYPHILIS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
	Sister	51	Good			HAD FITS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
	BROTHERS OR SISTERS					HAD KIDNEY TROUBLE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
	Brother	48	Good			HAD CANCER	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
	WIFE OR HUSBAND					COMMITTED SUICIDE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
	CHILDREN					HAD DIABETES	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
					HAD ASTHMA, HAY FEVER, OR HIVES	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Brother	
					BEEN INSANE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		

18. HAVE YOU EVER (Check yes or no):

	CHECK YES NO		CHECK YES NO		CHECK YES NO
WORN GLASSES	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	HAD A RUPTURE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ATTEMPTED SUICIDE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
WORN AN ARTIFICIAL EYE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WORN A BRACE OR BACK SUPPORT	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	HAD SYPHILIS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
WORN HEARING AIDS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	HAD FOOT TROUBLE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	HAD SERUM REACTION	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
STUTTERED OR STAMMERED	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	LIVED WITH ANYONE WHO HAD TUBERCULOSIS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		

19. HAVE YOU EVER HAD OR HAVE YOU NOW (Check yes or no):

	CHECK YES NO		CHECK YES NO		CHECK YES NO		CHECK YES NO
SCARLET FEVER	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RUNNING EARS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TUMOR, GROWTH CYST, CANCER	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	"TRICK" OR LOCKED KNEE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DIPHTHERIA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	GOTTER	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	APPENDICITIS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NEURITIS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
RHEUMATIC FEVER	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TUBERCULOSIS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PILES OR RECTAL DISEASE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PARALYSIS (Including infantile)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
MEASLES	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ASTHMA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	VERY FREQUENT OR PAINFUL URINATION	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	EPILEPSY OR FITS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
MUMPS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PNEUMONIA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	KIDNEY STONE OR BLOOD IN URINE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CAR, TRAIN, SEA, OR AIR SICKNESS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
CHICKEN POX	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SHORTNESS OF BREATH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SUGAR OR ALBUMIN IN URINE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	FREQUENT TROUBLE SLEEPING OR SLEEP WALKING	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
WHOOPING COUGH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PAIN OR PRESSURE IN CHEST	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	FEMALE DISORDERS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	FREQUENT OR TERRIFYING NIGHTMARES	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
FREQUENT OR SEVERE HEADACHES	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CHRONIC COUGH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	VENEREAL DISEASE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	DEPRESSION	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DIZZINESS OR FAINTING SPELLS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PALPITATION OR POUNDING HEART	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RECENT GAIN OR LOSS OF WEIGHT	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	LOSS OF MEMORY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
SEVERE EYE, EAR, NOSE, OR THROAT TROUBLE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	HIGH OR LOW BLOOD PRESSURE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ARTHRITIS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	BED WETTING AFTER 6 YEARS OF AGE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
CHRONIC OR VERY FREQUENT COLDS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	FREQUENT OR SEVERE INDIGESTION	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	BONE, JOINT, OR OTHER DEFORMITY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NERVOUS TROUBLE OF ANY SORT	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
TRENCH MOUTH OR PYORRHEA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	STOMACH, LIVER, OR INTESTINAL TROUBLE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	LAMENESS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ANY DRUG OR NARCOTIC HABITS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
SINUSITIS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	GALL BLADDER TROUBLE OR GALL STONES	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	LOSS OF ARM, LEG, FINGER, OR TOE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ALCOHOLISM	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
HAY FEVER	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	JAUNDICE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PAINFUL OR "TRICK" SHOULDER OR ELBOW	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		

20. HAVE YOU HAD ILLNESSES OTHER THAN THOSE LISTED ABOVE? YES ☐ NO ☒ (If yes, describe and give age at which occurred)

21. HAVE YOU HAD ACCIDENTS OR INJURIES OTHER THAN THOSE LISTED ABOVE? YES ☐ NO ☐ (If yes, describe and give age at which occurred)

amp. and joint l. middle finger age 40

22. HAVE YOU HAD OR HAVE YOU BEEN ADVISED TO HAVE ANY OPERATIONS? YES ☒ NO ☐ (If yes, describe and give age at which occurred)

*Appendectomy - age 9
 Tonsillectomy - " 19*

23. HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PATIENT IN A HOSPITAL? YES ☒ NO ☐ (If yes, specify when, where, and why)

*1918 - Euth. Amm. - appendectomy
 1928 " " - Tonsillectomy*

1942 - Cleveland O. - Observation for neuritis. negative

24. HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PATIENT (COMMITTED OR VOLUNTARY) IN A MENTAL HOSPITAL? YES ☐ NO ☒ (If yes, specify when, where, and why)

25. HAVE YOU EVER BEEN INOCULATED AGAINST THE FOLLOWING (Check); IF YES, IN WHICH YEAR DID YOU RECEIVE THE LAST INOCULATION?

DISEASE	CHECK YES NO	YEAR	DISEASE	CHECK YES NO	YEAR	DISEASE	CHECK YES NO	YEAR	DISEASE	CHECK YES NO	YEAR
DIPHTHERIA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		TYPHOID FEVER	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		ROCKY MOUNTAIN SPOTTED FEVER	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		YELLOW FEVER	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
SMALLPOX	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1946	INFLUENZA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		TYPHUS FEVER	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		PLAGUE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
TETANUS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		WHOOPING COUGH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		CHOLERA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		JAPANESE B. ENCEPHALITIS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

26. OCCUPATIONAL HISTORY. ARE YOU RIGHT HANDED? ☐ LEFT HANDED? ☒

HAVE YOU EVER WORKED WITH RADIOACTIVE SUBSTANCES? ☐ YES ☒ NO
HAVE YOU BEEN UNABLE TO HOLD A JOB BECAUSE OF:
SENSITIVITY TO CHEMICALS, DUST, SUNLIGHT, ETC.? ☐ YES ☒ NO
INABILITY TO PERFORM CERTAIN MOTIONS? ☐ YES ☒ NO
INABILITY TO ASSUME CERTAIN POSITIONS? ☐ YES ☒ NO
OTHER MEDICAL REASONS (If yes, give reason), ☐ YES ☒ NO

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN REFUSED EMPLOYMENT BECAUSE OF YOUR HEALTH? YES ☐ NO ☒
IF YES, STATE REASON AND GIVE DETAILS.

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN DISQUALIFIED FOR DUTY IN OR DISCHARGED FROM THE ARMED SERVICES FOR PHYSICAL OR MENTAL REASONS? YES ☐ NO ☒
IF YES, GIVE REASONS.

HOW MANY JOBS HAVE YOU HAD IN THE PAST 3 YEARS? 1

WHAT IS THE LONGEST PERIOD YOU HELD ANY OF THESE JOBS? 25 MONTHS

WHAT IS YOUR USUAL OCCUPATION? F.P.I.

27. HAVE YOU EVER RECEIVED, IS THERE PENDING, HAVE YOU APPLIED FOR, OR DO YOU INTEND TO APPLY FOR PENSION OR COMPENSATION FOR DISABILITY? YES ☐ NO ☒ IF YES, GIVE DETAILS AND SPECIFY AS FOLLOWS:

A. WHAT KIND?

B. GRANTED BY WHOM?

C. WHEN?

D. WHY?

28. HAVE YOU EVER CONSULTED OR BEEN TREATED BY CLINICS, PHYSICIANS, HEALERS, OR OTHER PRACTITIONERS WITHIN THE PAST 5 YEARS? YES ☒ NO ☐ (Give details and reasons)

Usual minor ailments - also for gastric peptic ulcer

HAVE YOU TREATED YOURSELF FOR ILLNESSES? YES ☒ NO ☐ IF YES, WHICH ILLNESSES? Ulcer

29. HAVE YOU ANY PHYSICAL OR MENTAL COMPLAINTS AT PRESENT? YES ☐ NO ☒ IF YES, GIVE DETAILS AND DURATION.

30. I CERTIFY THAT I HAVE REVIEWED THE FOREGOING INFORMATION SUPPLIED BY ME AND THAT IT IS TRUE AND COMPLETE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE.

Leland V. Boardman
(SIGNATURE OF EXAMINEE)

LELAND V. BOARDMAN
(NAME TYPED OR PRINTED)

31. SUMMARY OF HISTORY (With elaboration of pertinent data) AND ADDITIONAL HISTORY (To be supplied only by physician or examiner)

- 1) Headache frontal - pressure - in lower region of forehead.
- 2) Tremor about 1929 - no neural.
- 3) Stomach, mild - 1942 and same attack.
- 4) Pharynx, 1944 - no neural.
- 5) Appetite as child. No regular.
- 6) No of headache - no neural.
- 7) Abdominal pain in lower part - neural.

32. SIGNATURE OF PHYSICIAN OR EXAMINER

NAME TYPED OR PRINTED

DATE

Dr. H. C. ... 1949 ... 12/15/53
U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE 16-75400-1

Best Copy Available

January 15, 1954

Mr. L. V. Boardman
Federal Bureau of Investigation
New York, New York

Dear Mr. Boardman:

I am indeed pleased to advise you of your
designation as an Assistant to the Director.

If it is convenient to you, it is suggested
that you endeavor to report to the Seat of Govern-
ment on or about February 15, 1954.

Sincerely yours,

J. Edgar Hoover

cc Mr. Ladd
Mr. Nichols
Mr. Glavin

SENT FROM D. O.	
TIME	6:11 PM
DATE	1-15-54
BY	JPC

CT:DSS

Tolson
Ladd
Nichols
Belmont
Clegg
Glavin
Harbo
Rosen
Tracy
Gearty
Mohr
Winterrowd
Tele. Room
Holloman
Miss Gandy

JAN 19 1954

115

RECORD UNIT

67-95-28607-458
SEARCHED
INDEXED
SERIALIZED
FILED
JAN 18 1954
FBI - NEW YORK

January 14, 1954

MEMORANDUM FOR MR. TOLSON

On Friday, January 8, 1954, I discussed with SAC L. V. Boardman, at the New York Office, my desire to have him come to Washington and assume the duties of the Assistant to the Director, in view of the forthcoming retirement of Mr. Ladd. I discussed with Mr. Boardman generally some of the problems incident to the administration of this position and I believe he will enter upon his new duties with enthusiasm and with a deep sense of responsibility. I told Mr. Boardman that I was desirous of having him report here about the first of February in view of the fact that Mr. Ladd was having his retirement take effect at the end of February.

Since talking with Mr. Boardman, it may be necessary for him to report here not later than February 15, in view of the fact that I will want him to be with the new Agent in Charge at New York to thoroughly brief him before he leaves New York City to report to Washington.

Mr. Boardman stated that he would take no action towards disposing of his house until there was some formal announcement of his designation as Assistant to the Director. I stated I thought this would be desirable and I did not wish to have the matter discussed at all by him until I had made the formal announcement, which I would do some time this week. I told Mr. Boardman that if it became necessary for him to return to New York later to close up his personal affairs, that this would be entirely agreeable.

I then discussed with Mr. Boardman certain problems existing in the New York Office and the need for the new SAC. I would designate shortly, to be thoroughly briefed by him, Mr. Boardman, upon these problems, particularly the relationship with the U. S. Attorney's Office in the Southern Judicial District of New York.

Tolson _____
Ladd _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Clegg _____
Glavin _____
Harbo _____
Rosen _____
Tracy _____
Gearty _____
Mohr _____
Winterrowd _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
 Sizoo _____
Miss Gandy _____

JEH:mpd

SENT FROM D. O.	
TIME	Pm
DATE	1-15-54
BY	AK

67-3211-459

Very truly yours,

John Edgar Hoover
Director

JAN 20 1954

January 10, 1954

Mr. Leland V. Boardman
Federal Bureau of Investigation
New York, New York

Dear Mr. Boardman:

Your headquarters are being changed, public business permitting, from New York, New York, to Washington, D. C., effective upon your arrival there on or after this date. You are to assume the duties of Assistant to the Director.

This change is made for official reasons and not primarily for your convenience or benefit, or at your request. You will be allowed your necessary expenses of transportation and a per diem in lieu of subsistence of \$9.00 in connection therewith, such expenses to include the transportation of your immediate family as provided for in Public Law 600 of August 2, 1946, and Executive Order 9805, approved November 25, 1946, as amended.

You are authorized to use a privately owned automobile in connection with your transfer and you will be reimbursed at the rate of seven cents per mile not to exceed the cost of common carrier by the most direct route, plus incidental expenses in connection therewith, of all persons officially traveling in that vehicle. Should your dependents travel by privately owned automobile separate and apart from you, mileage at seven cents per mile is authorized under the same conditions as above.

The transportation of your household goods and personal effects will be paid in accordance with regulations contained in Public Law 600 of August 2, 1946, and Executive Order 9805, approved November 25, 1946, as amended.

Respectfully,

cc - New York (PAC)
Mr. Tolson PAC)
Mr. L. B. Nichols
Mr. Clegg

Mr. H. L. Edwards
DJB:brt

Very truly yours,

John Edgar Hoover
Director

Tolson _____
Ladd _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Clegg _____
Glavin _____
Harbo _____
Rosen _____
Tracy _____
Laughlin _____
Mohr _____
Winterrowd _____
Tele. Rm. _____
Holloman _____
Gandy _____

MAILED 9

JAN 18 1954

JAN 20 1954

67-345-460

Conrad

JAN 19 1954

Prepared by: *dbt*
Checked by:
Filed by: *[Signature]*

January 18, 1954

Mr. Leland V. Boardman
Federal Bureau of Investigation
New York, New York

Dear Mr. Boardman:

With reference to my letter of January 15, 1954, I am indeed pleased to advise you that you are being promoted from the position of Special Agent, \$12,200 per annum in Grade GS 16, to the position of Assistant to the Director, \$14,800 per annum in Grade GS 18, effective March 1, 1954.

Sincerely yours,

J. Edgar Hoover

John Edgar Hoover
Director

CC: Movement Section

CC: Miss

b6

JW:dbt *dbt*

RECEIVED READING ROOM
JAN 18 12 12 PM '54
FBI

Tolson _____
Ladd _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Clegg _____
Glavin _____
Harbo _____
Rosen _____
Tracy _____
Gearty _____
Mohr _____
Winterrowd _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
Miss Gandy _____

COMM - FBI
JAN 21 1954
MAILED 31
115

67-28609-461
JAN 18 1954
13 JAN 20 1954
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
[Signature]



States Department of Justice
Federal Bureau of Investigation

290 Broadway
New York 7, New York

Mr. Tolson	✓
Mr. Ladd	
Mr. Nichols	
Mr. Belmont	✓
Mr. Clegg	✓
Mr. Glavin	✓
Mr. Harbo	
Mr. Rosen	
Mr. Tracy	
Mr. Mohr	
Mr. Trotter	
Mr. Winterrowd	
Tele. Room	
Mr. Holloman	
Miss Gandy	

January 18, 1954

Mr. J. Edgar Hoover
Director, FBI
Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Hoover:

I have your letter dated
January 15, 1954, advising me of my designation as an Assistant to the Director.

I am highly appreciative of
the confidence indicated by this appointment,
and assure you that I will do my very best
to properly fulfill the responsibilities
imposed upon me.

I will be very pleased to
report to the Seat of Government on
February 15, 1954, or sooner, should any
intervening situation make it so desirable.

Sincerely yours,

L. V. Boardman
LELAND V. BOARDMAN

LVB:MT

RECORDED-21

67-38609-462	
Searched
Numbered	157
11 JAN 21 1954	
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION	

JAN 25 1954
JAN 25 1954
JAN 25 1954

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Mr. Tolson

DATE: January 18, 1954

FROM : L. B. Nichols

SUBJECT:

Tolson
Ladd
Belmont
Clegg
Glavin
Harbo
Rosen
Tracy
Mohr
Tele. Room
Holloman
 Sizoo
Miss Gandy

Frank McComber, Washington representative of the Copley Press handling San Diego newspapers (METropolitan 8-6435) called Mr. McGuire this morning stating he had been advised in confidence that if he made a check at the Bureau this morning, there might be some announcement of personnel changes.

Mr. McComber was advised that we knew of no announcement to be made from Washington on any personnel changes; that last week the retirement of Assistant Director H. H. Clegg had appeared in the press and possibly he was referring to that. McComber stated he saw Clegg's retirement in the news but understood there were to be a number of other changes and asked that if anything was said from Washington he be advised.

He was told that any announcement from Washington would be most doubtful but we would bear his request in mind.

J. J. Kelly of Boston telephoned at 11:20 a.m. advising that he expected to arrive at New York next Monday, January 25. He stated that he was going to put his house up for sale and was told this was perfectly proper. Additionally, Kelly was told he was to go ahead and say goodbye to good contacts such as Archbishop Cushing, the Governor, etc., and while he should make no press release, he should routinely answer any inquiries he may receive concerning his transfer to New York as Special Agent in Charge.

L. V. Boardman has advised that he, likewise, has put his house up for sale but that he was not going to make any immediate overture at saying goodbye as he plans to be in New York until February 15 in order to go over matters with Kelly. Boardman advised that when inquiries are received, he will routinely answer them advising that he has been transferred to the Washington Headquarters of the Bureau as an assistant to Mr. Hoover.

cc: Mr. Glavin
Mr. Jones

RECEIVED
JAN 26 1954

DEC

524-587
17

Right

11

11

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Mr. Tolson ✓

DATE: Jan. 22, 1954

FROM : L. B. Nichols

SUBJECT:

L. V. BOARDMAN

Tolson _____
 Ladd _____
 Nichols _____
 Belmont _____
 Clegg _____
 Glavin _____
 Harbo _____
 Rosen _____
 Tracy _____
 Gearty _____
 Mohr _____
 Winterrowd _____
 Tele. Room _____
 Holloman _____
 Sizoo _____
 Miss Gandy _____

SAC Boardman advised Mr. McGuire at 4:15 p.m. today that the New York Times had noted the Associated Press statement regarding SAC Kelly's transfer from Boston to New York City and the Times accordingly was making inquiry for a very brief background on Boardman. Boardman stated he had informed the Times, in response to a specific question, that he was transferred to Washington as an Assistant to the Director. Boardman read an adequate brief biographical sketch, which was most moderate, and was told it was entirely satisfactory.

Andy Tully of Scripps Howard called locally regarding Boardman's transfer to Washington and the transfer was confirmed.

cc: Mr. Jones

JJM:MP

RECORDED - 53

67-38609-463

Searched _____

Numbered _____ 50

JAN 26 1954

12 JAN 23 1954

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

CRIMINAL RECORDS SECTION

30 FEB 3 1954

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

FORM APPROVED
BUDGET BUREAU NO. 50-R064

Prepared by *RM*
Check *RM*
Date *2/1/54*

NOTIFICATION OF PERSONNEL ACTION

1. NAME (MR.-MISS-MRS.-FIRST-MIDDLE INITIAL-LAST) MR. LELAND V. BOARDMAN		2. DATE OF BIRTH 4-21-09	3. JOURNAL OR ACTION No. F.B.I. 12069	4. DATE 1-18-54 XXXXXX
This is to notify you of the following action affecting your employment:				
5. NATURE OF ACTION (USE STANDARD TERMINOLOGY) PROMOTION		6. EFFECTIVE DATE 3-1-54	7. CIVIL SERVICE OR OTHER LEGAL AUTHORITY Schedule A Part 6.108 (E)	
FROM Special Agent GS 16 \$12,200 per annum		8. POSITION TITLE Asst. to the Director GS 18 \$14,800 per annum Office of the Asst. to the Director D. C.	TO	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FIELD <input type="checkbox"/> DEPARTMENTAL		12. FIELD OR DEPT'L	<input type="checkbox"/> FIELD <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DEPARTMENTAL	
13. VETERAN'S PREFERENCE NONE <input type="checkbox"/> WWII <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input type="checkbox"/> 5-PT. <input type="checkbox"/> 10-POINT <input type="checkbox"/> DISAB. OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>		14. POSITION CLASSIFICATION ACTION NEW <input type="checkbox"/> VICE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I. A. <input type="checkbox"/> REAL <input type="checkbox"/> D. Milton Ladd retired eff. 2-28-54		
15. SEX M	16. RACE M	17. APPROPRIATION S. & E. FBI. 18. FROM: same 18. TO: same APPROVED		19. DATE OF APPOINTMENT AFFIDAVITS (ACCESSIONS ONLY) 2-28-54
18. FROM: same		18. SUBJECT TO C. S. RETIREMENT ACT (YES-NO) Yes		20. LEGAL RESIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> CLAIMED <input type="checkbox"/> PROVED STATE:
J. E. Hoover DIRECTOR, F. B. I.				
<i>Effective 3-13-55 basis for this position Now Public Law #94, 84th Congress</i>				
REMARKS: The provisions of the Universal Military Training and Service Act of 1951 have been complied with. The classification grade of this position is subject to post-audit and correction pursuant to Section 1310 of the Supplemental Appropriation Act, 1952 - Public Law #253, approved 11-1-51. This promotion is temporary in accordance with Public Law #843, approved 9-27-50. From. changed to perm. action eff. 9-11-54 - P.L. 763				
3 FEB 11 1954		67-NOT RECORDED-18 William P. Rogers SIGNATURE OR OTHER AUTHENTICATION		

1. Agency and organizational designations U.S. Department of Justice Federal Bureau of Investigation					2. Pay roll period		3. Block No.		4. Slip No. <div style="font-size: 1.5em; font-weight: bold;">12315</div>	
5. Employee's name (and social security account number when appropriate) <div style="font-size: 1.2em; font-weight: bold;">MR. LELAND V. BOARDMAN</div> <div style="float: right; font-weight: bold;">CA</div>					6. Grade and salary <div style="font-size: 1.2em; font-weight: bold;">GS 16 \$12,000</div>					
PAY ROLL CHANGE DATA										
	BASE PAY	OVERTIME		GROSS PAY	RET.	TAX	BOND	F. I. C. A.		NET PAY
7. Previous normal										
8. New normal										
9. Pay this period										
10. Remarks:						11. Appropriation(s)		12. Prepared by <div style="font-size: 2em; font-weight: bold;">3</div>		
<div> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Periodic step-increase <input type="checkbox"/> Pay adjustment <input type="checkbox"/> Other step-increase </div>						13. Audited by				
14. Effective date	15. Date last equivalent increase	16. Old salary rate	17. New salary rate	18. Performance rating is satisfactory or better,						
1-31-54	8-3-52	\$12,000	\$12,200	(Signature or other authentication)						
19. LWOP data (Fill in appropriate spaces covering LWOP during following periods): Period(s):				(Check applicable box in case of excess LWOP)						
<div style="font-size: 1.5em; font-weight: bold;">FEB 11 1954</div>				<input type="checkbox"/> In pay status at end of waiting period.						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No excess LWOP. Total excess LWOP				<input type="checkbox"/> In LWOP status at end of waiting period.						
STANDARD FORM NO. 1126d—Revised Form prescribed by Comp. Gen., U. S. Nov. 8, 1950, General Regulations No. 102				PAY ROLL CHANGE SLIP—PERSONNEL COPY						

MENT OF JUSTICE
~~Admission~~ ✓
CU. 74
Vancouver
ault. of the New

MENT OF JUSTICE
~~Admission~~ ✓
CU. 74
Vancouver
ault. of the New

Mr. Tolson _____
Mr. Ladd _____
Mr. Nichols _____
Mr. Belmont _____
Mr. Clegg _____
Mr. Glavin _____
Mr. Harbo _____
Mr. Rosen _____
Mr. Tracy _____
Mr. Mohr _____
Mr. Trotter _____
Mr. Winterrowd _____
Tele. Room _____
Mr. Holloman _____
Miss Holmes _____
Miss Gandy _____

b6

+

RECEIVED
 FBI
 1 2 3

RECORDED-51

[Handwritten signature]
TELETYPE

130

February 3, 1954

Mr. Leland V. Boardman
Federal Bureau of Investigation
New York, New York

b6

Dear Boardman:

I have just learned of the
arrival of your little grandson,
[redacted], and I did want to
send this note of congratulations
to Mrs. Boardman and to you.

May the young man's future
be filled with all the good things
life has to offer.

Sincerely,

J. Edgar Hoover

CC: Mr. Leinbaugh (sent direct)
Note --- Grandson

67-38609-464
CV:nd

Tolson _____
Ladd _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Clegg _____
Glavin _____
Harbo _____
Rosen _____
Tracy _____
Gearty _____
Mohr _____
Winterrowd _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
 Sizoo _____
Miss Gandy _____

130

COMM - FBI
FEB 4 - 1954
MAILED 27

RECEIVED DIRECTOR
FEB 4 1954
U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE

RECEIVED READING ROOM
FEB 3 5 56 PM '54
FBI

RECEIVED DIRECTOR
FEB 4 1954
U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE

January 15, 1954

MEMORANDUM FOR MR. TOLSON

Incident to the vacancies recently occasioned by certain retirements in the Bureau, I desire that the following designations be made:

Assistant to the Director: SAC L. V. Boardman of the New York Field Division

Special Agent in Charge of New York: SAC J. J. Kelly of the Boston Field Division

Assistant Director in Charge of Training: Mr. Rolf Harbo

Assistant Director in Charge of the Laboratory: Mr. Quinn Tamm

Special Agent in Charge of Chicago: Mr. W. G. Banister of the Minneapolis Field Division

Special Agent in Charge of Minneapolis: ASAC C. B. Howard of the Minneapolis Field Division

Special Agent in Charge at Boston: SAC H. G. Foster of the Cincinnati Field Division

Special Agent in Charge of Cincinnati: SAC M. W. McFarlin of the Little Rock Field Division

Special Agent in Charge of Little Rock: ASAC W. W. Burke of the Miami Field Division

I think that ASAC Howard, now designated as SAC at Minneapolis; SAC Foster of Cincinnati, now designated as SAC at Boston; SAC McFarlin of Little Rock, now designated as SAC of Cincinnati; and ASAC Burke of Miami, now designated as SAC of Little Rock, should be called to Washington for conference incident to the assumption of their new duties. I think that SAC Kelly of Boston, now designated as SAC of New York, should also be called to Washington shortly after he assumed his duties at New York City.

Very truly yours,

JEH:mpd

John Edgar Hoover
Director

82 FEB 2 1954

0-19

Tolson _____
 Ladd _____
 Nichols *[initials]* _____
 Belmont *[initials]* _____
 Clegg _____
 Glavin _____
 Harbo _____
 Rosen _____
 Tracy _____
 Laughlin _____
 Mohr _____
 Tele. Rm. _____
 Holloman *[initials]* _____
 Gandy *[initials]* _____

Boardman Is Named Aide To Hoover of the FBI

Leland V. Boardman, a Federal Bureau of Investigation agent for 20 years and head of the New York office since 1952, has been appointed an assistant to FBI Chief J. Edgar Hoover, the agency announced yesterday.

He will succeed D. Milton Ladd who retires at the end of next month after 26 years service. Mr. Boardman will be one of two assistants to the director, the other being Louis B. Nichols.

Mr. Boardman joined the FBI in 1934, after graduating from the University of Minnesota. He had assignments in various parts of the country before becoming head of the New York office in August, 1952. He lives at Tenafly, N. J. and expects to come to Washington about February 15. He will be succeeded in the New York office by James J. Kelley, special agent in charge of the Boston FBI office.

Times-Herald _____

Wash. Post _____

Wash. News _____

Wash. Star A 16

N.Y. Mirror _____


N.Y. Compass _____

Date: JAN 24 1954

17 FEB 9 1954

RE: LELAND BOARDMAN
PERSONNEL

Mr. Tolson _____
Mr. Ladd _____
Mr. Nichols _____
Mr. Belmont _____
Mr. Clegg _____
Mr. Glavin ☒ _____
Mr. Harbo _____
Mr. Rosen _____
Mr. Tracy _____
Mr. Mohr _____
Mr. Trotter _____
Mr. Winterrowd _____
Tele. Room _____
Mr. Holloman _____
Miss Gandy _____



SAN FRANCISCO NEWS
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA
JANUARY 25, 1954

SEARCHED.....	INDEXED.....
SERIALIZED.....	FILED.....
JAN 26 1954	
FBI - SAN FRANCISCO	

70 25
FEB 9 1954

NOT RECORDED-II

Lehrstuhl V. Benedikt

RECEIVED RECORDS SECTION
FEB 3 5 56 PM '54
FBI
Leland

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : MR. TOLSON

DATE: January 29, 1954

FROM : W. R. GLAVIN

SUBJECT:

J. J. Kelly

Tolson _____
 Ladd _____
 Nichols _____
 Belmont _____
 Clegg _____
 Glavin _____
 Harbo _____
 Rosen _____
 Tracy _____
 Laughlin _____
 Mohr _____
 Winterrowd _____
 Tele. Rm. _____
 Holloman _____
 Gandy _____

L.V. D
 While discussing other matters with SAC Boardman of the New York Office, he advised that SAC Kelly of the Boston Office had reported to New York this afternoon for duty as Special Agent in Charge of the New York Office.

Mr. Boardman stated that unless advised to the contrary all correspondence and communications of the New York Office would go out under Kelly's signature as Agent in Charge beginning Monday, February 1, 1954. I advised Mr. Boardman that such an arrangement was entirely satisfactory.

CC: Mr. Nichols (sent direct)

b6

WRG:gt

RECORDED

67-58601-465

12 FEB 3 1954

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

128
 30 FEB 12 1954

NOT RECORDED
 20 FEB 2 1954

February 1, 1954

b6



Dear :

I cannot tell you how pleased I was to receive your letter of January 25, 1954, and I want to thank you for your generous comments concerning Lee Boardman and Mickey Ladd. It is indeed gratifying to learn that you have such a high opinion of them.

The support which you have always given us is a source of real encouragement, and Clyde and my other associates join me in sending you our best wishes.

b6

Sincerely,
Edgar

NOTE: Salutation per Bureau mailing is on the Special Correspondents' list.

U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE

RECEIVED READING ROOM

FEB 1 12 01 PM '54

FEB 1 12 21 PM '54

U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE

RECEIVED

30 FEB 15 1954

115

ELT:jac:bn1

FEB 1 12 05 PM '54

U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE

FBI

RECEIVED READING ROOM

- Tolson _____
- Ladd _____
- Nichols _____
- Belmont _____
- Clegg _____
- Glavin _____
- Harbo _____
- Rosen _____
- Tracy _____
- Gearty _____
- Mohr _____
- Winterrowd _____
- Tele. Room _____
- Holloman _____
- Miss Gandy _____

MAILED 6
FEB 1 1954
COMM-FBI

W

ELT

b6

January 25, 1954.

(4)

Mr. Tolson	✓
Mr. Ladd	✓
Mr. Nichols	✓
Mr. Belmont	✓
Mr. Clegg	✓
Mr. Glavin	✓
Mr. Harbo	✓
Mr. Rosen	✓
Mr. Tracy	✓
Mr. Trotter	✓
Mr. Winterrowd	✓
Tele. Room	✓
Mr. Holloman	✓
Miss Gandy	✓

My dear Mr. Hoover:

The notice of your appointment of Leland V. Boardman as your Assistant, to succeed Mr. Ladd who is retiring, was read with real satisfaction. Although I have not known Mr. Boardman for very long, I do know of his record, and during the past recent months, from my personal contacts, have found him to be outstanding. Your selection of him is an excellent choice.

Mr. Boardman will fill the position of a man whom I have known ever since we entered Law School together, and who has proved to be a real credit to you and the F.B.I.

You and your Organization, to the American people, are synonymous to efficiency, integrity, and freedom. I can assure you that at all times you, Clyde Tolson, and your associates have my prayerful support, for your whole objective is to preserve the freedom of the individual, which is the basic tenet of true Americanism as well as Christianity itself.

Kindest personal regards to you and Clyde.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Hon. J. Edgar Hoover,
Director,
Federal Bureau of Investigation,
Washington, D. C.

RECORDED-91

INDEXED-91

67-38609-466
Searched
Numbered
JAN 26 1954

encl
ack 2/1/54
H. L. T.

38609-466
CLASSIFIED
JAN 26 1954

Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. Ladd	_____
Mr. Nichols	_____
Mr. Belmont	_____
Mr. Clegg	_____
Mr. Glavin	_____
Mr. Harbo	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Tracy	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Trotter	_____
Mr. Winterrowd	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Mr. Holloman	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

Lee Boardman Up

That was good news in the News-Press Sunday. Lee Boardman, FBI chief over St. Joseph from January, 1950, to August, 1952, has been named assistant to the big chief, J. Edgar Hoover. Knowing Lee V. Boardman and admiring him greatly we congratulate, not so much Lee, as we do J. Edgar.

That man Hoover has an uncanny knack for picking good FBI men. We have rarely known it to fail. Many years ago we were much impressed with the ability of our old friend and crony Dwight Brantley. He was over St. Joseph in those days, the area headquarters then, as now, being in Kansas City. Upon one visit to Mr. Hoover in Washington or New York we congratulated him on that man Brantley. Then he opened up. And when he ended we knew that Dwight Brantley was in Edgar Hoover's estimation one of the great FBI men of all Edgar's years. Dwight has since retired.

So it seems that Mr. Hoover sees what we have suspected, there is a great future in Lee Boardman. From the western Missouri FBI area Lee went to New York. If that was not a promotion we do not know what a promotion is. Now he becomes assistant to Chief Hoover.

The FBI is the shining star in the firmament of American public affairs. Once we pointed to it and the Supreme Court as our twin planets of greatest magnitude. Over the years we believe the FBI outshines the Supreme Court though the guardianship of Chief Justice Earl Warren may bring back the court's golden years. We hope so.

Anyway congratulations, Mr. Hoover, and may Lee V. Boardman serve long as an inspiration to the newer men in your fine organization.

ST. JOSEPH, MO. NEWS PRESS Jan. 25, 1954

EDITOR: MR. ARTHUR V. BURROUES

9Th & Edmund

St. Joseph, Mo.

30 FEB 16 1954

*one (S. J. Burroes)
mem. sent to Mr. Tolson
Let to Burroes on 2/2/54
mcl.*

(13)

*File
for Mr. Nichols
Mr.
Bureau
mcl (4)*

February 5, 1954

Mr. Roy A. Roberts
President and Editor
Kansas City Star
Kansas City, Missouri

Dear Roy:

Your editorial, "Lee Boardman's Advancement," which appeared in your paper on January 26, 1954, has come to my attention and I want to thank you very much for the very kind comments and confidence you expressed in Mr. Boardman.

You may be sure that all of us in the FBI sincerely appreciate your commendation and support.

With best wishes and kind regards,

Sincerely,

Edgar

cc - Kansas City

MAILED 16

FEB 8 1954

COMM-FBI

NOTE: Correspondent is on the Special Correspondents List. Editorial ticklers in the Crime Records Section reflect very cordial correspondence with him.

It is to be noted, however, that Mr. Ed. Nellor gave Mr. Nichols a story released by the North American Newspaper Alliance by Mr. Roberts under the date of December 29, 1953. At the end of this release, Roberts states it is a pity that a bipartisan commission

Tolson _____
Ladd _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Clegg _____
Glavin _____
Harbo _____
Rosen _____
Tracy _____
Gearty _____
Mohr _____
Winterrowd _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
Miss Gandy _____

(see NOTE next page)

Mr. Roy A. Roberts

February 5, 1954

NOTE:

of three outstanding Democrats and three outstanding Republicans, without bias on the issue of Communists in the Government, could not be set up to go over the great mass of material the FBI is gathering; that this would keep both the probe itself and the FBI out of political implications. Roberts then observed that the Loyalty Board set-up did not work and Congress certainly is not going to surrender the power of investigating this Communist topic.

Mr. Nichols talked with Mr. Duke Shoop about the foregoing on January 27, 1954. He had not seen the story. He said that Roy Roberts had gone far out of line; that what Roberts has been talking about is a Joint Committee of Congress and that Roberts is against the commission idea and had opposed Truman's Nimitz Commission. Mr. Shoop stated that he would straighten Roberts out on this.

REF TO

Tolson	_____
Mr. Ladd	_____
Mr. Nichols	_____
Mr. Belmont	_____
Mr. Clegg	_____
Mr. Glavin	_____
Mr. Harbo	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Tracy	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Trotter	_____
Mr. Winterrowd	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Mr. Holloman	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

Handwritten initials and a large circle around the number 36.

Lee Boardman's Advancement.
 Amiable Lee V. Boardman, became well known as an adopted son of Kansas City during the nearly three years he headed the FBI office here from 1950 to 1952. Thus there is considerable local interest in the announcement of his promotion to become one of the two top assistants to J. Edgar Hoover, chief of the FBI in Washington.
 In a post that demands professional obscurity for the most part, Boardman while in Kansas City showed a fine aptitude for representing the FBI before the public. He got along unusually well with newsmen and helped create better understanding of the FBI's activities and problems through his frequent talks at civic and club meetings. J. Edgar Hoover has chosen well. We congratulate both the famous FBI leader and his new assistant.

EDITORIAL FROM
 KANSAS CITY STAR
 KANSAS CITY, MISSOURI
 January 26, 1954

(C. D. Wellington, Managing Editor)

Roberts already on by 2-3-54 neg

only 5/2/54

67-38609-467

ENCLOSURE

4/gem

00

0

[Handwritten signature]

FD-36	
Mr. T	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mr. L	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mr. P	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mr. I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mr. C	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mr. E	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mr. G	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mr. H	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mr. J	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mr. K	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mr. M	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mr. N	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mr. O	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mr. R	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mr. S	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mr. T	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mr. U	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mr. V	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mr. W	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mr. X	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Y	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Z	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

A I R T E L

Transmit the following Teletype message to: DIRECTOR, FBI

LEAVING NEW YORK ON TRANSFER TO SEAT OF
GOVERNMENT, ONE TWENTY AM, FEBRUARY FIFTEENTH,
VIA PENN RR, ROOMETTE EIGHT, CAR AM SIXTEEN,
ARRIVING WASHINGTON, D.C., SEVEN FORTY FIVE AM.

L.V. BOARDMAN

LVB:MT

Noted in
Comm. Sect.
by *[Signature]*

cc: Movement

130
36
4 - FEB 16 1954

Approved: *[Signature]*
Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

57-NOT RECORDED
[Signature]

February 17, 1954

VIA LIAISON

Mr. Allen W. Dulles
Chairman
U. S. Communications
Intelligence Board
2430 E Street, N. W.
Washington, D. C.

*orig. to Dulles
2/18/54
pgp*

Dear Mr. Dulles:

Please be advised that effective immediately,
Assistant to the Director L. V. Boardman will be this
Bureau's representative on the U. S. Communications In-
telligence Board in replacement of Mr. D. M. Ladd.
Mr. Victor P. Keay will remain as alternate member.

Sincerely yours,

Tolson _____
Ladd _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Clegg _____
Glavin _____
Harbo _____
Rosen _____
Tracy _____
Gearty _____
Mohr _____
Winterrowd _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
Gandy _____

VPK:mls

Handwritten notes and stamps:
FEB 17 1954
RECEIVED
FEB 17 1954

Handwritten: 129
MAR 1 1954

Handwritten: 7-32697-4569

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
FEB 17 1954	
F. B. I.	
RECEIVED	
FEB 17 1954	
U. S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE	

Handwritten: 11-35 AM FEB 17 1954

ROUTING 5

Date

1/27/54

(5-12-52)

Memo To:

MR. L. B. NICHOLS

SAC

Title:

ASAC

Supervisor

Agent

Steno

Clerk

Chief Clerk

Special Employee

File No.

ACTION DESIRED

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Assign to | <input type="checkbox"/> Open Case |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Acknowledge | <input type="checkbox"/> Prepare Tickler |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Bring File | <input type="checkbox"/> Reassign to |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Call Me | <input type="checkbox"/> Recharge serials |
| <input type="checkbox"/> See Me | <input type="checkbox"/> Search and Return |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Correct | <input type="checkbox"/> Send serials |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Delinquent | <input type="checkbox"/> to |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Expedite | <input type="checkbox"/> Submit new charge-out |
| <input type="checkbox"/> File | <input type="checkbox"/> Submit report by |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Leads need attention | <input type="checkbox"/> Type |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Read, Initial and | <input type="checkbox"/> Return Serials |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Return | |

Undeveloped leads in your district awaiting attention.

Dear Lou: As per my telephone conversation with you today, I am attaching a photostatic copy of editorial which appeared in the St. Joseph, Mo., News Press, 1/25/54. I of course am not writing to Burrowes.

Encl

S.A.C.

L. V. BOARDMAN

Office

NYC

(In intra-office use return this with notation as to action taken or explanation.)

INDEXED - 69

EX-102

NOT RECORDED

25 FEB 4 1954

CRIME REC

PERS FILES

March 1, 1954

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

Mr. Leland V. Boardman
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D. C.

DECLASSIFIED BY 1565 SDP/KSR
ON 6-20-91

Dear Mr. Boardman:

I have carefully considered the facts relative to the recent disappearance of an item of evidence consisting of a Schick electric shaver from the bulky exhibit vault in the New York Office and it is apparent that while serving as Special Agent in Charge of that office you failed to insure that adequate safeguards were established and enforced. The over-all security of the office was your responsibility and had you properly discharged your obligations in this regard the evidence in question would not now be missing.

Your neglect in this matter is most serious and in the future I shall expect you to give more careful attention to such matters.

Very truly yours,

J. Edgar Hoover

John Edgar Hoover
Director

MAR 1 2 27 PM '54
RECEIVED READING ROOM
F B I
U S DEPT OF JUSTICE

Tolson _____
Ladd _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Clegg _____
Glavin _____
Harbo _____
Rosen _____
Tracy _____
Gandy _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
Sizoo _____
Miss Gandy _____

Loc - SOG New York Field Office File

NEMCD'mmm

91 jmt

MAR 3 1954

MAILED 8

MAR 1 - 1954

COMM-FB

MAR 1 2 57 PM '54

MAR 1 3 30 PM '54

MAR 1 3 30 PM '54

MAR 1 3 30 PM '54

MAR 1 3 30 PM '54

MAR 1 3 30 PM '54

MAR 1 3 30 PM '54

MAR 1 3 30 PM '54

MAR 1 3 30 PM '54

MAR 1 3 30 PM '54

MAR 1 3 30 PM '54

MAR 1 3 30 PM '54

MAR 1 3 30 PM '54

TO : Mr. Tolson

DATE: FEB. 11, 1954

FROM : L. B. Nichols

SUBJECT: *L.B. Nichols*

35
212
4
JUN 1 1954

Jack Wilson, of Look Magazine, advised Mr. McGuire that the piece he wrote some weeks ago on Mr. Tolson, Mr. Ladd and myself would appear in next week's issue of Look Magazine, and that since Mr. Ladd is now retiring, Wilson had in mind doing a short newspaper piece rather than a magazine piece for the Minnesota papers on Lee Boardman as Mr. Ladd's successor. Wilson stated that all he would need would be a short paragraph of biographical data for a news item.

I see no objection in this and unless instructed to the contrary, I will furnish this to Wilson.

cc: Mr. Boardman
Mr. Jones

JJM:arm

RECORDED-44

67-38667-471
11 FEB 18 1954

4 MAR 9 1954

CC-5a.

new

RECEIPT FOR GOVERNMENT PROPERTY
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

3/8/57

I certify that I have received the following Government property for official use:
~~returned~~

Parking Pass and Sticker for Space 86

8084

READ

The Government property which you hereby acknowledge is charged to you and you are responsible for taking care of it and returning it when its use has been completed. DO NOT MARK OR WRITE ON IT OR MULTILATE IT IN ANY WAY.

FILE
WRG

ma

NOT RECORDED-11

Very truly yours,

L.V. Boardman
L.V. Boardman

RECEIPT FOR GOVERNMENT PROPERTY
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

CC-5a

9

3-1-54

I certify that I have received the following Government property for official use:
~~returned~~

New Commission Card with case # 8
Assistant to the Director

RETURNED

Old Commission Card with case # 27
SAC

R E A D

The Government property which you hereby acknowledge is charged to you and you are responsible for taking care of it and returning it when its use has been completed. DO NOT MARK OR WRITE ON IT OR MUTILATE IT IN ANY WAY.

File
67-NOT RECORDED
Very truly yours,
L. V. Boardman
L. V. Boardman
Special Agent

4 MAR 1954

CC-5a

RECEIPT FOR GOVERNMENT PROPERTY
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

3-1-54

I certify that I have ~~received~~ the following Government property for official use:
.returned

GTR Identification Card #241

FILE

WRG

PER *mb* 67-NOV 14-14

89 MAR 18 1954 READ
The Government property which you hereby acknowledge
is charged to you and you are responsible for taking
care of it and returning it when its use has been
completed. DO NOT MARK OR WRITE ON IT OR MULTILATE
IT IN ANY WAY.

Very truly yours,

L. V. Boardman

L. V. Boardman

CC-5a

RECEIPT FOR GOVERNMENT PROPERTY
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

February 18, 1954

I certify that I have received the following Government property for official use:

~~returned~~

- 1- Supervisor's Manual #330
- 1- Manual of Instructions #67
- 1- Manual of Rules and Regulations #2

READ

The Government property which you hereby acknowledge is charged to you and you are responsible for taking care of it and returning it when its use has been completed. DO NOT MARK OR WRITE ON IT OR MULTILATE IT IN ANY WAY.

NOT RECORDED-13

FILE

WRG

PER nhb

Very truly yours,

L. V. Boardman
L. V. Boardman

Noted in Prop. Sec. file

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Mr. Glavin

DATE: February 17, 1954

FROM : H. L. Edwards

SUBJECT: MISSING EXHIBIT - ONESCHICK RAZOR
Case entitled "Leo Korsun, Alfred
Newton Heatherington, Fugitive, Et Al;
TFISTolson
Ladd
Nichols
Belmont
Clegg
Glavin
Harbo
Rosen
Tracy
Tele. Room
Holloman
Sizoo
Miss Gandy

b6

L. V. BOARDMAN

You will recall on 2/5/54, New York advised that a Schick electric razor secured as evidence in captioned case had been discovered missing from the bulky exhibit room in the New York Office. This razor had been seized on 4/13/51 at the time subject Leslie Hansen was arrested. Bulky exhibits in this case were examined in August, 1953, by SA [redacted] and found to be intact. Clerk [redacted], who is assigned to work in the bulky exhibit vault, does not recall seeing this exhibit in the latter part of October or early November, 1953, when he accidentally knocked the package from the shelf. The loss was discovered by [redacted] when he was checking the exhibits in the case preparatory to sending them to the U. S. Attorney. In addition to a buzzer system which was installed in the vault during the last inspection in December, 1953, to alert employees when the door was opened, the New York Office is maintaining a log for the purpose of recording the identity of every individual entering the vault with the time of entry and departure. A thorough search of the vault was made with negative results and all other exhibits in this case were found to be intact. SAC, New York was instructed to follow this matter closely and exhaust every possibility in an effort to recover the missing exhibit and fix responsibility for its disappearance.

By memo 2/12/54, SAC, New York advised that a search was conducted under the direction of various supervisors which included every floor in the building with negative results. Two employees had been assigned on 2/8/54 to inventory every exhibit in the vault and as of 2/12/54, they had checked approximately 1500 items and it was anticipated that this project would take nearly another month to complete. He stated that the Bureau would be kept advised of its progress.

On 2/16/54, SA Supervisor T. F. Ring, New York Office, telephonically advised that former clerk [redacted] was interviewed this date and denied having seen the exhibit or having any knowledge of its whereabouts. [redacted] had been assigned to work in the exhibit vault during August and from 9/12/53 to the date of his resignation, 10/22/53. SA Ring advised that the inventory of the exhibits in the vault was continuing and the Bureau would be kept advised of its progress.

CC: Mr. Rosen
Mr. Harbo

SOG New York Field Office File

NEMcd'mmm

RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE DIVISION

Inasmuch as SAC, New York has been instructed to follow this matter closely and exhaust every possibility in an effort to recover the missing exhibit and to fix responsibility for its disappearance, it is recommended that no administrative action be taken at this time.

I recommend letters to present SAC New York and to Mr. Boardman concerning the lack of proper safeguards re these exhibits

1 5/17

Agree
5/17

I agree
5/18

Yes.
X.

I agree but also recommend censure to Inspector who missed this defect.

4+
2/18

Yes.
X.

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : MR. TOLSON *V. pen D2*

DATE:

March 30, 1954

FROM : L. V. Boardman *LVB*

SUBJECT:

b6
b7D

MB

Tolson	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Ladd	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Clegg	<input type="checkbox"/>
Glavin	<input type="checkbox"/>
Nichols	<input type="checkbox"/>
Rosen	<input type="checkbox"/>
Tracy	<input type="checkbox"/>
Harbo	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mohr	<input type="checkbox"/>
Tele. Room	<input type="checkbox"/>
Nease	<input type="checkbox"/>
Gandy	<input type="checkbox"/>

For your information, I have been invited
to attend the following receptions:

[redacted] and a reception
is being held at 6:30 p.m. on April 8, 1954, to meet
[redacted].

Major General A. G. Trudeau, Assistant Chief of Staff, G-2,
is holding a reception at 7 p.m. on April 8, 1954, in
honor of the European and Canadian Military and Assistant.
Military Attaches.

Unless you instruct to the contrary, I plan to
endeavor to make an appearance at both of these receptions.
I will, however, in view of these two commitments, not be
able to attend the FBI National Academy reception, which
is also scheduled for April 8th.

LVB:CSH

OK.
RECORDED - 68

67-38609-472	
SEARCHED	171
INDEXED	
12 APR 1 1954	
FBI	VI 34

172
APR 7 1954

APR 11 1954

APR 11 1954

Mr. L. V. Boardman

Director, FBI

March 17, 1954

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

DELINQUENCIES IN SUPERVISION
OF PERSONNEL GUIDANCE PROGRAM

NEW YORK PERSONNEL GUIDANCE

The recently completed survey of the Personnel Guidance Program reflects the fact that on October 13, 1953, the Personnel Assistant in the New York Division spent 1 hour 10 minutes for lunch, which is in excess of the permissible lunch period. It was also determined that the reports of the Personnel Counselor of that office indicated conferences with the Personnel Assistant as follows:

10/1 - 1:30 - 2:45 PM (75 minutes)
10/8 - 1:45 - 2:30 PM (45 minutes)
10/15 - 2:00 - 2:30 PM (30 minutes)

The reports of the Personnel Assistant, however, do not list any of the above conferences and show he was handling other matters during the times attributed to these conferences by the Personnel Counselor.

Since you were Special Agent in Charge of the New York Division at that time, it is desired that you submit an explanation for having approved the daily reports containing these questionable items without having discovered them.

JIC:mmm

DECLASSIFIED BY 1565 SDR/KSR
ON 6-20-91

RECORDED-74

89 APR 6 1954

MAILED-7
MAR 18 1954
COMM - FBI

Tolson _____
Ladd _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Clegg _____
Glavin _____
Harbo _____
Rosen _____
Tracy _____
Gearty _____
Mohr _____
Winterrowd _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
Miss Gandy _____

67-723-1000
SEARCHED _____
SERIALIZED _____
INDEXED _____
FILED _____
MAR 18 1954
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

CERTIFICATE

LELAND V. BOARDMAN
 Name (Please type, or print).

ASSISTANT TO THE DIRECTOR
 Office or Division

1. Are you now or have you ever been a member of, contributed to, affiliated or associated with, any organization listed on the attachment to this certificate?

No
 Answer "Yes" or "No"

2. If your answer is "Yes" state the name of the organization, dates of membership and extent of participation. An explanation regarding membership in any of these organizations may be attached hereto on a separate sheet of paper, if you desire to explain the circumstances of your membership.

Name Address From To Office Held

CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that the above information is correct and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. I make this statement with the understanding that it will be used by the Department of Justice in carrying out the provisions of Executive Order 10450 and with knowledge that any false statement or omission of material fact may be sufficient cause for my dismissal or rejection of my application, and, further, may be cause for punishment as a violation of law including Section 1001, Title 18, U. S. Code.

4-15-54

(Date)

Leland V. Boardman
 (Usual Signature)

Attachment

APR 23 1954

67-NOT RECORDED

8 0
March 23, 1954

ORGANIZATIONS DESIGNATED BY THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE
UNITED STATES PURSUANT TO EXECUTIVE ORDER 10450.

Abraham Lincoln Brigade
Abraham Lincoln School, Chicago, Illinois
Action Committee to Free Spain Now
Alabama People's Educational Association (See Communist
Political Association)
American Association for Reconstruction in Yugoslavia, Inc.
American Branch of the Federation of Greek Maritime Unions
American Christian Nationalist Party
American Committee for European Workers' Relief (See Socialist
American Committee for Protection of Foreign Born Workers Party)
American Committee for the Settlement of Jews in Birobidjan, Ind.
American Committee for Spanish Freedom
American Committee to Survey Labor Conditions in Europe
American Committee for Yugoslav Relief, Inc.
American Council for a Democratic Greece, formerly known as
the Greek American Council; Greek American Committee
for National Unity
American Council on Soviet Relations
American Croatian Congress
American Jewish Labor Council
American League Against War and Fascism
American League for Peace and Democracy
American Lithuanian Workers Literary Association (also known
as Amerikos Lietuviu Darbininku Literaturos Draugija)
American National Labor Party
American National Socialist League
American National Socialist Party
American Nationalist Party
American Patriots, Inc.
American Peace Crusade
American Peace Mobilization
American Poles for Peace
American Polish League
American Polish Labor Council
American Rescue Ship Mission (a project of the United
American Spanish Aid Committee)
American-Russian Fraternal Society
American Russian Institute, New York, also known as the
American Russian Institute for Cultural Relations with
the Soviet Union
American Russian Institute, Philadelphia
American Russian Institute of San Francisco
American Russian Institute of Southern California, Los Angeles

American Slav Congress
 American Women for Peace
 American Youth Congress
 American Youth for Democracy
 Armenian Progressive League of America
 Associated Klans of America
 Association of Georgia Klans
 Association of German Nationals (Reichsdeutsche Vereinigung)
 Association of Lithuanian Workers
 (also known as Lietuviu Darbininku Susivienijimas)
 Ausland-Organization der NSDAP, Overseas Branch of Nazi Party
 Baltimore Forum
 Black Dragon Society
 Boston School for Marxist Studies, Boston, Massachusetts
 Bulgarian American People's League of the United States of America
 Bridges-Robertson-Schmidt Defense Committee
 California Emergency Defense Committee
 California Labor School, Inc., 321 Divisadero Street,
 San Francisco, California
 Carpatho-Russian People's Society
 Central Council of American Women of Croatian Descent,
 Also known as Central Council of American Croatian Women,
 National Council of Croatian Women
 Central Japanese Association (Beikoku Chuo Nipponjin Kai)
 Central Japanese Association of Southern California
 Central Organization of the German-American National
 Alliance (Deutsche-Amerikanische Einheitsfront)
 Cervantes Fraternal Society
 China Welfare Appeal, Inc.
 Chopin Cultural Center
 Citizens Committee to Free Earl Browder
 Citizens Committee for Harry Bridges
 Citizens Committee of the Upper West Side (New York City)
 Citizens Emergency Defense Conference
 Citizens Protective League
 Civil Rights Congress and its affiliated organizations,
 including:
 Civil Rights Congress for Texas
 Veterans Against Discrimination of Civil Rights
 Congress of New York
 Columbians
 Comite Coordinador Pro Republica Espanola
 Committee to Aid the Fighting South
 Committee for Constitutional and Political Freedom
 Committee to Defend Marie Richardson
 Committee for the Defense of the Pittsburgh Six
 Committee for a Democratic Far Eastern Policy
 Committee for Nationalist Action
 Committee for the Negro in the Arts
 Committee for Peace and Brotherhood Festival in Philadelphia
 Committee for the Protection of the Bill of Rights
 Committee to Uphold the Bill of Rights

8 0
 Committee for World Youth Friendship and Cultural Exchange
 Commonwealth College, Mena, Arkansas
 Communist Party, U. S. A., its subdivisions, subsidiaries
 and affiliates.
 Communist Political Association, its subdivisions, subsidiaries
 and affiliates, including:
 Alabama People's Educational Association
 Florida Press and Educational League
 Oklahoma League for Political Education
 People's Educational and Press Association of Texas
 Virginia League for People's Education
 Congress of American Revolutionary Writers
 Congress of American Women
 Connecticut Committee to Aid Victims of the Smith Act
 Connecticut State Youth Conference
 Council on African Affairs
 Council of Greek Americans
 Council for Jobs, Relief and Housing
 Council for Pan-American Democracy
 Croatian Benevolent Fraternity
 Dai Nippon Butoku Kai (Military Virtue Society of Japan
 or Military Art Society of Japan)
 Daily Worker Press Club
 Daniels Defense Committee
 Dante Alighieri Society (between 1935 and 1940)
 Dennis Defense Committee
 Detroit Youth Assembly
 Emergency Conference to Save Spanish Refugees (founding
 body of the North American Spanish Aid Committee)
 Families of the Baltimore Smith Act Victims
 Families of the Smith Act Victims
 Federation of Italian War Veterans in the U. S. A., Inc.
 (Associazione Nazionale Combattenti Italiani,
 Federazione degli Stati Uniti d'America)
 Finnish-American Mutual Aid Society
 Florida Press and Educational League (See Communist
 Political Association)
 Frederick Douglass Educational Center
 Freedom Stage, Inc.
 Friends of the New Germany (Freunde des Neuen Deutschlands)
 Friends of the Soviet Union
 Garibaldi American Fraternal Society
 George Washington Carver School, New York City
 German-American Bund (Amerikadeutscher Volksbund)
 German-American Republican League
 German-American Vocational League (Deutsche-Amerikanische
 Berufsgemeinschaft)
 Harlem Trade Union Council
 Hawaii Civil Liberties Committee

0 8
 Heimuska Kai, also known as Nokubei Heieki Gimusha Kai,
 Zaibel Nihonjin, Heiyaku Gimusha Kai, and Zaibel Heimusha
 Kai (Japanese residing in America Military Conscripts
 Association)
 Hellenic-American Brotherhood
 Hinode Kai (Imperial Japanese Reservists)
 Hinomaru Kai (Rising Sun Flag Society -- a group of Japanese
 War Veterans)
 Hokubei Zaigo Shoke Dan (North American Reserve Officers
 Association)
 Hollywood Writers Mobilization for Defense
 Hungarian-American Council for Democracy
 Hungarian Brotherhood
 Independent Socialist League
 Industrial Workers of the World
 International Labor Defense
 International Workers Order, its subdivisions, subsidiaries
 and affiliates
 Japanese Association of America
 Japanese Overseas Central Society (Kaigai Dobo Chuo Kai)
 Japanese Overseas Convention, Tokyo, Japan, 1940
 Japanese Protective Association (Recruiting Organization)
 Jefferson School of Social Science, New York City
 Jewish Culture Society
 Jewish People's Committee
 Jewish People's Fraternal Order
 Jikyoku Iinkai (The Committee for the Crisis)
 Joint Anti-Fascist Refugee Committee
 Joint Council of Progressive Italian-Americans, Inc.
 Joseph Weydemeyer School of Social Science, St. Louis,
 Missouri
 Kibei Seinen Kai (Association of U. S. Citizens of Japanese
 Ancestry who have returned to America after studying in Japan)
 Knights of the White Camellia
 Ku Klux Klan
 Kyffhaeuser, also known as Kyffhaeuser League (Kyffhaeuser
 Bund), Kyffhaeuser Fellowship (Kyffhaeuser Kameradschaft)
 Kyffhaeuser War Relief (Kyffhaeuser Kriegshilfswerk)
 Labor Council for Negro Rights
 Labor Research Association, Inc.
 Labor Youth League
 League of American Writers
 Lictor Society (Italian Black Shirts)
 Macedonian-American People's League
 Mario Morgantini Circle
 Maritime Labor Committee to Defend Al Lannon
 Massachusetts Minute Women for Peace
 Maurice Braverman Defense Committee

Michigan Civil Rights Federation
 Michigan School of Social Science
 Nanka Teikoku Gunyudan (Imperial Military Friends Group
 or Southern California War Veterans)
 National Association of Mexican Americans (also known as
 Asociacion Nacional Mexico-Americana)
 National Blue Star Mothers of America (not to be confused with
 the Blue Star Mothers of America organized in February 1942)
 National Committee for the Defense of Political Prisoners
 National Committee for Freedom of the Press
 National Committee to Win the Peace
 National Conference on American Policy in China and the
 Far East (a conference called by the Committee for a
 Democratic Far Eastern Policy)
 National Council of Americans of Croatian Descent
 National Council of American-Soviet Friendship
 National Federation for Constitutional Liberties
 National Labor Conference for Peace
 National Negro Congress
 National Negro Labor Council
 Nationalist Action League
 Nationalist Party of Puerto Rico
 Nature Friends of America (since 1935)
 Negro Labor Victory Committee
 New Committee for Publications
 Nichibei Kogyo Kaisha (The Great Fujii Theatre)
 North American Committee to Aid Spanish Democracy
 North American Spanish Aid Committee
 North Philadelphia Forum
 Northwest Japanese Association
 Ohio School of Social Sciences
 Oklahoma Committee to Defend Political Prisoners
 Oklahoma League for Political Education (See Communist
 Political Association)
 Original Southern Klans, Incorporated
 Pacific Northwest Labor School, Seattle, Washington
 Palo Alto Peace Club
 Partido del Pueblo of Panama (operating in the Canal Zone)
 Peace Information Center
 Peace Movement of Ethiopia
 People's Drama, Inc.
 People's Educational Association (Incorporated under name
 Los Angeles Educational Association, Inc.), also known as
 People's Educational Center, People's University,
 People's School
 People's Educational and Press Association of Texas
 People's Institute of Applied Religion
 People's Radio Foundation, Inc.
 Philadelphia Labor Committee for Negro Rights
 Philadelphia School of Social Science and Art
 Photo League (New York City)
 Political Prisoners' Welfare Committee

Polonia Society of the IWO
 Progressive German-Americans, also known as Progressive
 German-Americans of Chicago
 Proletarian Party of America
 Protestant War Veterans of the United States, Inc.
 Provisional Committee of Citizens for Peace, Southwest Area
 Puertorriquenos Unidos (Puerto Ricans United)
 Quad City Committee for Peace
 Revolutionary Workers League
 Romanian-American Fraternal Society
 Russian American Society, Inc.
 Sakura Kai (Patriotic Society, or Cherry Association--
 composed of veterans of Russo-Japanese War)
 Samuel Adams School, Boston, Massachusetts
 Santa Barbara Peace Forum
 Schappes Defense Committee
 Schneiderman-Darcy Defense Committee
 School of Jewish Studies, New York City
 Seattle Labor School, Seattle, Washington
 Serbian-American Fraternal Society
 Serbian Vidoudan Council
 Shinto Temples
 Silver Shirt Legion of America
 Slavic Council of Southern California
 Slovak Workers Society
 Slovenian-American National Council
 Socialist Workers Party, including American Committee
 for European Workers' Relief
 Socialist Youth League
 Sokoku Kai (Fatherland Society)
 Southern Negro Youth Congress
 Suiko Sha (Reserve Officers Association, Los Angeles)
 Tom Paine School of Social Science, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
 Tom Paine School of Westchester, New York
 Tri-State Negro Trade Union Council
 Ukrainian-American Fraternal Union
 Union of American Croats
 Union of New York Veterans
 United American Spanish Aid Committee
 United Committee of Jewish Societies and Landsmanschaft
 Federations, also known as Coordination Committee of
 Jewish Landsmanschaften and Fraternal Organizations
 United Committee of South Slavic Americans
 United Harlem Tenants and Consumers Organization
 United May Day Committee
 United Negro and Allied Veterans of America
 Veterans of the Abraham Lincoln Brigade

8 0

Veterans Against Discrimination of Civil Rights Congress
of New York (See Civil Rights Congress)
Virginia League for People's Education (See Communist
Political Association)
Voice of Freedom Committee
Walt Whitman School of Social Science, Newark, New Jersey
Washington Bookshop Association
Washington Committee to Defend the Bill of Rights
Washington Committee for Democratic Action
Washington Commonwealth Federation
Washington Pension Union
Wisconsin Conference on Social Legislation
Workers Alliance (since April 1936)
Workers Party, including Socialist Youth League
Yiddisher Kultur Farband
Young Communist League
Yugoslav-American Cooperative Home, Inc.
Yugoslav Seamen's Club, Inc.

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : MR. TOLSON

DATE:

FROM : L. V. Boardman

April 14, 1954

SUBJECT:

Tolson ☒

Ladd ☒

Clegg ☒

Glavin ☒

Nichols ☒

Rosen ☒

Tracy ☒

Harbo ☒

Mohr ☒

Tele. Room ☒

Nease ☒

Gandy ☒

I am attaching a request for annual leave, commencing 9 a.m., 4/26, through 5:30 p.m., 4/28/54, to permit me to move my family and household effects from Tenaflly, New Jersey to Washington, D. C.

With your permission, I shall plan to leave on the 7:30 p.m., Pennsylvania train, for New York, on 4/23. My presence in Tenaflly, New Jersey, is desirable on 4/24/54, in order that I may effect settlement on the sale of my residence. In the ensuing requested leave period I will be arranging to effect the transfer of the household effects, as above indicated.

Attachment

LVB:CSH

Boardman's
office advised
this he has
been approved
4/16/54
lew

NOT RECORDED
3

noted
4-APR 20 1954

April 23, 1954

The Chesapeake and Potomac Telephone Company
3515 North Fairfax Drive
Arlington 1, Virginia

Gentlemen:

Mr. L.V. Boardman, Assistant ^{to the} Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation is requesting that a telephone be installed in his residence, 4210 Columbia Pike, Apartment 1, Arlington, Virginia


Inasmuch as Mr. Boardman is connected with the Federal Bureau of Investigation and his services are essential for public safety, it is necessary that telephone service be afforded him. This letter, therefore, should be considered as a certificate of necessity.

Very truly yours,

John Edgar Hoover
Director

AMN:jsg
jsg

RECORDED-11



APR 23 4 15 PM '54
RECEIVED READING ROOM
FBI
U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE

67-3715-47

Searched _____
Numbered _____

APR 26 1954
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

✓ *For R*

Tolson _____
Ladd _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Clegg _____
Glavin _____
Harbo _____
Rosen _____
Tracy _____
Gandy _____
Winterrowd _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
Miss Gandy _____

APR 27 1954

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : THE DIRECTOR

DATE:

April 23, 1954

FROM : L. V. Boardman *LVB*

b6

SUBJECT: BROWN & ROOT, INC., et al,
FRAUD AGAINST THE GOVERNMENT

Tolson ☒
Ladd ☒
Clegg ☒
Glavin ☒
Nichols ☒
Rosen ☒
Tracy ☒
Harbo ☒
Mohr ☒
Tele. Room ☒
Nease ☒
Gandy ☒

Pursuant to your instruction that I explain why the memorandum of Warren Olney III, dated 4/21/54, asking that Agent [] of the Houston Office be permitted to come to Washington to confer with Olney in instant matter, was in my office from 11:29 a.m., 4/22/54 until approximately 4:46 p.m., 4/22/54, at which time it was stamped received in Rosen's office, I wish to advise that said memorandum was received during the course of the Executives Conference, held in my office 4/22/54, which conference lasted until approximately 12:15 p.m. There were on my desk a number of pieces of special mail--I would guess about fifty--and instant memorandum was in this stack. Immediately upon concluding the Executives Conference, I devoted my exclusive attention to this stack of special mail, until 12:45 p.m., at which time I left the office to attend a United States Communications Intelligence Board luncheon meeting at the Blair House. Upon my return from the Blair House at 2:15 p.m., I again devoted attention to the special mail, and upon encountering instant memorandum I routed same directly to Mrs. Rosen's Division for immediate attention.

RECORDED

67-38609-474

I regret the delay encountered, and will do everything within my power to avoid delays of this nature in the future. 63

11 APR 26 1954

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

LVB:CSH

Someone should be designated to keep such mail moving during Boardman's absence.

13 APR 26 1954

132
APR 28 1954

I am checking time of memo in my office

2. Most certainly. The Bureau can't get functioning because someone is absent from the office. H.

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : THE DIRECTOR

DATE:

May 3, 1954

FROM : L. V. Boardman *LVB*SUBJECT: INFORMANTS USED BY
IMMIGRATION & NATURALIZATION SERVICE

Tolson ☒
 Ladd ☒
 Clegg ☒
 Glavin ☒ b6
 Nichols ☒
 Rosen ☒
 Tracy ☒
 Harbo ☒
 Mohr ☒
 Tele. Room ☒
 Nease ☒
 Gandy ☒

Reference is made to a memorandum from the Attorney General to you, dated 5/3/54, attaching a list of informants used by the Immigration and Naturalization Service, as reported by Mr. [redacted] Assistant Commissioner of Investigations, Immigration and Naturalization Service, which memorandum was received in my office at 1:11 p.m., 5/3/54. You have requested an explanation as to why this was still in my office at 3:15 p.m.

I have no acceptable excuse to offer and am again discussing with Mr. Scatterday the necessity for evaluating specials coming into the office and moving them during my absence, since this was received during the period of time I was at lunch. Since my return from lunch at 1:20 p.m., I have been moving special mail, and at the time of Mr. Holloman's call the item in question was the second from the top item of special mail to be handled by me.

I shall exert every possible effort to move special mail through this office more expeditiously.

LVB:CSH

Something must be done re this as it is happening again & again

4 MAY 7 1954

RECORDED-65

67-38609-475	
Searched
Numbered	112
11 MAY 6 1954	
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION	

THREE

**FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

REPORT OF PERFORMANCE RATING

Name of Employee: Boardman, Leland V.

Where Assigned: Director's Office
(Division)

(Section, Unit)

Payroll Title: Assistant to the Director, Criminal Investigator

Rating Period: from 4-1-53 to 3-31-54

ADJECTIVE RATING: Satisfactory

Outstanding, Satisfactory, Unsatisfactory

Employee's
Initials

Rated by:

Clyde Tolson
Signature

Associate Director 3-31-54
Title Date

Reviewed by:

Signature

Title

Date

Rating approved by:

Signature

W. R. Glavin
Assistant Director,
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Title

MAY 14 1954
Date

TYPE OF REPORT

(x) Official
(x) Annual

() Administrative
() 60-day

() Transfer

() Separation from Service

() Special FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

RECORDED - 56

89 MAY 18 1954

67-38607-476	
Searched
Numbered
60-day
Transfer
Separation from Service
Special

7


NARRATIVE COMMENTS

Note: The regulations require that OUTSTANDING ratings be supported by a statement in writing setting forth IN DETAIL the performance IN EVERY ASPECT and the REASONS for considering each worthy of SPECIAL COMMENDATION. UNSATISFACTORY ratings must be supported by a statement in writing stating (1) WHEREIN the performance is unsatisfactory, (2) the facts of the (90 day) PRIOR WARNING, and (3) the efforts made AFTER THE WARNING TO HELP the employee bring his performance up to a satisfactory level.

00

3

Our Anniversary Wish
FOR YOU



b6

We are so very proud of you
and your accomplishments -

(Lees' brother & wife)

ack.
5-13-54
TEB
mm

67-NOT RECORDED-14

4788

Our Congratulations!
And our very good
wishes too

On the anniversary
of a day
So happy and special
for you!

We are so very
proud of you and
your accomplishments -
[redacted]
(Lee's brother & wife)

gmd
b6

We are so very proud of you
and your accomplishments -


[redacted]
(Lee's brother & wife)

Lee Boardman

67-NOT RECORDED-14 4788
OCT 1 1967

Brother & sister-in-law
of Lee Boardman

May 13, 1954



Dear [Redacted]:

I want to take this means of thanking
Mrs. Boardman and you for your card extending
congratulations on my Thirtieth Anniversary as
Director of the FBI.

It was most thoughtful of you to
remember me on this occasion, and I am grateful
to you both for your cordial message.

Sincerely yours,
J. Edgar Hoover

NOTE: Brother and sister-in-law of Assistant to the
Director Boardman.

Tolson ✓
Boardman ✓
Nichols ✓
Belmont ✓
Glavin ✓
Harbo ✓
Rosen ✓
Tamm ✓
Tracy ✓
Mohr ✓
Winterrowd ✓
Tele. Room ✓
Holloman ✓
Miss Gandy ✓

TEB:les

SENT FROM D. O.
TIME
DATE MAY 14 1954
BY [Signature]

18 OCT 4 1954

67-NOT RECORDED
MAY 13 5 30 PM
FBI
RECEIVED READING ROOM
TQB

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : The Director

DATE: May 7, 1954

FROM : L. V. Boardman *LVB*SUBJECT: HOUSE COMMITTEE ON UN-AMERICAN ACTIVITIES
DETROIT HEARINGS BEGINNING
MAY 3, 1954

Tolson _____
Boardman _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Glavin _____
Harbo _____
Rosen _____
Tamm _____
Trotter _____
Mohr _____
Winterrowd _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
Miss Gandy _____

b6

Pursuant to your instructions, I am submitting a memorandum of explanation concerning the delay in handling an urgent teletype from the Detroit Office dated April 30, 1954. Attached are separate memoranda prepared by Mr. Baumgardner and Mr. Mohr dated May 7, 1954, concerning this matter.

An examination of the original teletype reflects that it was received by me from Mr. Tolson's Office on May 3, Monday, at 10:35 A.M., and did not reach Mr. Belmont's Office until 2:12 P.M., May 3, 1954. I have discussed this matter with Mr. Scatterday, who points out that his practice at that time was, on observing a notation of the Director, to immediately notify the Division required to take action on the Director's notation and instruct expedite handling. A 3 x 5 slip of paper is normally placed on said communication to the effect that the Division in question was advised. Mr. Sizoo does not specifically recall that Belmont's Division was called on this particular item of mail, but feels that it was. It is my recollection that when I observed this teletype, there was a notation, "Sizoo advised," in the handprinting of Mr. Scatterday.

This is not a desirable administrative procedure in my opinion, and has since been discontinued with the instruction that during the time of my attendance at the Executives Conference, absence at lunch, or absence for any reason, these matters are to be immediately sent out of my office. Mr. Scatterday is to make appropriate notations and subsequently advise me concerning the movement of said mail. I feel that this communication, and others, have been permitted to remain in my office for too long a period of time and I am taking drastic steps to eliminate any such bottlenecks.

After the teletype was received at 2:12 P.M., May 3, 1954, in Mr. Belmont's Office, it apparently did not leave his office until it was stamped into the Internal Security Section at 8:59 P.M., May 4, 1954. I have instructed Belmont to insure that matters obviously requiring special attention move through his office during his absence to preclude the same type of bottleneck.

This should be 8:59 AM according to the time stamp. JIC

Attachment

LVB:WMJ

89 JUN 7 1954

SENT DIRECTOR
5-7-54

Letter to Mr. Boardman
Mr. Belmont & Mr. Sizoo
5/11/54 JIC

b6

It will be observed that the duplicate copy of the teletype was received in the Internal Security Section at 9:10 A.M., Monday, May 3, 1954, and in view of the urgency of the matter, it is difficult for me to understand why it should not have been handled and left the Internal Security Section before the close of business May 3, 1954.

I have carefully reviewed the memoranda of Messrs. Baumgardner and Mohr and concur in the observations of Mr. Mohr that Belmont, [redacted] and myself should be censured for the delay in the handling of this matter.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that Messrs. Belmont, [redacted], and Boardman receive letters of censure in accordance with the above.

Jagrec
1 per m
5/7/54

2 copy
5/7

1. Yes, all was
at least handled
by Boardman & me.
2. I want Harbo
immediately check pro-
cedures in Boardman's
Belmont's office as they
are creating the
same with the
"Secretariat"

Mr Harbo
advise &
handling
5/10/54
PC

H

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : THE DIRECTOR

DATE:

May 7, 1954

FROM : L. V. Boardman *LB*

Investigative Division

SUBJECT: ESCAPED FEDERAL PRISONERS,
(Section 751, Title 18, U. S. Code)

Tolson
Boardman
Belmont
Glavin
Harbo
Rosen
Tamm
Tracy
Wick
Winterrowd
Tele. Room
Holloman
Miss Gandy

Pursuant to your instructions, attached are memoranda of explanation concerning the delay in the preparation of a memorandum to the Attorney General, responding to his memorandum of 5/3/54.

The Attorney General's memorandum was received in the Director's office at 1:07 p.m., 5/3, in Mr. Tolson's office 6:07 p.m., 5/3, in Mr. Boardman's office 6:38 p.m., 5/3, and by the #1 Man of the Criminal Division at 7:12 p.m., 5/3/54. On 5/4/54 it was received in Rosen's office at 9:10 a.m., and in Winterrowd's office at 10:32 a.m. b6

F. L. Price
F. L. Price Winterrowd called a conference with Messrs. Price and [redacted], at 11 a.m., and gave instructions re preparation of the memorandum. [redacted] dictated the memorandum at 12:55 p.m., 5/4.

A study of the attached memoranda of Messrs. Winterrowd and Price reflects that from the time the memorandum was dictated, at 12:55 p.m., 5/4, until the time it was received in my office at 10:24 a.m., 5/6, Rosen's division was engaged in a paper war. Price sent [redacted] memorandum back for revision two times, and the memorandum was retyped four separate times because of typographical errors.

W. L. Winterrowd
This is a most undesirable situation. I had a very vigorous discussion with Mr. Winterrowd (in the absence of Mr. Rosen) concerning the necessity for issuing explicit initial instructions to permit accurate compliance, the necessity for making complete revisions in the first instance when such revisions are essential, to avoid the duplication of effort noted herein, and the necessity for closer administrative guidance in the stenographic pool to minimize excess typing errors. As above noted, there was a two-day delay attributed to matters that could have been avoided under proper administration.

RECOMMENDATION:

I concur with Mr. Winterrowd that Messrs. Boardman, Price and [redacted] should have their derelictions forcefully called to their attention by letter. *6 C. M. S. H. C.*

Also Mr. Boardman
Should have followed
LVB:CSH *LB*

89 JUN 1954

May 11, 1954

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

Mr. Leland V. Boardman
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D. C.

DECLASSIFIED BY 1565 SDP/KS/KC
ON 6-20-91

Dear Mr. Boardman:

Upon consideration of your memorandum regarding the unreasonable delay which occurred in handling a recent incoming communication from the Detroit Division concerning the possible exposure of three of the security informants of that office, I am in agreement with your conclusion that this situation was improperly handled. As you know, although the original incoming teletype was received in your office on the morning of May 3, 1954, the memorandum with an outgoing reply did not reach me until two days later.

In addition to the dereliction on the part of the Domestic Intelligence Division you were certainly at fault in failing to appropriately follow this matter and see to it that it was handled promptly and efficiently. I shall expect you to take whatever steps are necessary to prevent any recurrence of such a delay in a matter of this importance.

Very truly yours,

J. Edgar Hoover

John Edgar Hoover
Director

Tolson _____
Ladd _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Clegg _____
Glavin _____
Harbo _____
Rosen _____
Tracy _____
Gandy _____
Mohr _____
Winterrowd _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
Miss Gandy _____

UIC:mmm

COMM - FBI
MAY 13 1954
MAILED 31

17 MAY 17 1954

MAY 12 15 21 PM '54
RECEIVED
U. S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE
E. R. I

MAY 11 5 22 PM '54
RECEIVED
U. S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE
RECEIVED

37607
MAY 11 4 38 PM '54
RECEIVED READING ROOM
JAN 11 1954
1 MAY 11 1954

May 11, 1954

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

Mr. Leland V. Boardman
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D. C.

DECLASSIFIED BY 1565 SDP/KSR
ON 6-20-91

Dear Mr. Boardman:

I am very much concerned with the unwarranted and excessive delay which occurred in handling a recent communication from the Attorney General regarding Escaped Federal Prisoners. I note that although the incoming communication was received in your office before 7:00 PM on May 3, 1954, and thereafter routed to the General Investigative Division for handling, the cover memorandum with outgoing communication to the Department did not reach you until the morning of May 6, 1954. It appears that after the material was initially prepared by a Special Agent Supervisor, it was sent back to him twice for revision and the outgoing communication was retyped four times.

This situation is intolerable and I want immediate steps taken to correct it. You were at fault in failing to appropriately follow up on this matter so that whatever measures were necessary to bring about its prompt handling were taken. I shall expect you to accomplish this in the future.

Very truly yours,

J. Edgar Hoover
John Edgar Hoover
Director

MAY 11 1 19 PM '54
RECEIVED READING ROOM
FBI
DEPT. OF JUSTICE

JIC'mmm

Tolson _____
Ladd _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Clegg _____
Glavin _____
Harbo _____
Rosen _____
Tracy _____
Mohr _____
Trotter _____
Winterrowd _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
Miss Gandy _____

MAILED 16
MAY 13 1954
COMM - FBI

RECORDED
INDEXED
MAY 13 1954
FBI
COMM - FBI

1 MAY 14 1954

17 MAY 17 1954

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : THE DIRECTOR

DATE: June 14, 1954

FROM : L. V. BOARDMAN *LVB*SUBJECT: UNKNOWN SUBJECTS
EVELYN ANN SMITH - VICTIM
KIDNAPPING

Tolson	_____
Boardman	_____
Nichols	_____
Belmont	_____
Glavin	_____
Harbo	_____
Rosen	_____
Tamm	_____
Tracy	_____
Mohr	_____
Winterrowd	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Holloman	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

I consider that I exercised poor judgment on the morning of June 12, 1954, in instructing Assistant Director Rosen to see that a stop was placed on the board for the purpose of having you notified at the time you called of the identification of Dan Marsin by the victim on the late afternoon of June 11, 1954.

I should have personally telephoned you and advised you of the situation at the time I became cognizant of same at approximately 8:35 A.M., June 12, 1954.

LVB:WMJ

RECORDED - 83

87-38609-4180
SEARCHED _____
INDEXED _____
1 JUN 16 1954
RECEIVED _____

57
JUN 21 1954

13 JUN 21 1954

June 17, 1954

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

Mr. Leland V. Boardman
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D. C.

DECLASSIFIED BY 1565 SDP/KSR

ON 6-20-91

Dear Mr. Boardman:

I have viewed with concern the lax manner in which the Domestic Intelligence Division recently handled the receipt of information pertaining to a possible leak of security information from FBI files. Although a memorandum had been received in this division from the Indianapolis Office on June 4, 1954, the supervisors handling this matter did not notify the section chief of this allegation until the late afternoon of June 11, 1954. Obviously, the very nature of the information contained in the memorandum from the Indianapolis Division should have made it readily apparent to them that it should have been immediately brought to the attention of the appropriate Bureau officials.

In view of this situation I shall expect you to insure that in the future such matters are handled in a more prompt and efficient manner so that there will be no further delay in bringing matters of importance to the attention of appropriate Bureau officials by personnel under your supervision.

Very truly yours,

John Edgar Hoover
Director

RECORDED-55

DCM'ggs

Tolson _____
Boardman _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Harbo _____
Mohr _____
Parsons _____
Rosen _____
Tamm _____
 Sizoo _____
Winterrowd _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
Gandy _____

COMM - FBI
JUN 17 1954
MAILED 31

JUN 24 1954

67-481

RECEIVED
JUN 17 11 30 AM '54
U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE

June 21, 1954

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

Mr. Leland V. Boardman
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D. C.

DECLASSIFIED BY 15705 SDP/KSL
ON 6-20-91

Dear Mr. Boardman:

In my recent conversation with you concerning the dissemination of certain security information to another governmental agency regarding Paul Shimek, Jr., a midshipman at the United States Naval Academy, you failed to explain the situation existing as to the alleged delay of two months in notifying this other agency.

I must insist that in the future you see to it that personnel under your supervision have supplied you with complete facts on matters of this nature before you attempt to discuss them with me.

Very truly yours,

J. Edgar Hoover

John Edgar Hoover
Director

DCM'ggs

67-57669-482

Searched	_____
Numbered	_____
JUN 20 1954	
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION	

COMM - FBI
JUN 21 1954
MAILED 31

Tolson _____
Boardman _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Harbo _____
Mohr _____
Parsons _____
Rosen _____
Tamm _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
Gandy _____

JUN 24 1954

RECEIVED
JUN 24 1954

June 22, 1954

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

Mr. Leland V. Boardman
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D. C.

DECLASSIFIED BY 1565 SDP/KSR
ON 6-20-91

Dear Mr. Boardman:

During the recent survey conducted by the Training and Inspection Division into the handling of the case entitled "Uintah and White River Bands of Ute Indians vs. United States, Court of Claims Number 45751, Court of Claims," it became necessary to transfer three agents serving as supervisors in the Accounting and Fraud Section because in the opinion of the Inspectors they did not show promise for advancement or because they lacked essential qualities such as aggressiveness. It appears obvious to me that in the administration of divisions under your supervision you should have detected these weaknesses rather than depend on the Training and Inspection Division to do it for you.

Accordingly, I shall expect you to be more alert to your responsibilities in matters such as these so that there will be no recurrence of a similar situation.

Very truly yours,

J. Edgar Hoover

John Edgar Hoover
Director

DCM'ggs

Tolson _____
Boardman _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Harbo _____
Mohr _____
Parsons _____
Rosen _____
Tamm _____
 Sizoo _____
 Winterrowd _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
Gandy _____

MAILED 3

JUN 22 1954

COMM-FBI

67-72986-483

RECEIVED ALBANY JUN 22 2 17 PM '54

SEARCHED INDEXED
SERIALIZED FILED
JUN 23 1954
FBI - ALBANY

CC-5a

RECEIPT FOR GOVERNMENT PROPERTY
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

3-1-54

I certify that I have received the following Government property for official use:
~~/returned~~

Inspectors Manual #3

RETURNED

Inspectors Manual #210 ✓

106
30 MAR 4 1954

READ

The Government property which you hereby acknowledge is charged to you and you are responsible for taking care of it and returning it when its use has been completed. DO NOT MARK OR WRITE ON IT OR MULTILATE IT IN ANY WAY.

Gill
3/1/54
DO NOT WRITE ON THIS RECEIPT
Very truly yours,

L. V. Boardman, *LVB*

CC-5a

RECEIPT FOR GOVERNMENT PROPERTY
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

February 16, 1954

I certify that I have received the following Government property for official use:

~~returned~~

1 Grand Master Key

READ

The Government property which you hereby acknowledge is charged to you and you are responsible for taking care of it and returning it when its use has been completed. DO NOT MARK OR WRITE ON IT OR MULTILATE IT IN ANY WAY. 78

NOT RECORDED
File
3-11-54
ll

L. V. Boardman

Very truly yours,
L. V. Boardman, Asst. To
Director

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Mr. Tolson

DATE: 6/18/54

FROM : R. T. Harbo

SUBJECT: SURVEY OF ACCOUNTING AND FRAUD SECTION
INVESTIGATIVE DIVISION

Tolson
Belmont
Clegg
Glavin
Harbo
Rosen
Tamm
Mohr
Tracy
Winterrowd
Tele. Room
Holloman
Miss Gandy

A separate memorandum has been submitted as to the findings of the Inspectors in above-captioned survey. It is the purpose of this memorandum, however, to record that all available Agent personnel (except two Selective Service Supervisors) assigned to the Accounting and Fraud Section were interviewed.

The Inspectors felt that the caliber of supervision could be tightened and improved in the Accounting and Fraud Section if three Agents were transferred to the field, not because of any specific work delinquencies on their part but because in the opinion of the Inspectors they do not show promise for advancement or because they lack essential qualities such as aggressiveness.

The three Agents are:

1. Murdo J. Smith, Veterans Administration Matters Desk.
2. [redacted] Bribery and Fraud Unit.
3. [redacted] Miscellaneous Fraud Desk.

There is attached for each Agent named a write-up prepared by the Inspector and the permanent brief for each Agent.

RECOMMENDATION:

RECORDED 85

The three Agents named be replaced as supervisors at the Seat of Government.

Messrs. Rosen and Boardman concur in the recommended transfers.

OK, but these matters should have been detected by Boardman and Rosen, rather than the Inspectors.

Attachments

EDM:mew

cc: Mr. Rosen

Mr. Boardman

Mr. Mohr (Attn: H. L. Edwards)

Mr. Sizoo

I recommend letters of censure to Boardman and Rosen

June 14, 1954

MEMORANDUM FOR MR. TOLSON
MR. BOARDMAN
MR. BELMONT
MR. NICHOLS

I have just learned today that Mr. Belmont failed to confirm in writing the understanding which he had with representatives of the Criminal Division a few days ago in discussing the Major Irving Peress case that the Bureau did not believe that the informant whom the Department indicated it might desire to use in this case should be disclosed and made available for testimony.

Mr. Belmont, as I understand it, expressed this view orally but failed to confirm it by memorandum to the Department. I have on numerous occasions, both in writing and orally, specifically instructed that any discussions or understandings had with the Department, particularly the Criminal Division, should be confirmed in writing. We have on too many occasions been embarrassed by the Criminal Division, particularly, claiming one thing and representatives of the Bureau claiming another and it was because of this that I instructed that there must be written memoranda confirming any oral understand. I again reiterate these instructions and I don't want any exceptions made to them or any deviations from them.

In connection with the above matter, the Attorney General today informed me that he had been advised by the Criminal Division that they had discussed this matter with representatives of the Bureau and the Criminal Division was awaiting further word from the Bureau as to its position in regard to the informant in the Peress case. This again proves the point that I have endeavored to get over for sometime, namely, that the Bureau's only protection is to put its position down in black and white. Obviously the Criminal Division did not understand Mr. Belmont's position given to them orally, or is they did they are now misrepresenting it to the Attorney General.

I have taken the position this morning in conference with the Attorney General that I understood the Bureau had already advised the Department that it was opposed to the disclosure of this informant and that the Bureau considered the informant indispensable.

I have since talked with Mr. Belmont who informs me that I am wrong in that the informant is not indispensable but merely valuable.

57
17 JUL 1 1954NOT RECORDED
44 JUN 18 1954

The definition of the word "indispensable" as found in the Winston Dictionary is "absolutely necessary or requisite, or essential." Since this informant is not essential or absolutely requisite I do not see how I can adhere to the position which I have taken, namely, that the informant should not be made available in the Peress case. All informants are valuable, otherwise we would not be paying them, but I have construed that informants who are absolutely essential to our coverage in security matters were indispensable and I had assumed that this was the case in the informant in the Peress matter. However, since this is not the fact, memoranda should be addressed to the Attorney General advising him that upon reevaluation of this matter, the Bureau's informant will be made available in the Peress case.

Hereafter, I desire that Messrs. Boardman and Belmont make up their minds before they recommend something to me and then later I ascertain that the facts as I assumed them to be are not as represented in memoranda to me. It is embarrassing to take a position with the Department, particularly in a very important matter, and then have to reverse oneself.

Very truly yours,

s/ J.E.H.

John Edgar Hoover
Director

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Mr. Tolson *Kper*

FROM : E. D. Mason *M*

SUBJECT: LELAND V. BOARDMAN
Assistant to the Director

DATE: 7/9/54

Tolson _____
Ladd _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Clegg _____
Glavin _____
Harbo _____
Rosen _____
Tracy _____
Mohr _____
Trotter _____
Winterrowd _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
Miss Gandy _____

During the recent Smith kidnaping case at Phoenix, Arizona, Seat of Government supervision rested primarily in the hands of Assistant Director Rosen and Assistant to the Director Boardman. Boardman followed this matter closely. Inadequacies and weaknesses in Seat of Government control have been specified in detail in a separate memorandum. In synopsis, however, there was a need for the exercise of more imagination, initiative, ingenuity, vigor, and aggressiveness on the part of the Seat of Government in the handling of this matter. The frequent and prolonged telephone connections with the Phoenix Office undoubtedly had much to do with the breaking down of a clear-cut line of delineation as to who was actually controlling the case, the field or the Seat of Government. Advice and precautionary instructions were provided to the field by the Seat of Government, but they did not go far enough. There were instructions to maintain a "no comment" position with the press, even after the victim had been safely returned and the press knew it, whereas permitting the field to confirm that information which the press already had in its possession might very well have lessened the hostile attack later directed at the FBI by the press. There is a discrepancy between the field and Seat of Government versions as to whether SAC Norris requested permission to stop the automobile driven by the victim's husband after the payoff, and this cannot be clearly resolved. It does not appear that it was made clear to the Director on the night of June 10 that the victim had not been transported interstate and, consequently, there was no Federal jurisdiction in the kidnaping, and, in addition, there was no Federal extortion or other violation under the jurisdiction of the FBI, and there was a delay on the night of June 10 until the afternoon of June 11 before the U. S. Attorney was contacted concerning a remote possibility of Extortion violation, and Agents were withdrawn from the investigation.

RECORDED-24

Mr. Boardman, as senior officer supervising this case at the Seat of Government, must share responsibility for the delinquencies.

cc: Mr. Mohr

EDM:ATN

89 JUL 23 1954

Ltr of commendation to Mr. Boardman

JUL 14 1954

JUL 30 1954

On the other hand, Mr. Boardman is relatively new in his position, has taken hold vigorously, has been alert to detect weaknesses in the two divisions which he supervises, and has shown a refreshing attitude in seeking to fix responsibility for weaknesses and recommend appropriate action. In view of his progress in other phases of his relatively new assignments, my recommendation for administrative action has been tempered accordingly.

RECOMMENDATION:

(1) Censure.

I Agree
mm

(2) Probation.

I Do Not Agree
mm

I AGREE
7-13
mm

I recommend better
to Boardman re
delinquencies in
handling Smith
case

7-13

Yes
Ch.

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : THE DIRECTOR

DATE:

June 15, 1954

FROM : L. V. Boardman *ZB*SUBJECT: UNKNOWN SUBJECT,
Evelyn Anne Smith, Victim,
KIDNAPING

Tolson _____
Boardman _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Harbo _____
Rosen _____
Tamm _____
Tracy _____
Mohr _____
Winterrowd _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
Miss Gandy _____

Reference is made to the memorandum of F. L. Price to Mr. Rosen, dated 6/15/54, and more specifically to the last paragraph, wherein is contained the statement

"Norris stated that when he talked to Chief Thomas yesterday afternoon, he did not go into these complaints with him at that time."
(The "he" refers to Chief Thomas.)

The following information is submitted with reference to the Director's statement, "This is my first knowledge that he was not carrying out our orders. Why didn't Boardman & Rosen at once advise me?"

Mr. Price, the author of this memorandum, advises me that as soon as Norris read the newspaper article to him over the telephone, Price asked Norris if any of the charges that Chief Thomas made in the article had been brought up by Chief Thomas yesterday, 6/14, when Norris personally interviewed him. Norris advised that the Chief had not made these allegations to him, and reiterated that the Chief had indicated that he wanted to "forget the matter" and "regretted his position." This inquiry was made of Norris as to whether Chief Thomas made any charges to Mr. Norris when he talked with him personally on the afternoon of 6/14, in view of the fact that no such allegations were mentioned in the teletype which Norris sent in giving the Bureau the results of his interview with Chief Thomas. It was pertinent to determine whether Chief Thomas had taken this matter up personally with Norris or whether he had deliberately pursued the other course of going to the City Council and making a statement to the press without first registering any complaint with the FBI.

In accordance with the Director's instructions, SAC Norris was telephonically instructed at 2:58 P.M., 6/14 (Washington time) to restrict all contacts with Chief Thomas to an absolute minimum. He was instructed to deal with Chief Thomas, and his department on a most discreet and tactful basis and to guard against any agents fraternizing with police officers of the Phoenix Police Department in connection with Bureau investigations. He was

Attachments *Report 6-15-54* RECEIVED - MOHB
LVB:CSH *Referred to Mr. Price*

also instructed to personally interview Chief Thomas, as soon as possible following this instruction to him, in order to review with the Chief the pertinent facts in the Rodig Bank Robbery case, and point out that public credit had been given to his department by the FBI in this case, and to further see that Chief Thomas understood that his request to have police department personnel interview the victim in this case was immediately granted, and detectives designated by Thomas were escorted to the room where the interview with victim was being conducted, and where police personnel did participate in this interview until it was terminated.

Norris was further advised, on the basis of the Chief's action, that the Bureau did not intend to conduct any police schools or police training for the Phoenix Police Department, or accept any candidates for the National Academy from the Phoenix Police Department, as long as Thomas was head of that agency.

Following the above oral instructions, a confirmatory airtel, under date of 6/14/54, was transmitted to the SAC at Phoenix. A copy of this is attached.

In accordance with the Bureau's instructions, SAC Norris stated he interviewed Chief of Police Charles Thomas of the Phoenix Police Department. His teletype confirming the interview is attached.

It is apparent that SAC Norris' interview with Chief Thomas was not at all forceful or effective, inasmuch as, according to the news release, Chief Thomas that same evening, after the conversation with SAC Norris, went before the Phoenix City Council and made the complaints reflected in the attached memorandum of Mr. Price to Mr. Rosen, dated 6/15/54.

Because of this obviously ineffectual handling by SAC Norris, I recommended that Assistant Director Harbo proceed forthwith to Phoenix to get this situation under control.

I have already approved this
H. J.

*Price should be censured
for sending them fuzzy
memos.*
H. J.

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : THE DIRECTOR

DATE:
June 18, 1954FROM : L. V. Boardman *LVB*SUBJECT: UNKNOWN SUBJECT,
Evelyn Ann Smith, Victim,
KIDNAPING

[Handwritten initials]

Tolson	_____
Boardman	_____
Nichols	_____
Belmont	_____
Glavin	_____
Rosen	_____
Tamm	_____
Tracy	_____
Mohr	_____
Winterrowd	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Holloman	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

[Handwritten signature]

The Phoenix Office has reported it was instructed that the Bureau would "take under consideration" whether the husband's car, used in the "pay-off", should be stopped, for the purpose of ascertaining whether the woman in said car was the victim.

This is not correct. According to the attached memorandum dated 6/10/54, from Mr. Price to Mr. Rosen, SAC Bryce at Phoenix advised at 9:07 p.m., 6/10/54 (EDT) that the husband's car was leaving the mountainous area, en route to Phoenix. Bryce was instructed to ascertain whether the victim was with her husband, and Bryce indicated that this was not definitely known, but that it was known that a woman was in the car in question. I gave instructions that the car should be stopped, for the purpose of specifically determining whether the victim was in the automobile. The attached memorandum reflects by 9:19 p.m. 6/10/54 (EDT) Bryce reported back that the victim and her husband were in the automobile.

I specifically recall that I was most anxious to secure this information, and that immediately after securing same I apprised you of the information then available. I specifically remember that there was no question in my mind at any time but that it would have to be immediately determined whether the victim was in the automobile, and I, therefore, issued the above indicated instructions.

Attached are memoranda dated 6/17/54 from Supervisors O. F. Myers and F. L. Price, concerning this specific situation.

ACTION:

For your information.

Attachments

LVB:CSH

JUN 18 1954

REC-121

RECORDED-77

SIX

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : THE DIRECTOR

DATE:
June 16, 1954

FROM : L. V. Boardman

SUBJECT: UNKNOWN SUBJECT,
Evelyn Anne Smith, Victim,
KIDNAPING

Tolson _____
Boardman _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Glavin _____
Ladd _____
Rosen _____
Tamm _____
Tracy _____
Mohr _____
Winterrowd _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
Miss Gandy _____

This memorandum is in response to your inquiry as to when I first knew about SAC Malone's having approved the use of a simulated note to replace the original kidnap note which, according to the kidnaper's instructions, was to be placed in the package containing the \$75,000 ransom money.

Attached is a memorandum dated 6/10/54, from Mr. Price to Mr. Rosen, which reflects that SAC Norris brought this situation to the Bureau's attention at 6:45 p.m., 6/10/54, in response to a specific question asked him as to what had happened to the first note. Norris then advised of the action of SAC Malone. This information was received after the victim's husband had already departed with the ransom money.

This information was immediately brought to my attention, as is reflected in the first paragraph on page 2 of the attached memorandum of 6/10. My instructions are reflected in this paragraph.

Also attached hereto is a memorandum dated 6/15/54, from Mr. Price to Mr. Rosen, which, on page 2, under the caption "Simulation of First Ransom Note" reflects specific inquiries made by the Bureau of Mr. Malone regarding his action. This information was not brought to your attention by me, as it most certainly should have been, much sooner than in the attached memorandum dated 6/15/54, and is a specific indication of my atrocious handling of the case in having failed to more quickly follow through on securing an explanation from SAU Malone sooner.

I recommend that you take drastic administrative action against me for my failure to have insisted that we get an explanation from Malone sooner than 8/15/54.

Attachments

LVB: GSH

most certainly ~~Mr. Malone~~ ^{Mr. Malone} should have been advised of this ~~discrepancy~~ ^{discrepancy} not only should ~~it~~ ^{it} have been promptly advised on June 10 but explanation from Malone should have

TO: Mr. Harbo

July 6, 1954

FROM: G. C. Gearty

SUBJECT: SPECIAL CONFERENCE 7-1-54
SAC'S AND ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICIALS
KIDNAPPING SQUAD

Reurmemo to Mr. Tolson 7-2-54 setting forth the fact that a special conference for SAC's and Administrative Officers who had been trained in the Kidnapping Squad courses as well as certain selective representatives at the Seat of Government had been held on 7-1-54.

For record purposes there is set forth below the identification of those who were in attendance at this conference. A copy of this memorandum is being designated for the personnel file of each of those who were in attendance at this conference.

SEAT OF GOVERNMENT REPRESENTATIVES

BOARDMAN, L. V.	MOHR, J. P.	GEARTY, G. C.	GALLAGHER, R. J.
NICHOLS, L. B.	PARSONS, D. J.	WINTERROWD, E. H.	HUGHES, E. H.
BELMONT, A. H.	ROSEN, A.	PRICE, F. L.	BRUGGEMAN, R. L.
HARBO, R. T.	TAMM, Q.	MYERS, O. F.	

(SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE)

(ADM. OFFICER - #1 MAN)

HOSTETTER, D. S. (SAC)	NEWARK	ALDEN, S. (SAC)	KNOXVILLE
KELLY, J. J. "	NEW YORK	CASPER, J. J. "	NEW HAVEN
SIMON, W. G. (ASAC)	NEW YORK	BOSWELL, H. C. "	NEW ORLEANS
HAWKINS, H. O. (SAC)	ATLANTA	BURKE, W. W., JR. "	LITTLE ROCK
POWERS, E. J. "	MIAMI	CHILES, M. P. "	SAN ANTONIO
AUERBACH, R. D. "	SEATTLE	BRYCE, D. A. "	ALBUQUERQUE
MALONE, J. F. "	LOS ANGELES	POSTER, J. B. "	DENVER
WHELAN, W. M., JR. "	SAN FRANCISCO	SANTOIANA, J. F. "	PORTLAND
McINTIRE, F. H. "	DETROIT	BLAYLOCK, L. "	INDIANAPOLIS
MILNES, J. E. "	ST. LOUIS	WILLIAMS, W. H. "	OMAHA

GCG:wc

JUL 13 1954

File Copy

TO: Mr. Rosen

June 15, 1954

FROM: F. L. Price

SUBJECT: UNKNOWN SUBJECT:
EVELYN ANNE SMITH - VICTIM;
KIDNAPING

Call: 3:50 p.m.

SAC Norris call from Phoenix to advise that the "Phoenix Gazette" of Tuesday, June 15, 1954, which had hit the street just before he called, carries a lead editorial critical of the FBI's handling of this case. This editorial, which appears on page 6 of the "Gazette", is as follows.

"FBI RECORD IN THE SMITH CASE

"Criticizing the Federal Bureau of Investigation is a lot like criticizing the Marines. The critic is asking for trouble. But the fact of the matter is that the FBI loused up the Smith Kidnaping case here in Phoenix last week, and we think it is hightime to say so.

"Phoenix Police Chief Charles P. Thomas put his finger on the heart of the matter when he said cooperation with the FBI was a one-way street. Local police authorities gave the Federal agents all the information they had. The FBI men wouldn't tell police and sheriff's deputies the time of day.

"On one occasion, after Mrs. Evelyn Anne Smith was on her way home, the FBI men wouldn't even tell the police chief that she had been released. At the Smith home, half a dozen agents kept her incommunicado, not even allowing Chief Thomas to talk to her. Out in the Superstition Mountain area, sheriff's deputies were manning a road block and looking for a fugitive of whom they didn't even have a description because FBI men wouldn't allow anyone to talk to Mrs. Smith. The press received just as arrogant treatment. After sitting on the story for more than twenty-four hours at the request of the FBI, newspapermen weren't allowed to talk to Mrs. Smith when she returned home. At this point, secrecy was of no benefit, if it ever had been. The best thing to do was broadcast a description of the alleged criminal with full details that might lead to his apprehension, but the FBI blacked out the newsmen along with everyone else.

OFM:mfb

cc - Mr. Nichols

60 JUL 19 1954

"We don't want to seem unappreciative of the help afforded by the FBI. No one has seen a Federal agent in action can doubt the very great professional skill that the FBI brings to its work. But it is obvious that a more cooperative attitude on the part of the Federal men in the Smith case would have brought better results.

"There is good reason to believe that the Smith case has been cracked, but it's no thanks to the FBI. A suspect is under arrest. He was picked up by city police. The ransom money has been turned up. It was spotted by city detectives. The FBI agents have pulled out. The next time they go to work on a Phoenix case, we trust they won't regard local law-enforcement authorities as country yokels unworthy of the slightest confidence."

SAC Norris advised he has received no inquiries concerning this editorial.

ACTION:

SAC Norris was instructed to forward the editorial to the Bureau immediately.

ADDENDUM: June 15, 1954 (LVB:WMJ)

It would appear from the above-quoted editorial that Chief Thomas not only went before the City Council, but also went to the editorial written of the "Phoenix Gazette." The FBI from the inception of this case has maintained a "no comment" position and obviously did not ask the newspaper people "to sit on the story".

L. V. Boardman

Tolson:

"Boardman, you and Rosen had better look to your own supervision for cause of this vicious attack on FBI. The case was not properly directed for if it had been none of this would have come about. Yet I have not received from you a single memo noting the facts. All you do is pass the blame to the Chief of Police."

Hoover

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO :MR. L. V. BOARDMAN *LB*

DATE: June 12, 1954

FROM :MR. A. H. BELMONT *AHB*

Tolson _____
 Ladd _____
 Nichols _____
 Belmont _____
 Clegg _____
 Glavin _____
 Harbo _____
 Rosen _____
 Tracy _____
 Gearty _____
 Mohr _____
 Winterrowd _____
 Tele. Room _____
 Holloman _____
 Sizoo _____
 Miss Gandy _____

SUBJECT: PINKERTON NATIONAL DETECTIVE AGENCY, INC.;
 MISCELLANEOUS INFORMATION CONCERNING;
 POSSIBLE LEAK OF SECURITY INFORMATION
 FROM FILES OF NEW YORK OFFICE
 (62-New)

[L. V. Boardman]

Reference is made to my memorandum to you of June 14, 1954, which reflected that information had probably been furnished from FBI files in New York to the Pinkerton National Detective Agency concerning Thamel P. Thamel, the subject of a security case. The Bureau was originally advised of this matter by letter from the Indianapolis Office dated June 2, 1954.

The Director asks to be advised (1) why the Indianapolis Office delayed in forwarding this information to the Bureau and (2) what was the cause of the delay in handling this matter in the Domestic Intelligence Division.

With respect to the Director's first question the Indianapolis Office advised by teletype dated June 12, as follows.

The Bureau was originally advised of this matter by letter from the Indianapolis Office dated June 2, 1954. SA Dudley S. Horth of the Fort Wayne Indianapolis Residence Agency received the information set forth in the Indianapolis letter to the Bureau of June 2, 1954, on the afternoon of Wednesday May 26, 1954. SA Horth reviewed the enclosures and could not determine the data therein actually came from a Bureau source since he did not have the Indianapolis file and had no specific knowledge of the content of the New York file. He felt the logical approach was to forward the information by letter to the Bureau with all the enclosures since it could not be resolved without comparison of the enclosures with the information contained in the files at the Bureau and at the New York Office. He did not feel that a phone call to the Indianapolis Office would resolve the matter since it would be necessary to send the enclosures to the Bureau in order that it could be determined whether the data actually came from the Bureau or from some other agency having access to Bureau reports. SA Horth prepared a rough draft letter in the Fort Wayne Resident Agency which was completed on the morning of May 27 and mailed this rough draft letter and the enclosures to the Indianapolis Office on May 27.

89 JUL 12 1954

700 14 2 IN 111
 Memo to Mohr
 DECEMBER 1954
 6/15/54

67-441-1724

Searched

Numbered

JUL 11 1954

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

ASSIGNED

The rough draft letter prepared by SA Horth together with the enclosures were first observed by Supervisor Howard A. King of the Indianapolis Office on the early morning of June 2, 1954. The rough draft letter was blocked stamped into the ~~New York~~ ^{Indianapolis} Office on June 1, 1954, to the best of Supervisor King's recollection. The legal holiday of May 31 intervened between the mailing of the rough draft letter from Fort Wayne and the receipt of that rough draft letter in the Indianapolis Office and the subsequent routing of the matter to Supervisor King for approval before final typing. Supervisor King noted the content of the rough draft letter and the enclosures and showed the rough draft and the enclosures to SAC Blaylock who was leaving the office with a squad of agents for Oldenburg, Indiana, where a bank robbery had occurred at 9:00 A.M. on Wednesday June 2, 1954. SAC Blaylock examined the content and initialed the rough draft thereby approving it for typing and forwarding to the Bureau. The letter and the enclosures were typed in final form and sent to the Bureau on June 2, 1954.

Supervisor King received the file on security subject Thamel and observed that there were no indications in the Indianapolis file that the New York Office had ever received copies of reports on Thamel. Supervisor King and SAC Blaylock both felt that only the Bureau could resolve the question concerning the source of the data in the enclosures and that the Bureau could not do so without having possession of the enclosures for comparison purposes; therefore it was felt a phone call to the Bureau would be of no assistance since the Bureau would need the enclosures before an analysis could be made. In view of this, a letter transmitting the enclosures to the Bureau appeared to be the most logical procedure.

SAC Blaylock indicated that the delay from May 26 the date SA Horth received the information to June 2 the date the letter and enclosures were forwarded to the Bureau was due primarily to the intervening weekend and holiday on May 31, the delay in postal service from Fort Wayne to Indianapolis which points have no direct train service and the congestion of mail received in the Indianapolis Office over the long weekend which had to be processed on Tuesday June 1, 1954.

SAC Blaylock stated that SA Horth acted in a reasonably prompt manner in forwarding the enclosures to the Indianapolis Office and Supervisor King was prompt in advising him (SAC Blaylock) as soon as the matter came to King's attention. SAC Blaylock recommended no administrative action against SA Horth and Supervisor King of the Indianapolis Office.

SAC Blaylock stated that he saw the material and personally read and approved the letter and assumed responsibility for the matter.

I agree with SAC Blaylock that SA Horth and Supervisor King of the Indianapolis Office acted in a reasonably prompt manner. Since SAC Blaylock was aware that this matter was in his office and did not recognize that it should have been telephoned to the Bureau, I recommend that he be forward a letter of censure. He should be also instructed to immediately call to the attention of all the Agents in his office the absolute necessity of advising the Bureau immediately when information comes to their attention regarding a possible leak of information from the Bureau's files.

With respect to the Director's request to be advised of any delay in handling this matter in the Domestic Intelligence Division I wish to advise as follows.

The June 2 letter from the Indianapolis Office was stamped into the Internal Security Section at 12:33 P.M. June 4, 1954, and was routed to Supervisor Charles Sandall by the Mail Clerk. Supervisor Sandall received the letter shortly thereafter. He did not make a note of the exact time it reached his office. Upon reading Indianapolis letter and the enclosures Supervisor Sandall could not tell whether the leak came from the FBI or from some other agency to which we might have disseminated reports on security subject Thamel. He did not feel he should call the matter to the attention of Bureau officials until he had checked the files and therefore be in a position to furnish more detailed information. He immediately called file on security subject Thamel on Friday June 4 and on the morning of Monday June 7 received Section 6. The information he was seeking did not appear in Section 6 of the file and he immediately sent for all sections of the file on Thamel. The remaining 5 sections were delivered to Supervisor Sandall's Office on the late afternoon of June 7. It was necessary to make a detailed inspection of this 6 section file and it was not until the late afternoon of Thursday June 10 that Supervisor Sandall was able to locate the pertinent information in the file which strongly indicated the leak of information to the Pinkerton Detective Agency had come from the New York Office.

Upon determining this Supervisor Sandall discussed this matter with Joseph A. Sullivan Supervisor in Charge of the Administrative and Correlation Desk in the Internal Security Section. The discussion concerned the manner in which the matter should be handled.

Supervisor Sandall stated that he now realizes this matter should have been immediately called to the attention of Bureau officials and he sincerely regrets that he did not do so. He explained that until he had located the pertinent information in our files late on the afternoon of June 10 it was impossible to tell whether the leak of information from the Bureau's file had come from the Bureau or from other intelligence agencies to whom we normally submit reports. He realizes now that his judgment was faulty in not having immediately called the matter to Bureau officials.

Mr. Sullivan Supervisor in Charge of the Administrative and Correlation Desk in the Internal Security Section stated that when he discussed this matter with Supervisor Sandall it was ready for dictation and he instructed Sandall to prepare the memorandum and necessary instructions to the field on Friday June 11. He states he now realizes that he should have immediately brought the matter to the attention of Bureau officials when it was called to his attention by Supervisor Sandall. He sincerely regrets his failure to do so and will be careful to see there is no recurrence of a situation of this matter.

Mr. Sullivan brought this matter to the attention of Section Chief F. J. Baumgardner on the late afternoon of Friday June 11, and he immediately brought it to my attention.

I promptly advised you of the facts and also immediately issued telephonic instructions to SAC Kelly of the New York Office.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

(1) That SAC Blaylock of the Indianapolis Office be censured for his failure to recognize the importance of this matter and telephonically furnish the facts to the Bureau. SAC Blaylock should also be instructed to immediately call to the attention of his office the absolute necessity for immediately advising the Bureau upon receipt of any information which indicated a possible leak of information from the Bureau's files.

(2) That a letter of censure be directed to Charles X Sandall of the Domestic Intelligence Division for his failure to promptly bring this matter to the attention of Bureau officials when he first received it on June 4, 1954.

(3) That Supervisor in Charge Joseph A. X Sullivan of the Domestic Intelligence Division be censured for his failure to immediately call this matter to the attention of Bureau officials when he first learned of it on the late afternoon of June 10, 1954.

JRB
JRB

SEE ADDENDUM PAGE SIX

ADDENDUM: June 13, 1954 LVB:WMJ

The Pinkerton Report which was forwarded June 2 by the Indianapolis Office reflects contact (1) of a "member of a Governmental agency" on March 16, 19, and 22, 1954. The information contained in the Pinkerton Report was on Thamel P. Thamel, organizer for Local 916, United Electrical, Radio, and Machine Workers of America. Ex-Agent William R. Heckman brought this to the attention of the Resident Agent Dudley S. Horth at Fort Wayne, presumably because the ex-Agent felt there was an indication of a security leak from FBI files. He considered it of sufficient import to bring it to our attention. b7D

(2) Further, the Pinkerton Report on page one reflects contact by Pinkerton employee [redacted] with the Cincinnati Office of the FBI on March 15, 1954, where "Informant #2 stated he knew of no investigations on subject." [redacted] comments that this FBI employee checked the record in the presence of [redacted] and found no record of subject but said he would check the files himself and telephone his findings to the Pinkerton Agency. This item indicated possible misconduct on the part of an FBI employee in the Cincinnati Office and a minimum of extreme bad judgement on the part of such FBI employee, in the event the Pinkerton Report was factually correct. Therefore, Resident Agent Horth, upon receipt of this information, was bound to have the above knowledge. A Resident Agent should be thoroughly aware of the necessity for immediately alerting his official superior of situations indicating potential embarrassment to the Bureau. This Resident Agent instead sent in a rough draft communication to his office without any other notification to his SAC. I consider this extremely poor judgment and feel this Agent is not competent to remain in a Resident Agency, and, therefore, recommend his transfer from the Resident Agency at Fort Wayne.

Supervisor Howard A. King of the Indianapolis Office observed the rough draft letter and enclosures on the early morning of June 2, 1954, and brought it immediately to the attention

of SAC Blaylock. I recommend no action as to Supervisor King.

SAC Blaylock at the time the matter was brought to his attention was apparently getting ready to go to the scene of a bank robbery. I still feel that he exercised extremely bad judgment in the matter in his failure to recognize the necessity for immediately notifying the Bureau. I recommend censure and probation. ^{telephonically}

Supervisor Charles Sandall was cognizant of this situation from shortly after 12:33 P.M., June 4, 1954, and made no notification to his superior until the late afternoon of Thursday, June 10, 1954. His failure to recognize the dangerousness of the material in his possession indicates a complete lack of administrative ability. I, therefore, recommend his removal from supervisory duties.

Joseph A. Sullivan, Supervisor in Charge of the Administrative and Correlation Desk in the Internal Security Section, was advised of the information developed by Supervisor Sandall up to Thursday, June 10, 1954. By this time the seriousness of the problem involved should have been completely apparent to Supervisor Sullivan. Instead of immediately reporting it to his superior, F. J. Baumgardner, he merely "instructed Sandall to prepare the memorandum and necessary instructions to the field on Friday, June 11." If a Supervisor in Charge is unable to recognize the necessity for immediate notification, I feel that that Agent does not have sufficient maturity or experience to warrant his continued assignment as Supervisor in Charge. I recommend he be relieved of this duty.

A. H. X

According to Belmont's memorandum, Baumgardner immediately upon being cognizant of the situation in the late afternoon of Friday, June 11, 1954, brought it to Belmont's attention. Belmont in turn telephoned me and briefly advised me of the situation and I instructed Belmont to immediately advise the Director of the situation. I do not recommend that administrative action as to Mr. Baumgardner or Mr. Belmont be taken in so far as their handling of this matter is concerned. However, I recommend letters of censure to Messrs. Baumgardner, Belmont, and Boardman for the laxity in the administration of this Division which permits a situation of this kind to develop.

L. V. X Boardman *LB*

July 14, 1954

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

Mr. Leland V. Boardman
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D. C.

DECLASSIFIED 1565 SDP/KSR
ON 6-20-91

Dear Mr. Boardman:

I have carefully reviewed the circumstances relating to your supervision of the recent kidnaping case at Phoenix, Arizona, involving Evelyn Anne Smith as victim and the inadequacies and weaknesses in the Seat of Government control of this investigation clearly denote a lack of sufficient imagination, initiative, ingenuity, vigor and aggressiveness on your part. You are already familiar with the delinquencies found to exist during the subsequent inquiry by two Bureau officials but I wish to point out to you the fact that you were in large part responsible for them.

It appears that the frequent and prolonged telephone conversations between the Bureau and the Phoenix Division undoubtedly had much to do with breaking down any clear-cut line of delineation as to who was actually controlling the case, the field or the Bureau. Advice and precautionary instructions were provided the field by the Bureau but they did not go far enough. There were instructions to maintain a "no comment" position with the press even after the victim had been safely returned and the press knew it. Had the field been permitted to confirm this information which the press already had in its possession the hostile attitude later directed at the FBI by the press might very well have been lessened. In addition, it was not made clear to me on the night of June 10, 1954, that the victim had not been transported interstate, that there was no Federal extortion violation or other violation within FBI jurisdiction and, finally, there was a delay on the night of June 10 until the following afternoon before the United States Attorney was contacted for an opinion concerning a remote possibility of a Federal extortion violation and before our agents were withdrawn from the investigation.

You most certainly share in the responsibility for the

Tolson _____
Boardman _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Glavin _____
Harbo _____
Rosen _____
Tamm _____
Tracy _____
Mohr _____
Winterrowd _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
Miss Gandy _____

MAILED 16

JUL 16 1954

COMM-FBI

609-487

89 JUL 22 1954

weaknesses as outlined above and I am bringing these matters to your attention so that in the future you will not permit them to recur.

Very truly yours,

J. Edgar Hoover
John Edgar Hoover
Director

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : MR. TOLSON

DATE: June 22, 1954

FROM : J. P. MOHR

SUBJECT: LELAND V. BOARDMAN
 Assistant to the Director
 SERVICE AWARD LETTER
 20th Anniversary - July 30, 1954

Tolson _____
 Ladd _____
 Nichols _____
 Belmont _____
 Clegg _____
 Glavin _____
 Harbo _____
 Rosen _____
 Tracy _____
 Mohr _____
 Trotter _____
 Winterrowd _____
 Tele. Room _____
 Holloman _____
 Miss Gandy _____

For the Director's information, Mr. Leland V. Boardman, Assistant to the Director, completes 20 years of service with the Bureau on July 30, 1954.

For his further information, Mr. Boardman celebrated his 10th Anniversary on July 30, 1944. He was censured on 9-28-44 re: the poor administration in his Field Office. He was censured on 11-15-44 for his failure to bring a complaint to the Bureau's attention. He was censured and placed on probation on 1-3-45 re: the situation in his Field Office. On 6-4-45 he was removed from probation. A Meritorious Award was given him on 7-2-45. His suggestion re: long-range police training programs was adopted on 7-26-45. He received a letter of commendation on 8-9-46 re: the investigation of an Antitrust Case. He was censured 12-17-46 re: the loss of a Badge. On 2-27-47 he was commended for his part in a Bureau Film. He was commended 3-19-47 and 6-21-47 re: Pennsylvania State Police Chiefs Association. On 2-19-48 he was commended for handling inquiry. He was censured 3-25-48 re: failure to meet the Bureau deadlines. He received a letter of censure on 4-27-48 re: inadequate inspection of the Bureau autos. On 12-28-48 he was commended for financial aid given to a Special Agent by the Field Office. He was censured 11-8-49 re: condition of Field Office. He was censured 3-6-50 re: incomplete and inaccurate report. He was censured 3-16-50 for submitting excellent report on a Very Good employee. He was censured 4-10-50 for submitting incomplete efficiency reports. He was censured 4-11-50 for the improper evaluation of a Special Agent's work. On 11-2-50 he received a letter of commendation for supervision of a Bank Robbery case. He was commended 12-14-50 re: the apprehension of IO Fugitive of a UFAP case. He was censured 1-17-51 re: his failure to guard against the premature release of facts. On 3-12-51 he was censured re: the mishandling of a matter re: the Warden of Penitentiary. On 4-12-51 he received a letter of censure for the improper supervision of personnel. On 8-9-51 he was commended for participation in the apprehension of an IO Fugitive in a Bank Robbery Case. He received a Superior Accomplishment Award on 11-25-51. He was commended 10-17-51 re: handling of an ITGD Case. He was commended 10-5-51 for his manner of handling ITGD Cases. He was censured 10-31-51 for his delay in reporting the improper delinquency reports of stenographers.

CC: Mr. Holloman (Direct)

WSH:rel

89 AUG 31 1954

(LETTER AND KEY DETACHED IN TELE. ROOM)

On 6-26-52 he received a letter of censure re: his failure to submit a Special Agent's resignation. He was commended on 9-24-52 for his work on summaries re: Communist Front Organization. He was censured 9-30-52 re: the improper use of wires. He was commended 10-21-52 re: TIS case. He was censured 11-21-52 re: a delay in a Bribery case. On 11-21-52 he was censured for a delay in reporting the complaint of a Police Commissioner to the Bureau. He was censured 11-28-52 for his delay in initialing a report on an Internal Security Case. He was censured 12-1-52 for his failure to develop an Informant for the Luchese case. On 12-31-52 he was censured for his failure to meet a deadline. He was censured 1-6-53 for his delay in submitting an investigation report, and he was also censured on 1-6-53 re: the criminal activity on the New York waterfront. On 1-16-53 he was censured and placed on probation as a result of an inspection. He was censured 2-4-53 re: a broken heater in the auto which the Director used. He was censured 2-5-53 for not following Bureau instructions. He received a letter of commendation on 3-6-53 for his satisfactory appearance before the House Judiciary Subcommittee. On 3-10-53 he was censured for his delay in handling an investigation. He was censured 3-17-53 in view of a deficiency in the administration of his Field Office. He was commended 3-18-53 re: a TIS case. He was censured 4-1-53 re: an insufficient and delayed investigation report. He received a letter of censure on 4-13-53 re: the delay of a summary report on an Internal Security investigation. On 4-16-53 he was censured re: the unauthorized absence of an employee who failed to keep office advised of his whereabouts. On 5-6-53 he was removed from probation. He received a letter of commendation on 7-16-53 for a summary re: Communist infiltration in the Progressive Party. On 10-5-53 he received a letter of censure re: an excessive number of errors in a report. He was commended 10-12-53 re: the investigation of Communist Party functionaries. He was commended 12-2-53 re: an ITSMV Case. He was censured 1-4-54 re: the immature handling of an apprehension. He was censured on 3-1-54 for the disappearance of evidence in New York. He was censured on 5-11-54 re: unreasonable delay in handling incoming teletype. On 5-11-54 he was censured for delay in handling letter from Attorney General. He was censured on 6-17-54 re: lax handling of information by Domestic Intelligence Division. He was censured 6-21-54 re: dissemination of security information. He was censured on 6-22-54 re: weaknesses in supervisory personnel of the Accounting and Fraud Section. He is presently in GS 18, \$14,800 and his last performance rating was SATISFACTORY.

The Director may desire to present Mr. Boardman's letter and key personally. A suggested letter is attached.

Attachment

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : MR. L. V. BOARDMAN

DATE: June 12, 1954

FROM : MR. A. H. BELMONT

SUBJECT: [REDACTED]

Classified by 1505 SDP/KSL
Declassify on: OADR

6-27-91

Tolson _____
Ladd _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Clegg _____
Glavin _____
Harbo _____
Rosen _____
Tracy _____
Gandy _____
Mohr _____
Winterrowd _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
Sizoo _____
Miss Gandy _____

4-6-92

INFORMATION CONCERNING

With reference to my memorandum to you dated June 10, 1954, the question has been raised as to why we did not carry our memorandum further on page 6 to reflect the dissemination on [REDACTED] to ONI on January 18, 1954, and to include report sent to ONI on January 20, 1954. The write-up in my memorandum of June 10, 1954, on [REDACTED] was prepared specifically to answer the Director's question as to why it took from November 11, 1953, to December 17, 1953, to effect the identification of [REDACTED]. Therefore, we restricted our comments up to the positive identification of the Unknown Subject as [REDACTED]. The further details were set forth in my memorandum of June 9, 1954, and were not repeated.

(U) The facts concerning dissemination subsequent to December 17, 1953, to ONI are these. Baltimore Agents interviewed [REDACTED] on December 17, 1953, on the possibility that he might be the owner of the car in which Unknown Subject visited Soviet Embassy, and might in fact be the Unknown Subject. The interview was conducted with knowledge of Naval Academy officials and ONI because we had notified ONI of our intent on December 16, 1953. On December 17, [REDACTED] admitted to be owner of car in question and identified [REDACTED] as being identical with Unknown Subject. Following the interview, the Baltimore Agents on December 17, 1953, furnished the results to Commander [REDACTED] Security Officer, U. S. Naval Academy, and ONI Agent [REDACTED] of the Potomac River Naval Command and advised them that since [REDACTED] was Navy personnel, no further investigation would be conducted.

The Baltimore Office first reported [REDACTED] identification to the Bureau by teletype at 6:03 P.M., January 7, 1954. The teletype stated that Naval Academy officials and ONI had been advised. (ONI's investigative report on [REDACTED] states that they were officially advised by the FBI of [REDACTED] identity on December 17, 1953.) This teletype was received in the Domestic Intelligence Division at 6:40 P.M. on January 7, 1954, and in

cc - 1 - Administrative Division

CAHB:TRD
JUL 1 1954

RECEIVED - WORK
JUL 1 1954

SECRET

JUL 1 1954

CLASSIFIED DECISIONS FILED

DEPARTMENT REVIEW COMMITTEE

DATE 4-14-93 BY 9803 RDD/KSL

Appr 91-2009/5/14/93

~~SECRET~~

the Espionage Section on Friday, January 8, 1954. It reached the Supervisor on Monday, January 11, 1954. Since the teletype revealed that the Navy had been advised, it was felt desirable to furnish the data in the Bureau's files to ONI when confirming the identification of [redacted]; accordingly, the Bureau's indices were checked and a letter to ONI was dictated by Supervisor Otho A. Ezell on Wednesday, January 13, 1954. It was typed Thursday, January 14, 1954, on Friday's date of the 15th. It was returned for additional data necessitating redating of the letter to Monday, January 18, when it was transmitted. In view of the fact that Naval Academy officials and ONI were aware of [redacted] identity, it was felt that no faster method of notification was necessary under then existing circumstances. The Baltimore report covering the interview with [redacted], dated January 8, 1954, was received in the Espionage Section on January 18, 1954. A copy was furnished to the Records Administration Branch in the Department on January 18, 1954, and the letter of transmittal to ONI was dictated on January 18, 1954, being sent from the Bureau on Wednesday, January 20, 1954.

b6

b6

RECOMMENDATION:

The Baltimore Office was derelict in that they took twenty days in which to notify the Bureau, by teletype dated January 7, 1954, following their interview with [redacted] on December 17, 1953. The Baltimore Agent who participated in the interview and submitted the report was SA [redacted], who I recommended be censured in my memorandum of June 10, 1954, for his failure to notify ONI promptly re Midshipman [redacted] upon receipt of original information of correspondence between [redacted] and [redacted] in July, 1953. SA [redacted] should also be criticized for his failure to promptly report the identification of [redacted].

Since the Navy had been advised of the possible Navy interest in this matter on December 16, 1953, and of the identification of [redacted] by Baltimore on December 17, 1953, I believe the handling of the final dissemination to ONI by the Domestic Intelligence Division was proper.

See addendum page three.

~~SECRET~~

ADDENDUM: June 13, 1954 LVB:WMJ

~~SECRET~~

With reference to Special Agent [redacted], I have recommended in the attached memorandum dated June 10, 1954, that this Agent be censured, placed on probation and transferred, and his letter of censure should include this additional delinquency.

I recommend that Mr. Belmont be censured because the initial memorandum prepared by him was sent back to him by me because of his failure to thoroughly explore the reason why the Kansas City Office did not make appropriate dissemination to ONI concerning the contact between Midshipman [redacted] and security subject [redacted].

I recommend that I be censured for having failed to insist that Belmont submit initially a sufficiently thorough explanation concerning the [redacted] phase of this matter. It was necessary for the Director at the time I discussed this matter with him telephonically to point out to me that I had failed to explain the situation existing as to the alleged two-month delay of notification to ONI as reflected in paragraph one, page two, memorandum dated June 10, 1954, of L. B. Nichols to Mr. Tolson. For this omission I recommend that I be given a letter of censure.

L. V. Boardman *LB*

Attachment

~~SECRET~~

July 30, 1954

Mr. Leland V. Boardman
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D. C.

PERSONAL

Dear Boardman:

I note that today marks the Twentieth Anniversary of your service with the FBI and I wanted to take this opportunity of extending to you my warmest congratulations on this occasion. It is with a deep feeling of appreciation that I enclose the Bureau's Twenty-Year Service Award Key in recognition of your many years of splendid service.

The knowledge that men of your high caliber and devotion to duty have dedicated their lives so unselfishly to the Bureau has been a constant source of personal gratification to me. Your record reflects a period of enviable work performance and a vital interest in the continued progress of the FBI--an interest made ever more real by your selfless efforts to do the job well.

Your relatively recent assumption of duties involving increased responsibilities is further indication of the confidence which you have engendered. I deeply appreciate the devoted and loyal manner in which you have discharged your responsibilities. I know that you will endeavor to continue to carry out your new duties in the same admirable fashion.

I know that every employee in the FBI joins with me in wishing you continued happiness and health in your future endeavors.

With best wishes and kindest personal regards,

Sincerely,

J. Edgar Hoover

Tolson _____
Boardman _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Glavin _____
Harbo _____
Rosen _____
Tamm _____
Tracy _____
Mohr _____
Winterrowd _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
Miss Gandy _____

SENT FROM D. C.
TIME 10:35 AM
DATE 7/30/54
BY [Signature]

Enclosure Personal
cc - Mr. Holloman (direct)

WSH:jmw

7/30/54 lzh

RECORDED

RECEIVED
JUN 24 2 50 PM '54

JUN 24

38-489

73

Yam/ra

12345

Handwritten notes and signatures in the bottom right corner.

August 17, 1954

The Chesapeake and Potomac Telephone Company
3515 North Fairfax Drive
Arlington 1, Virginia

Gentlemen:

Mr. L.V. Boardman, Assistant to the Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, is requesting that a telephone with a private line be installed in his new residence, 2760 North Piedmont Street, Arlington, Virginia by October 15, 1954.

Inasmuch as Mr. Boardman is connected with the Federal Bureau of Investigation and his services are essential for public safety, it is necessary that telephone service with a private line be afforded him. This letter, therefore, should be considered as a certificate of necessity.

Very truly yours,

J. Edgar Hoover



John Edgar Hoover
Director

cc: Mr. Boardman, Room 5736

AMN:jsg
jsg

AUG 17 3 05 PM '54
RECEIVED READING ROOM
F B I
U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE

RECORDED-23



Tolson _____
Ladd _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Clegg _____
Glavin _____
Harbo _____
Rosen _____
Tracy _____
Gearty _____
Mohr _____
Winterrowd _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
Miss Gandy _____

17 AUG 19 1954

August 11, 1954

Mr. James F. Hartness
Superintendent of Police
Haverford Township
Haverford, Pennsylvania

My dear Superintendent:

Thank you for your very kind letter of August 5, 1954, concerning the recent Annual Convention of the Pennsylvania Chiefs of Police Association.

It was indeed thoughtful of you to write so favorably about Mr. L. V. Boardman, Mr. R. J. Abbaticchio, Jr., and Special Agent Walter V. McLaughlin. I know that they will be most grateful for your generous comments, and we assure you that we are always glad to have an opportunity to cooperate with you.


Sincerely yours,

~~2cc's - Philadelphia, with 2 copies of the incoming.~~
✓ cc - Personnel File of Mr. L. V. Boardman, with copy of incoming.
cc - Personnel File of SAC R. J. Abbaticchio, Jr., with a copy of incoming.
cc - Personnel File of SA Walter V. McLaughlin, with copy of incoming.

NOTE: SA Walter V. McLaughlin, EOD 9-24-34, GS013, assigned to Philadelphia.

MLL:jsm:les

139
89 AUG 18 1954



True Copy

HAVERFORD TOWNSHIP BUREAU OF POLICE
BROOKLINE - HAVERTOWN - DELAWARE COUNTY - PENNA.

James F. Hartness, Superintendent

August 5, 1954.

Mr. J. Edgar Hoover, Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D. C.

Dear Director:

L. V. Boardman
I would like to take this opportunity of expressing the appreciation of the Pennsylvania Chiefs of Police Association, for the excellent cooperation and assistance rendered by your Philadelphia office during our 41st Annual Convention.

There is no doubt that this was one of the most successful meetings and the excellent publicity work rendered by Special Agent Walter V. McLaughlin did much to making an outstanding Convention, as Chairman of the Publicity Committee.

I feel that it is necessary for Law Enforcement organizations to appear in a favorable light before the public and this is not always easy to do especially when one is trained in Police work rather than in public relation matters.

Therefore, you can realize our appreciation to you and to R. J. Abbaticchio, Jr., Special Agent in Charge of the Philadelphia F. B. I. office for permitting Agent McLaughlin to assist us along these lines for the past eight years.

It was also particularly pleasant and everyone enjoyed Lee Boardman's talk at the Annual Banquet which was given in a truly inspiring manner and was mighty nice to be able to talk and visit with him again.

As President of the Pennsylvania Chiefs of Police Association for the coming year, I want to pledge the cooperation of the entire Membership in all matters of mutual interest.

Sincerely yours,

/s/ James F. Hartness
SUPERINTENDENT.

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : THE DIRECTOR

b6

DATE:

July 28, 1954

Tolson _____
Boardman _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Mohr _____
Rosen _____
Tamm _____
Tracy _____
Nease _____
Winterrowd _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
Miss Gandy _____

FROM : L. V. Boardman

SUBJECT:

Crime on Government Reservation
Juvenile Delinquency Act

Attached is a memorandum from Supervisor Oscar Keep to Mr. Rosen, submitted in response to my specific inquiry as to why it took all day 7/27, until the morning of 7/28/54, to obtain the full facts in this matter.

L. V. Boardman
I still am convinced that there is no reason why Rosen's division could not have nailed this matter down during the course of the morning of 7/27, so that they would have had the facts which they finally secured piecemeal during the course of the day and the early morning hours of 7/28. This matter was not so involved that they could not have ascertained much earlier the facts that were finally secured.

I have personally informed Mr. Rosen and Mr. Keep that when situations comparable to this arise it is absolutely essential that they insist on getting the information desired; that should the agent in charge or any field official from whom they are seeking the facts fail to fully cooperate, then it is expected that they will make immediate recommendations concerning appropriate administrative action.

I pointed out to them that when the Bureau needs information to permit a determination on a course of action, it is essential that very vigorous steps be taken to secure said information. Rosen did not personally follow this matter as he should have. Keep's efforts to get the information were ineffectual. I called Rosen around 9 o'clock on the morning of 7/27 and told him to secure the facts in this case and to immediately advise me inasmuch as I was to leave the building at 9:45 a.m. to attend a briefing of IIC and ICIS representatives at the Atomic Energy Commission. Upon my return in the afternoon I was advised that memoranda had been submitted on the situation. Though I was trying to handle other problems that had arisen, I did not ascertain until 5:30 p.m. that the facts had not yet been secured. I should have followed this matter more vigorously earlier in the afternoon.

Attachment

LVB:CSH

FOR APPROVAL
SENT DIRECTOR
7-31-54

ENC 11

89 AUG 11 1954

RECOMMENDATION:

(1) I recommend that Mr. Rosen be censured for having failed to personally follow this matter to insure that all the facts were secured promptly and for having failed, as indicated in my earlier memorandum, to insure that when the summary memorandum was prepared appropriate recommendations were made.

(2) I recommend that Supervisor Oscar Keep be severely censured for having failed to secure the facts during the morning of 7/27 in order that an appropriate determination could be made as to what action should be taken in this matter and, as indicated in an earlier memorandum, for having failed to prepare the summary memorandum completely in the first instance.

(3) I recommend that I be given a letter of censure for having failed to follow this matter more closely upon my return to the building.

ADDENDUM: (July 28, 1954) GHS:WMJ

As of 12:45 P.M., July 28, 1954, the original of the Baltimore letter requesting Laboratory examination in this case had not yet been located although it is on special locate.

THIS IS BEING
HANDLED SEPARATELY
& MR. BOARDMAN SAYS
IT HAS NO BEARING ON
ACTION TAKEN IN THIS
MEMO.

ECK

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : THE DIRECTOR

DATE:

FROM : L. V. Boardman

July 27, 1954

SUBJECT: Special Inquiry

Tolson _____
Boardman _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Glavin _____
Harbo _____
Rosen _____
Tamm _____
Tracy _____
Mohr _____
Winterrowd _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
Miss Gandy _____

Bel *Investigative Div.*

Attached hereto is a memorandum prepared by Supervisor M. L. Innes, dated July 27, 1954, explaining his handling of the summary memorandum which was delivered to the Postmaster General on the evening of 7/26/54.

Immediately after receiving your instructions that this memorandum was to be prepared for delivery to the Postmaster General on the afternoon of 7/26, I telephonically instructed Mr. Malley, #1 Man to Mr. Rosen, that the memorandum for the Postmaster General was to be completed as indicated. I suggested to Mr. Malley, who had previously informed me that the entire summary memorandum had been dictated to one stenographer, who had already typed five or six pages, that he have as many additional stenographers made available as necessary to transcribe the stenographer's notes. I also suggested to Mr. Malley that the pages, as soon as typed, be proofread and corrections made immediately by others. I also instructed that the cover memoranda be prepared immediately, so that the entire matter would be available for delivery as soon as the typing was completed on the summary.

RECORDED-10

I told Mr. Malley that as soon as he issued these instructions and got under way, he should let me know the estimated time that this matter could be completed. Mr. Malley subsequently advised me ³⁸⁶⁰⁹⁻⁴⁹⁰ was estimated the memorandum would be in my office at 5:30 p.m., and indicated that the memorandum was being duplimated. I asked him why it needed to be duplimated, and told him all I wanted was the copy for the Postmaster General as quickly as possible. He advised that these memoranda were always duplimated, and that the duplimating process would not delay it. By this time I felt that to try to change this duplimating process, part of which was already completed, would merely delay the receipt of the finished product. I, therefore, suggested that as each duplimat page was completed it be sent to the Mechanical Section so that by the time the last page was completed it would take but a moment to finish the duplimating on the last page. I now find, in the memorandum of explanation from Innes, that they were not able to number the duplimat sheets until all of them had been proofread and corrected, because there were several stenographers working on the matter, and, therefore, the duplimat sheets did not go to the Mechanical Section until 5:35 p.m. It is also observed that the Attachment

LVB:CSH

9 AUG 9 1954

13 AUG 2 1954

133

RECORDED

Mechanical Section had difficulty with "dirty plates."

I regret that there was delay in the submission of this memorandum, occasioned by the duplimating process.

ene

V.

This does not
refer to
mechanical
section failure
but to
some of the
duplimats
sent to the
mechanical
section.
8 PM
7/30/54

The delay was inexcusable.
I told Boardman specifically
at 3.15 PM I wanted a copy
as soon as finished sent to
P.M. General. Instead he
lilly lallied around with
duplimating delaying it
nearly another hour.
H.

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : THE DIRECTOR

DATE: July 15, 1954

FROM : L. V. Boardman

SUBJECT: RESTRICTING DIPLOMATS AND OFFICIAL REPRESENTATIVES OF
SOVIET BLOC COUNTRIES IN THE U. S., IN CONNECTION
WITH STRATEGIC INTELLIGENCE

Tolson
Boardman
Nichols
Belmont
Glavin
Harbo
Rosen
Tamm
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holloman
Miss Gandy

This memorandum pertains to the Director's comment, "I have not been advised; nor have I received an analysis of final report of Planning Com. Why not?"

Attached is a memorandum dated 7/14/54 from Mr. Hennrich to me, setting forth explanations concerning the handling of this matter.

There is no question but that it should not have been necessary for you to have had to follow me up on this matter. Though the necessary pages for insertion in your brief were being prepared, and the necessary analysis was being made, these matters were proceeding at a snail's pace. Further, it was necessary for me to request Special Agent E. S. Sanders to re-dictate an analysis memorandum which, when initially prepared, was in my opinion too involved and did not pertain strictly to the analysis requested. Secondly, Special Agent E. T. Turner did not handle the preparation of the revised pages as expeditiously as should have been expected, and as Mr. Hennrich was instructed by me. Thirdly, Mr. Hennrich should have more carefully and closely followed this entire situation to insure that the necessary analysis and insertions in your memorandum were completed no later than the evening of 7/13/54.

So far as I am concerned, I did a very bungling job in following through to personally insure that these matters were given more expedite attention.

RECOMMENDATION:

I recommend that Messrs. Hennrich, Sanders, Turner and myself be given severe letters of censure for having failed to expedite the submission of material in an obviously important and expedite matter.

Attachment 1/2 letter but from me

89 AUG 5 1954

no need for the
letter but from me
I am sorry
I am sorry
in preparing same

5/11
Hennrich
Sanders
Turner
me

NAME IN FULL: Leland V. Boardman BA 29; LLB 34

Home Address Street and Number City Zone State

Occupation Business Address Street and Number, City, Zone, State

If Married: Date of Marriage To Whom Married

If Deceased: Date of Death Place of Death

ack'd 10/25/51
Other Institutions Attended and Degrees Received

College Organizations of Which You Were a Member

Clubs of Which You Are a Member (business, social, fraternal)

War Service: Rank, Branch of Service and Address

Name and Permanent Address of Relative or Friend Who Will Always Know Your Address

67-3867-


ENCLOSURE

*File
3/1/51*

Handwritten:
M. J. ...
C. J. ...

RECORDED

- 2. 28. 5 - 15



THIS SIDE OF CARD IS FOR ADDRESS

ALUMNI DIRECTORY OFFICE
205 Coffman Union
University of Minnesota
Minneapolis 14, Minnesota

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : MR. TOLSON

DATE:

September 16, 1954

FROM : L. V. Boardman

SUBJECT: ANNUAL LEAVE,
3:30 p.m., 9/17/54 to
5:30 p.m., 10/8/54

Tolson ✓
Boardman ✓
Nichols ✓
Belmont ✓
Harbo ✓
Mohr ✓
Parsons ✓
Rosen ✓
Tamm ✓
 Sizoo ✓
Winterrowd ✓
Tele. Room ✓
Holloman ✓
Gandy ✓

If it meets with your approval, I plan to depart Washington, D. C., at 5:30 p.m., 9/17/54, via B. & O. Capitol Limited, Bedroom C, Car 53, to Chicago; leaving Chicago 4:30 p.m., 9/18/54, via Burlington California Zephyr, Bedroom E, Car C-316; and arriving in Sacramento, California at noon on Monday, 9/20/54.

While in Sacramento I will be in care of my parents, Mr. and Mrs. V. E. Boardman, 1131 Los Molinas Way, Sacramento telephone number Ivanhoe 9-7573.

I also contemplate visiting with my daughter and son-in-law, [redacted] California, telephone number [redacted].

While in Sacramento, I shall plan to keep the San Francisco Office advised of my whereabouts, and while in Long Beach I shall plan to keep the Los Angeles Office advised.

I shall be returning to Washington from California on October 9, 1954, and shall plan to return to duty at 9 a.m., 10/11/54. Annual leave slip is attached hereto.

Attachment

LVB:CSH

Section Adv. Leave
approved & leave
SLIP detached

18 SEP 23 1954

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : MR. L. V. BOARDMAN

FROM : A. ROSEN

DATE: 8/2/54

SUBJECT:

This is respectfully submitted in connection with Mr. Tolson's inquiry that neither Boardman or Rosen are handling calls re investigative matters.

I have checked over the calls both outgoing and incoming and in view of the nature of the calls I would like to submit that none of them were of sufficient importance to warrant your having handled any of them.

With reference to the outgoing calls there were many of these calls which were made in connection with the two applicant matters [redacted] and [redacted] which we were attempting to expedite through to completion by Friday, July 30, 1954, in accordance with the Director's instructions. Messrs. Malley, Morris and Hartley did make numerous calls throughout the day in connection with these cases.

In connection with the other telephone calls all were made in connection with the developments in the [redacted] case, with the exception of those which are set out in this memorandum. The [redacted] case involves the theft on the part of [redacted] of approximately \$250,000 from a rich widow. [redacted] was tried in Richmond and was found guilty July 30, 1954 after the jury was out but eight minutes. The activity in connection with this case was with reference to lining up possible witnesses and following the case.

The above calls were made in pursuance to your instructions and also in pursuance to my instructions, both with reference to the applicant matters and the [redacted] case. We were able to get the applicant cases lined up so that they were ready the first thing in the morning of Friday, July 30, 1954.

The incoming call which was made by SAC McCabe, Cleveland, to me at 9:04 a.m. was one involving policy and was not associated with any of the other matters pending.

A call was made to Chicago at 10:06 a.m., by Mr. Keap, in order to follow up on an extortion case, and calls were made by the evening Supervisors who comes on duty at 4 p.m. until midnight.

AR:WW

RECORDED 45-27

INDEXED - 100-100-100

8-5-54

EX-100

VNE 13-100

484

Tolson
Ladd
Nichols
Belmont
Clegg
Glavin
Harbo
Rosen
Tracy
Gandy
Mohr
Winterrowd
Tele. Rm.
Holloman
Miss Gandy

UNRECORDED COPY FILED IN

Memorandum for Mr. Boardman

b6

With reference to the case "[redacted] Special Agent [redacted], Victim; Assaulting a Federal Officer," I instructed that Myers call and try and expedite the presentation of this case to a grand jury rather than wait until December, 1954, for the matter to be presented.

A call was made at 5:14 p.m., in connection with the preparation of a memorandum in the case "[redacted] was.; Interstate Transportation of Stolen Motor Vehicle; Deserter" inasmuch as this information was needed in order to reply to an individual who had written in to the Bureau and in order that a memorandum could be prepared to the Department.

I have attached hereto ticklers involved in connection with these telephone calls in the event they are desired for further reference.

I try to take all incoming calls if I am not on another call when the call is received from the field.

I wish to assure you that I will continue to make every effort to handle all calls which are directed to me.

*I shall do likewise
LR.*

*✓ Per ERE
8-4*

000 8

October 7, 1954

Department of Investigation
U. S. Department of Justice
Washington, D. C.

Dear Sirs:

O
Leland V. BOARDMAN

We are endeavoring to maintain a correct
address list of our Alumni and former students
and will appreciate any information you may give
us concerning the person named on the enclosed
card.

Sincerely yours,

THE ALUMNI ASSOCIATION
University of Minnesota

1 ENCL

ack'ing
10-25-54
outgoing

1
10/11/54

RECORDED-36

67- 38609- 493

Serialized	180
Numbered	180

9 OCT 19 1954

15

FILE

File
J. Boardman

Office Memorandum

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Mr. Tolson

DATE: October 16, 1954

FROM : L. B. Nichols

SUBJECT:

L. V. BOARDMAN

R. T. HARBO

UNITED STATES ATTORNEYS CONFERENCE

Tolson ☒
 Boardman ☒
 Nichols ☒
 Belmont ☒
 Glavin ☒
 Harbo ☒
 Rosen ☒
 Tamm ☒
 Tracy ☒
 Mohr ☒
 Winterrowd ☒
 Tele. Room ☒
 Holloman ☒
 Miss Gandy ☒

During the past week, Messrs. Boardman and Harbo attended the United States Attorneys Conference and I think both did a very creditable job in mingling with the United States Attorneys and discussing problems and in their constant alertness to matters pertaining to the Bureau.

I thought this should be called to your attention.

LBN:arm

RECORDED

67-38609-4194

Searched

Numbered 25

59
78 NOV 1 1954

1954
 [Signature]
 [Signature]

Prepared by: 478
Checked by: JH/KL
Filed by: JH/KL

October 25, 1954

Alumni Directory Office
University of Minnesota
205 Coffman Union
Minneapolis 14, Minnesota

Gentlemen:

Receipt is acknowledged of your inquiry dated October 7, 1954, regarding Mr. Leland V. Boardman.


In reply, please be advised Mr. Boardman is presently employed in this Bureau and a communication may be directed to him in care of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, United States Department of Justice, 9th and Pennsylvania Avenue, Northwest, Washington, D. C., or to his home address Apartment 1, 4210 Columbia Pike, Arlington, Virginia.

Very truly yours,

John Edgar Hoover
Director

JW:wjs

Tolson _____
Boardman _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Harbo _____
Mohr _____
Parsons _____
Rosen _____
Tamm _____
 Sizoo _____
Winterrowd _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
Gandy _____



OCT 27 1954

92
JH/KL
10/25/54

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : THE DIRECTOR

DATE:
October 21, 1954FROM : L. V. Boardman *LVB*SUBJECT: NATIONALIST PARTY OF PUERTO RICO,
Internal Security - N

Tolson	✓
Boardman	✓
Nichols	✓
Belmont	✓
DeLoach	✓
Mohr	✓
Parsons	✓
Rosen	✓
Tamm	✓
Sizoo	✓
Winterrowd	✓
Tele. Room	✓
Holloman	✓
Gandy	✓

The purpose of this memorandum is to indicate responsibility for the failure, on the part of Messrs. Baumgardner, Belmont and Boardman, to immediately disseminate information concerning possible violence by the NPPR, to be directed against members of a Congressional Committee scheduled to visit Puerto Rico at the end of October, and to recommend that letters of censure be directed to each of the afore-indicated Bureau officials.

On 3/11/54 I dictated a memorandum to all Bureau officials and supervisors, emphasizing the necessity for immediate dissemination of information received at Bureau headquarters reflecting possible violence against Government officials. It is obvious that I failed to sufficiently impress Messrs. Belmont and Baumgardner with the necessity of taking affirmative immediate action, since in the instance in question letters of dissemination did not leave the Bureau until some 33 hours after the warning information was received.

RECORDED-107

67-38609-495

Belmont, in his attached memorandum dated 10/21/54, assumes responsibility for the delay, pointing out that he was on my desk, during my absence, when instant radiogram was received on the morning of 10/15/54; that he delayed dissemination to appropriate Government officials through the medium of conferring with Mr. Baumgardner and Supervisor Spencer, who had commenced to prepare the necessary letters of dissemination. Belmont engaged these employees in a policy discussion concerning the desirability of preparing a memorandum to the Department, pointing out the possibility of the Department's immediately proceeding with prosecution against members of the NPPR in Puerto Rico. Belmont indicates this conference contributed to the dissemination delay, for which he assumes full responsibility and recommends he be censured. He pointed out that hurricane Hazel resulted in dismissal of Bureau employees, but nevertheless stenographers were held over for the express purpose of typing the dissemination letters involved in instant matter and other specials being handled in his division.

LVB:CSH

Attachments

18 NOV 16 1954

3 copies outstated 11-15-54

Letter of reprimand
to Messrs. Belmont
and Baumgardner
10/27/54 JLC:gr

39
10/27/54

Baumgardner, in the attached memorandum dated 10/21/54, mentions conferring with Belmont, mentions hurricane Hazel, but nevertheless assumes responsibility for not having insured that the dissemination was made more expeditiously.

The dissemination of this information, orally and in writing, could and should have been made more expeditiously than it was.

I am not recommending censure as to Supervisor J. C. ~~X~~Spencer, since he was under the instructions of his official superiors.

RECOMMENDATION:

1. I recommend that Section Chief F. ~~X~~J. Baumgardner be censured for failure to insist on the arrangements necessary to insure immediate dissemination of the information in question.
2. I recommend that Assistant Director ~~X~~H. A. Belmont be censured, in that, despite the fact that he held a conference, he could and should have insured that immediate dissemination be made of the information in question. His policy conference was a separate matter and should not have interfered with the dissemination.
3. I recommend that I be censured because of the breakdown in the administrative operations of this office. My instructions, previously given, were not heeded, and I feel that it is my responsibility to insure that, whether I am present or absent, essential operations be properly carried on by subordinates.

Jasper
JPM
10/22

Jasper
JPM
10/24

I recommend
letters of censure
to Baumgardner
and Belmont

10/24

←

JPM
10/25

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : THE DIRECTOR

b6

DATE:

October 28, 1954

FROM : L. V. BOARDMAN *LVB*

SUBJECT:

[redacted] Sp. Agt., Intelligence Div., St. Paul, Minn.
 [redacted] Sp. Agt., Intelligence Div., Chicago, Ill.
 [redacted] Internal Revenue Agt., Chicago, Ill.

Tolson _____
 Boardman _____
 Nichols _____
 Belmont _____
 Harbo _____
 Mohr _____
 Parsons _____
 Rosen _____
 Tamm _____
 Sizoo _____
 Winterrowd _____
 Tele. Room _____
 Holloman _____
 Gandy _____

This memorandum is submitted in response to the Director's inquiry as to where the attached memorandum of 10/21/54 to Assistant Attorney General Olney, captioned as above, was from 10/20/54 until 7:43 p.m., 10/22/54, when it was stamped into the Director's Office.

An examination of the time stamps reflects this was first stamped into my office at 5 p.m., 10/20/54. After being read by Mr. Scatterday, it was returned to Mr. Winterrowd for clarification of a statement contained therein. It was then redated for 10/21/54, and returned to my office at 8:58 a.m., 10/21/54.

This piece of mail must have been in my office the entire day 10/21/54, inasmuch as the next time stamp reflects it was received in Mr. Tolson's Office at 9:04 a.m., 10/22/54.

I recall having read this communication, but do not recall at what time of day. I have ascertained that there was no special tag on it. It is, therefore, entirely possible that this letter was in my office during the course of the entire day. There are many days, because of the heavy volume of mail, when communications which do not bear special tags remain in my office a good portion of the day, inasmuch as three or four nights a week I have from 30 to 40 pieces of mail in my office at 6 p.m., which I either read here at the office or take home for reading, returning it for routing the following morning.

It is my desire to read all mail. Frequently, because... either of the heavy volume or because of urgent matters arising during the course of the day, it is 10, 11 or 12 o'clock at night before I have completed the reading of the mail for that day.

RECORDED-107

67-38609-496

NOV 8 1954

LVB:CSH

18 NOV 9 1954

*Memo Edwards
 to Mohr -
 10-29-54
 NEM:lap*

3-Jan

November 3, 1954

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

Mr. Leland V. Boardman
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D. C.

DECLASSIFIED BY 1565 SDP/KSR
ON 6-20-91

Dear Mr. Boardman:

I have carefully considered the facts developed relative to the handling of a memorandum to the Department dated October 21, 1954, involving a Bankruptcy matter and several employees of another governmental agency and it is apparent this communication was unnecessarily delayed in your office. From the very content of this memorandum it should have been obvious to you as well as personnel in your office that this was an important matter and one which most certainly should not have been permitted to remain in your office for an entire day.

I shall expect you to take immediate steps to see to it that such important matters are promptly handled in your office and to insure that all employees under your supervision are fully aware of the necessity of more expeditious handling of mail of such importance.

Very truly yours,

J. Edgar Hoover

John Edgar Hoover
Director

Tolson _____
Boardman _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Harbo _____
Mohr _____
Parsons _____
Rosen _____
Tamm _____
Sizoo _____
Winterrowd _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
Gandy _____

MAILED 6
NOV 4 1954
COMM - FBI

67-38609-497

Searched	_____
Numbered	_____
5 NOV 1954	
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION	

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : The Director

DATE: Nov. 3, 1954

FROM : L. V. Boardman *2/2*SUBJECT: RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE FBI IN THE
INTERNAL SECURITY FIELD - 62-93875EXCEPTIONS TO RESTRICTIONS LIMITING
DISSEMINATION OF FBI DATA TO EXECUTIVE
BRANCH OF FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

Tolson	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Boardman	<input type="checkbox"/>
Nichols	<input type="checkbox"/>
Belmont	<input type="checkbox"/>
Harbo	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mohr	<input type="checkbox"/>
Parsons	<input type="checkbox"/>
Rosen	<input type="checkbox"/>
Tamm	<input type="checkbox"/>
Sizoo	<input type="checkbox"/>
Winterrowd	<input type="checkbox"/>
Tele. Room	<input type="checkbox"/>
Holloman	<input type="checkbox"/>
Gandy	<input type="checkbox"/>

Reference is made to the attached memorandum from Mr. Belmont dated November 3, 1954, responding to your request for an explanation as to the delay in the handling of the reply to the memorandum from the Attorney General dated October 25, 1954.

The answer to the memorandum appears to have been given expedite attention by Belmont's Division. The delay was occasioned by my incorrect interpretation as to the extent of the data desired for presentation to the Attorney General.

I very much regret that this memorandum was not prepared in conformity with the expressed desires of Mr. Tolson.

Attachment

LVB:WMJ

✓ Jc 11-3

67-38609-498

RECORDED-54

Searched *91*

Number *91*

NOV 4 1954

Phy Jc

Nie

NOV 17 1954

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Mr. Mohr

DATE: October 29, 1954

FROM : H. L. Edwards *HL Edwards*

SUBJECT: DELAY IN HANDLING MEMORANDUM TO
 ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL WARREN OLNEY III
 DATED 10-21-54 INVOLVING TREASURY AGENTS;
 AND THE SPRINGGROVE CORPORATION
 JAMES B. THOMPSON, PRESIDENT
 - NATIONAL BANKRUPTCY ACT

Tolson _____
 Boardman _____
 Nichols _____
 Belmont _____
 Harbo _____
 Mohr _____
 Parsons _____
 Rosen _____
 Tamm _____
 Sizoo _____
 Winterrowd _____
 Tele. Room _____
 Holloman _____
 Gandy _____

L. V. BOARDMAN

In regard to a memorandum dated October 21, 1954 addressed to Assistant Attorney General Warren Olney, III, regarding captioned matter, Mr. Holloman of the Director's office pointed out that this memorandum was in Mr. Rosen's office on October 20, 1954, and was thereafter received in the Director's office at 7:43 p.m. on October 22, 1954. Mr. Holloman noted that he was attempting to determine where this mail had been from October 20, 1954, to 7:43 p.m. October 22, 1954. The Director commented "I want to know."

Mr. E. Hugo Winterrowd of the Investigative Division has advised that the memorandum in question was forwarded from the Investigative Division on the afternoon of October 20, 1954, after it had been approved by Mr. Rosen, to Mr. Boardman's office where it was received at 5:00 p.m. October 20, 1954. It was then returned to Mr. Winterrowd by routing slip from Mr. George H. Scatterday and was received in Mr. Winterrowd's office 6:07 p.m. October 20, 1954 with a notation to 'phone Mr. Scatterday. The matter was discussed on the late afternoon of October 20, 1954, between Mr. Scatterday and Mr. Winterrowd and the question Mr. Scatterday had concerning this communication was clarified. It was not necessary to change the memorandum; however, due to the lateness of the hour Mr. Winterrowd stated they decided that the memorandum should be redated, from October 20, 1954, to October 21, 1954. Mr. Winterrowd advised that on the morning of October 21, 1954, he personally carried the memorandum to Mr. Scatterday's office where it was stamped into Mr. Boardman's office at 8:58 a.m. October 21, 1954.

Mr. Boardman has advised that this piece of mail was originally received in his office 5:00 p.m. October 20, 1954, where it was read by Mr. Scatterday and returned to Mr. Winterrowd. It was then redated for 4.7 October 21, 1954, and returned to Mr. Boardman's office at 8:58 a.m. October 21, 1954. Mr. Boardman states that the communication must have been in his office the entire day, October 21, 1954, inasmuch as the next time stamp reflects it was received in Mr. Tolson's office at 9:04 a.m. October 22, 1954. Mr. Boardman recalled reading the memorandum but did not recall at what time of day. He noted that there was no special tag

NEMCD:dap

NOV 12 1954
 11/2/54
 NEM/ask

3-11-54
 J. M. M.

on this communication and pointed out that therefore it was entirely possible that the letter had remained in his office during the entire day.

Mr. J. E. Edwards in Mr. Tolson's office has advised that this particular piece of mail was time stamped in his office at 9:04 a.m. Friday, October 22, 1954. He states that normally on Friday mornings the mail is quite heavy and as the memorandum in question did not contain a special tag, it was undoubtedly deferred pending review of more expeditious matters. Mr. Edwards states upon reviewing this communication he decided it was a matter which should be referred to Mr. Tolson for his consideration. Therefore, the material was routed to Mr. Tolson's front office where it was stamped in at 1:01 p.m. October 22, 1954, where it was held for Mr. Tolson's consideration and subsequently routed to the Director's office where it was received at 7:43 p.m. October 22, 1954.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE DIVISION:

This piece of correspondence appears to have been delayed due principally to the heavy volume of mail being handled at the same time and because there was nothing to flag it as more expedite than the other mail. It is recommended that a letter be directed to Mr. Boardman pointing out the necessity of more expeditious handling in his office of mail of such importance.

yes,
H.

Jagme
JPM
10/29
Jagme
JPM 10/29
OK
10/29
L.

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : THE DIRECTOR

DATE:

November 22, 1954

FROM : L. V. Boardman *zfb*

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR ANNUAL LEAVE

Tolson _____
 Boardman _____
 Nichols _____
 Belmont _____
 Harbo _____
 Mohr _____
 Parsons _____
 Rosen _____
 Tamm _____
 Sizoo _____
 Winterrowd _____
 Tele. Room _____
 Holloman _____
 Gandy _____

I would like to request two days of annual leave, commencing at 9 a.m., 11/29 and ending at 5:30 p.m., 11/30/54.

I contemplate moving into my new residence at 2760 North Piedmont Street, Arlington, Virginia, on either the 29th or 30th. I may not need both days of leave, depending upon how successful I am in getting the movers to comply with their promises.

Appropriate leave slip is attached.

Attachment

✓
Miss Jess of Mr Boardman's office adv. of approval. rw/pjt

96
 17 NOV 29 1954

RECORDED-101

67-38609-500

Nov 22 1954

3

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

b6

TO : THE DIRECTOR

DATE:

December 8, 1954

FROM : L. V. Boardman *LVB*SUBJECT: with aliases,
ESPIONAGE - R

Tolson	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Boardman	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Nichols	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Belmont	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Harbo	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mohr	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Parsons	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Rosen	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Tamm	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Sizoo	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Winterrowd	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Tele. Room	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Holloman	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Gandy	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Pursuant to your request, I am indicating the reason why I telephonically contacted the SACs at San Francisco, Los Angeles, Washington Field, Newark (in absence of SAC, I talked with ASAC Commons), and New York (in absence of SAC, I talked with ASAC Moore who handles the Security Index program), and requested them to furnish an informal memorandum indicating whether their field experience reflected that the criteria used in placing persons on the Security Index need any moderation or are currently sound.

As you will recall, I recommended, in memorandum of 12/3/54, captioned as above, that I felt it desirable for the Inspection Division to re-evaluate the criteria to see if the thinking of the Domestic Intelligence Division is sound. Mr. Tolson noted, "I think Mr. Boardman should personally do this." The Director observed, "Yes, and promptly, and we should try to find out from Tompkins what criteria his division is following."

The policy concerning the criteria has, of course, been established at the seat of government and furnished to the field in the Manual of Instructions, Section 87C, Page 29A. The field, in its investigations, applies these criteria in reaching a determination as to whether the subject of a particular investigation should or should not be recommended for inclusion in the Security Index. The majority of the subjects currently on the Security Index are residing in the areas covered by the offices with which I communicated, as indicated above.

RECORDED-144

67-38609-501

I personally am in the process of reviewing the criteria and the reasons for the establishment of the criteria. I also am reviewing investigative files pertaining to a given number of individuals placed on the Security Index, for the purpose of determining whether it is believed these persons, in view of the 1954 criteria, should have been placed on the Security Index. It was my feeling that, in considering this matter, it would be beneficial to solicit the views of a limited number of the field SACs.

After discussing this matter with Mr. Tolson, it is apparent that before soliciting such views, I should have cleared this matter with you. Had I given proper reflection before contacting these SACs, it should have been apparent that such a matter should be

LVB:CSH

(3)

DEC 15 1954

first cleared. The thought did not occur to me or I most certainly would have cleared the matter with you, and I can only conclude that I exercised very faulty judgment in the course of action which I pursued. I assure you that henceforth I shall present such matters for your consideration.

✓
Before DSCA are contacted
for views when I have
assigned a project to an
official here, I should
be consulted.

✓

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : THE DIRECTOR

DATE: December 7, 1954

FROM : L. V. BOARDMAN *2B*SUBJECT: COMMUNIST PARTY, USA
UNDERGROUND OPERATIONS

Tolson ☒
Boardman ☒
Nichols ☒
Belmont ☒
Harbo ☒
Mohr ☒
Parsons ☒
Rosen ☒
Tamm ☒
 Sizoo ☒
Winterrowd ☒
Tele. Room ☒
Holloman ☒
Gandy ☒

SECRET

A. H. Belmont

Relative to memorandum dated November 17, 1954, attached, concerning the inadequate search of the automobile by the Denver Office in connection with the Smith Act apprehensions in August, 1954, it is recommended that Boardman and Belmont be censured for their failure to properly analyze this situation and issue the appropriate instructions. By memorandum dated December 7, 1954, the Executives' Conference recommended a letter be sent to the Denver Office and an appropriate letter was attached.

Attachment

AHB:tlc

(6)

55 DEC 16 1954

Mr. Boardman
Mr. Mohr
Mr. Belmont
Mr. Keay

Mr. Reddy

67-38609-502

Searched

Numbered

12 DEC 13 1954

GENERAL INVESTIGATIVE DIVISION

copy 12-16-54
1 copy 12-16-54
1 copy 12-16-54

December 16, 1954

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

Mr. Leland V. Boardman
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D. C.

DECLASSIFIED BY 1565 SDP/KSL
ON 6-20-91

Dear Mr. Boardman:

The manner in which personnel assigned to your office performed their duties in connection with the handling of the memorandum pertaining to Walter Winchell's radio-television broadcast on December 12, 1954, has given me great concern. As you are aware, memoranda dealing with Walter Winchell's broadcasts are to be given special handling, and because the supervisor assisting you failed to see that existing instructions to this effect were carried out, the memorandum in question was unduly delayed in reaching my office.

It is your responsibility to insure that personnel serving under your direct supervision properly and efficiently carry out their duties. I must insist that you see to it in the future that existing instructions are more carefully adhered to by such personnel so that there will be no repetition of such a situation.

Very truly yours,

J. Edgar Hoover

John Edgar Hoover
Director

Tolson _____
Boardman _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Harbo _____
Mohr _____
Parsons _____
Rosen _____
Tamm _____
 Sizoo _____
Winterrowd _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
Gandy _____

DCM:ub
(3)

FOR APPROVAL
SENT DIRECTOR
12-18-54

55 DEC 29 1954

RECORDED-100

RECEIVED READING ROOM
F B I
DEC 16 12 59 PM '54

67-178604-54
Searched _____
Indexed _____
5 DEC 28 1954
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

the **M** *innesota Alumni Association*

PUBLISHERS OF MINNESOTA • • • VOICE OF THE ALUM

205 COFFMAN MEMORIAL UNION
UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA • MINNEAPOLIS 14

(47)

December 31, 1954


Office of the Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D. C.

Gentlemen:

We would very much like to have biographical material
and a picture of Mr. Leland V. Boardman who is a
graduate of our University.

He is one of our distinguished graduates and we are
contemplating an article in the Alumni Magazine.

Most cordially,



ED HAISLET
EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

EH/baw

RECORDED-144

67-38609-504

Searched _____
Numbered _____ 9

13 JAN 10 1955 12 JAN 11 1955
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

nm
ack
1-6-55
mcc

nm
(4)



**THE MINNESOTA ALUMNI ASSOCIATION
BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS, 1954-55**

Officers

President—FRANCIS L. (PUO) LUND, '35
First Vice President—ELMER E. ENGELBERT, Sr., '20 B.S. in B.
Second Vice President—CATHERINE COFFMAN KNUDTSON, '23 B.S. (Ed.)
Secretary—MAURICE E. SALISBURY, '08 B.A.
Treasurer—WENDELL T. BURNS, '16 B.A.
Executive Secretary—EDWIN L. HANLEY, '31 B.S. (Ed.)

Term Expires 1955

THEODORE CHRISTIANSON, JR., '37 LL.B., St. Paul, Associate Justice Supreme Court, State of Minnesota
 MARY WHITCOMB EBERHARDT (Mrs. HAROLD S.), '31, B.A., Minneapolis
 ELMER E. ENGELBERT, Sr., '20 B.S. in B., St. Paul, President and Manager, St. Paul Book & Stationery Co.
 CATHERINE COFFMAN KNUDTSON (Mrs. EARL A.), '23 B.S. (Ed.), Minneapolis
 RICHARD L. KOZELKA, '31 Ph.D., Minneapolis, Dean, School of Business Administration, University of Minnesota
 FRANCIS L. (PUO) LUND, '35, General Agent of Minneapolis Agency of the New England Mutual Life Insurance Company
 JOHN S. PILLSBURY, JR., '40 LL.B., Wayzata, Partner in Minneapolis law firm of Faegre and Benson

Term Expires 1956

CLARA MACKENZIE BIERMAN (Mrs. B. W.), '17 B.A., St. Paul
 VICTOR CHRISTGAU, '24 B.S. (Ag.), Washington, D.C., Director, Bureau of Old Age and Survivors Insurance
 HIBBERT M. HILL, '23 B.S. (I.T.), Minneapolis, Chief Engineer, Northern States Power Company
 THEODORE R. KNUDSON, '28, Minneapolis, Judge of Hennepin County District Court
 MAURICE E. SALISBURY, '08 B.A., Minneapolis, Chairman of the Board and Treasurer, The Salisbury Company
 LEIF STRAND, '29 D.D.S., St. Paul, Dentist
 LESLIE E. WESTIN, St. Paul, '40 B.S. (Ed.), Special Agent, Northwestern Mutual Life Insurance Company

Term Expires 1957

GLADYS SINCLAIR BROOKS (Mrs. WRIGHT), '36 B.A., Minneapolis
 WENDELL T. BURNS, '16 B.A., Excelsior, Senior Vice President, Northwestern National Bank of Minneapolis
 CHARLOTTE WINGET CHOPE (Mrs. HORACE M.), '27 B.A., Wayzata, Town Clerk of Minnetonka Township
 THEODORE FRITSCHKE, '31 M.D., New Ulm, Physician and Surgeon
 GLENN E. SEIDEL, '36 B.M.E., Minneapolis, Vice-President in Charge of Engineering, Minneapolis-Honeywell Regulator Company.
 CONSTANCE CRYSLER STEMSRUD (Mrs. HAROLD L.), '35 B.S. (Ed.), Alexandria
 HAROLD VAN EVERY, '40 B.S. (Ed.), Minneapolis, District Agent, Bankers Life Company

JAN 6 11 06 AM '55

RECEIVED-DIRECTOR
FBI
U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE

RECEIVED CH. CLK. OFF.
FBI
U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE
JAN 3 4 34 PM '55

RECEIVED-BOARDMAN
FBI
JAN 12 1 11 PM '55

RECEIVED-MOHR
FBI
JAN 3 5 11 PM '55

RECEIVED CH. CLK. OFF.
FBI
U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE
JAN 12 11 03 AM '55
MR. JONES
JAN 12 10 35 AM '55

RECEIVED
JAN 5 11 37 PM '55
MR. JONES

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : THE DIRECTOR

DATE:

January 3, 1955

FROM : L. V. Boardman *LVB*

SUBJECT: PHYSICAL EXAMINATION

Tolson	
Boardman	
Nichols	
Belmont	
Harbo	
Mohr	
Parsons	
Rosen	
Tamm	
Sizoo	
Winterrowd	
Tele. Room	
Holloman	
Gandy	

I am scheduled for physical examination at Bethesda on Friday, January 7, 1955. If you approve I will plan to have Belmont on my desk during the time I am absent.

A. H. ~~X~~ Belmont

LVB:CSH

OK H

*This was
conceded &
rises from
1/11/55
JRM
1/4*

*Typed copy
1/10/55 (144)*

*Not scheduled for
1-11-55
55 JAN 7 1955*

1 copy rec'd 1-7-55 (55)

*7-11-55
62*

NOT RECORDED

*3 file
JRM*

Office Memorandum

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : MR. BOARDMAN

DATE: 12-14-54

FROM : MR. SCATTERDAY

SUBJECT:

WALTER WINCHELL
SIMULCAST
(RADIO-TELEVISION)
DECEMBER 12, 1954

Tolson _____
Boardman _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Harbo _____
Mohr _____
Parsons _____
Rosen _____
Tamm _____
 Sizoo _____
Winterrowd _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
Gandy _____

Mr. Tolson has requested an explanation as to the reason for his failure to receive the memorandum covering the above broadcast.

I have ascertained that the memorandum covering the broadcast was stamped into Mr. Belmont's office at 10:55 A.M. on December 13, 1954, and was stamped into your office at 11:15 A.M. on December 13. It did not have a special tag on it.

I have telephonically checked with Inspector Hennrich, who approved the memorandum for Mr. Belmont, and he states that he knows these memoranda are "specials"; that it was hand carried to his office and he is under the impression that it had a special tag on it at that time and if it was received in this office without a special tag, it must have become detached in the mail while being routed from Mr. Belmont's office to your office.

I am aware of the instructions that memoranda covering Winchell's broadcasts are to be handled as "specials." This memorandum was handled by [redacted] who has recently begun assisting me, and due to the fact that I had failed to advise him of the instructions that these memoranda are to be handled as "specials," he handled it as a routine memorandum and believes he forwarded it to your office between 11:45 A.M. and 12 noon.

b6

RECOMMENDATION:

Inasmuch as I was derelict in my failure to properly instruct [redacted] as to how these memoranda should be handled and it is my fault that it was not specifically called to your attention as it should have been, I recommend that I receive a letter of censure. I exceedingly regret that I did not handle this matter properly and I will make every effort to avoid such errors in the future.

GHS:WMJ
(4)

55 JAN 3 1955

7-318195-12

O
Leland V. Boardman

memo on
Mexico City

RECORDED-144

55
58 JAN 13 1955

67-38609-505	
Searched	
Numbered	138
12 JAN 12 1955	
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION	

3-11-55
TERMIN

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Room 5744 Dec. 13, 1954

TO: ☒ Director ☒ Mr. Nichols
☐ Mr. Boardman
☐ Mr. Belmont
☐ Mr. Harbo
☐ Mr. Mohr
☐ Mr. Rosen
☐ Mr. Tamm
☐ Mr. Parsons
☐ Mr. Sizoo
☐ Mr. Holloman
☐ Miss Gandy
☐ Personnel Files Section
☐ Records Section
☐ Mrs.
☐ Mrs.

☒ Mr. Tolson
☒ Mr. Boardman
☒ Mr. Nichols
☒ Mr. Belmont
☒ Mr. Harbo
☒ Mr. Mohr
☒ Mr. Parsons
☒ Mr. Rosen
☒ Mr. Tamm
☒ Mr. Sizoo
☒ Mr. Winterrowd
☒ Tele. Room
☒ Mr. Holloman
☒ Miss Gandy

b6

See Me ⁵ For appropriate action

Send File Note and Return

Mr. Boardman seems entirely
satisfied with the delay in the
handling of the attached memo-
randum but I don't, and I think
this work should be taken out of
his supervision.

Clyde Tolson

January 6, 1955

Mr. Edwin L. Haislet
Executive Secretary
The Minnesota Alumni Association
205 Coffman Memorial Union
University of Minnesota
Minneapolis 14, Minnesota

Dear Mr. Haislet:

Your letter dated December 31, 1954, has been received.

In accordance with your request, it is a pleasure to enclose a biographical sketch and a photograph of Mr. Leland V. Boardman. You may feel free to use them in the manner you have indicated.

Mr. Boardman is deeply grateful for the interest which prompted you to write in this regard.

COMM - FBI
JAN 6 1955
MAILED 30

Sincerely yours,
J. Edgar Hoover

Enclosures (2)
Photograph of Mr. Boardman
Biographical sketch of Mr. Boardman

JAN 6 10 06 AM '55
RECEIVED READING ROOM
FBI
U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE

Tolson _____
Boardman _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Harbo _____
Mohr _____
Parsons _____
Rosen _____
Tamm _____
 Sizoo _____
Winterrowd _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
Gandy _____

MLL:bs
(3)

53 JAN 13 1955

December 16, 1954

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

Mr. Leland V. Boardman
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D. C.

DECLASSIFIED BY 1565 SDF/KSL
ON 6-20-91

Dear Mr. Boardman:

I have given careful consideration to the circumstances surrounding the handling of a letter dated July 12, 1954, from the Legal Attache, Mexico City, in which he requested that another Special Agent be assigned to his office. It is apparent that this matter was handled in a most dilatory fashion inasmuch as it took until September 10, 1954, for the Domestic Intelligence Division to submit the name of a Special Agent for assignment in accordance with this request. The lengthy delay which occurred in this matter was partly due to the failure of personnel of the Domestic Intelligence Division to recognize the need for certain administrative functions and to carry out these duties at the time the original request was received instead of putting them off until after approval of the Department of State for the assignment of an additional Special Agent was received.

The fact that such a delay occurred in the handling of this matter is naturally a source of concern to me. Moreover, I am distressed over your apparent complacency in accepting such a delay as a normal procedure. Accordingly, I shall expect you to see to it that in the future personnel under your supervision perform their duties more efficiently than they did in this instance.

Very truly yours,

67-38609-506

Searched

Indexed

Serialized

Filed

12 JAN 12 1955

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

RECEIVED ROOM

12 JAN 12 1955

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

RECEIVED ROOM

12 JAN 12 1955

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

RECEIVED ROOM

12 JAN 12 1955

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

RECEIVED ROOM

12 JAN 12 1955

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

COMM - FBI

DEC 16 1954

MAILED 31

DEC 16 1954

MAILED 31

DEC 16 1954

MAILED 31

DEC 16 1954

MAILED 31

DEC 16 1954

MAILED 31

DEC 16 1954

MAILED 31

DEC 16 1954

MAILED 31

DEC 16 1954

MAILED 31

Tolson _____
Boardman _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Harbo _____
Mohr _____
Parsons _____
Rosen _____
Tamm _____
Sizoo _____
Winterrowd _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
Gandy _____

FOR APPROVAL
DIRECTOR

58 JAN 1955

58 JAN 1955

58 JAN 1955

58 JAN 1955

58 JAN 1955

58 JAN 1955

58 JAN 1955

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : DIRECTOR

DATE: 1/11/55

FROM : *Clyde Tolson*

SUBJECT :

~~Tolson~~
~~Boardman~~
~~Nichols~~
~~Belmont~~
~~Harbo~~
~~Dehr~~
~~Parsons~~
~~Rosen~~
~~Tamm~~
~~Sizoo~~
~~winterrowd~~
~~Tele. Room~~
~~Holloman~~
~~Gandy~~

You may recall that there is attached to my office in addition to Inspector Sizoo, Inspector J. E. Edwards, with a secretary, whose sole duty it is to review mail emanating from the Investigative Divisions of the Bureau after it has cleared Mr. Boardman's Office. Mr. Edwards reviews this mail for me. He places my initials on the mail unless it involves matters of policy which should come to my personal attention, in which event he refers such correspondence to me.

I feel that this is a superstructure which should be eliminated and that Mr. Boardman should be held completely accountable for the condition of the mail emanating from the Investigative Divisions.

There are attached a number of memoranda reflecting errors detected by Mr. Edwards and the girl in his office during the past several days in mail which had cleared Mr. Boardman's Office. Obviously, none of these errors should have occurred and the mail should have been properly prepared before leaving Mr. Boardman's Office.

In view of the foregoing, I recommend that Inspector J. E. ~~Edwards~~ be reassigned to the Training and Inspection Division as an Inspector and if this is approved, a proper recommendation will be made with respect to the assignment of the secretary in his office.

I feel that Mr. Boardman should be held accountable for the condition of all investigative mail. He should check to me those matters of policy which I should see before they are submitted to you.

RECORDED-144

Attachments

67-38609-507

5. 48 2000

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

CT:LCB

It is a deplorable
condition. We just haven't
the money nor manpower
to work in double checking
boarders. We will have to

Division
ation
y in

for
o
re

down to
the line over the same.

H.

Memo
H.E. Edwards
to Mr. Mohr
1-7-55

CT:LCR
Aldridge
Cheney
Dwight
Goff
Harbo
Kelly
Ladd
Nichols
Rosen
Tamm
Winterrowd
Tele. Rm.
Ingram
Gandy

JAN 20 1955

the
to
ra

4-6-92
Classified by 9803 RDD/KSL
Declassify on: OADR
Appel 91-2069

TO : MR. L. V. BOARDMAN
FROM : MR. AH. BELMONT
SUBJECT: PERSONNEL NEEDS FOR MEXICO CITY OFFICE

~~SECRET~~
L.V. Boardman

DATE: December 13, 1954

Reference is made to the letter from the Legal Attache, Mexico, dated December 3, 1954 (received 12-10-54) relative to the need in his office for another Agent. That letter had the Director's notation "1. Give prompt attention. 2. Here again we have delayed too long in resolving a pressing operational matter. It is now Dec. 10 nearly 5 mos after original request was made. Why did it take until July 22 to answer letter of July 12? Also why so long now. H."

As you are aware, due to the highly specialized nature of the assignments for Agents abroad, recommendations concerning the need for and the selection of the Agents are made by the Domestic Intelligence Division in connection with the close supervision of our foreign offices which is maintained by the Foreign Liaison Unit. Those recommendations are, of course, cleared through the Administrative Division. With regard to the Director's inquiry concerning the lapse of time between Legat Attache Speakes' letter of 7-12-54 for an additional Agent and his present letter, it is respectfully pointed out that the assignment of Special Agent Joseph T. Genco was made on the basis of that request of July 12, 1954. The present letter is a request for another Agent.

With regard to the Director's inquiry concerning the apparent delay from 7-12-54 to 7-22-54 in handling the 7-12-54 letter from Mexico, it is respectfully pointed out that letter was not received until Friday, July 16, 1954. After it was placed on record on that date, in order to justify the assignment of an additional Agent to the Mexico City Office, it was necessary to analyze the work load and situation in the office, which necessitated the review of numerous files of various types. That was done and a reply was made to the incoming communication on July 22, 1954, which was within five work days. The action taken at that time recommended that an additional Agent be approved for Mexico and the Legal Attache was instructed to obtain clearance for that assignment from the Ambassador so that the matter could be taken up with the State Department. By airgram dated 7-29-54,

CLASSIFIED DECISIONS FINALIZED
BY DEPARTMENT REVIEW COMMITTEE (DRC)
DATE: 4-14-93
9803 RDD/KSL
Appel 91-2069 5/10/93

JJM:fjb

(5)

- 1 Mr. Boardman
- 1 Administrative Division
- 1 Mr. Mannion
- 1 Mr. Belmont
- 1 Section Tickler

1565 SDP/KSL
Declassify on: OADR
6-20-91

55 JAN 14 1955

Memo to Mr. Boardman RE: PERSONNEL NEEDS FOR MEXICO CITY OFFICE
from Mr. Belmont

Speakes advised the Ambassador had approved the assignment and the matter was then taken up with the Department of State. The Department of State considered the matter and communicated with the Ambassador in Mexico City. By memorandum of August 16, 1954, it was advised that the Department of State had approved the assignment. *This should have been done when request was made. Most certainly, H.*

(It was then necessary to review innumerable personnel files in an effort to locate an Agent having the requisite espionage training as well as a facility in the Spanish language. Both were considered most necessary in order that the proposed expansion of our espionage coverage in Mexico could be handled adequately. My memorandum of September 10, 1954, which was approved by the Director, recommended Special Agent Joseph T. Genco of New York for the assignment. *This took entirely too long.*

(U) By letter of September 12, 1954 (received 9-29-54) Speakes advised that his original letter of July 12, 1954, was not written with the expanded [Soviet coverage] in mind and, therefore, he felt that two Agents should be selected for Mexico. By airgram reply of September 30, 1954, it was pointed out to Speakes that Genco had been selected on the basis of his request for an additional Agent and that since Genco would be assigned the [Soviet Diplomatic Activities] case and 19 other related cases previously listed by Speakes relative to the [Soviet Activities] case as well as possibly other cases having espionage aspects, it would appear that his assignment would thereby lighten the work of the other Agents. Speakes was, therefore, instructed to re-evaluate the work load in his office and if he still felt that another Agent was needed to resubmit his request with justification being specific as to the needs of his office. Speakes' current letter of 12-3-54 is the first reply we have had to that airgram and sets forth the results of his study concerning the further needs of his office. *after many weeks of delay.*

From the above, it will be noted that in response to the 7-12-54 request from the Legal Attache, Mexico a Special Agent was assigned to that office. The Legal Attache's current request is based upon instructions to him to re-evaluate the work load situation in his office to determine if he can justifiably support an additional Agent. In connection with the Director's instructions that this latter request be given prompt attention, it is pointed out that Mr. Roach's memorandum to me of 12-10-54

~~SECRET~~

Just received!

00 00

Memo to Mr. Boardman RE: PERSONNEL NEEDS FOR MEXICO CITY OFFICE
from Mr. Belmont

~~SECRET~~

recommended that Speakes' request be approved and that an airgram be sent to Mexico instructing the Legal Attache to discuss this matter with the Ambassador so that we can thereafter clear the matter with the Department of State.

ACTION:

None. For your information.

Boardman - Has the Agent been selected?

yes - see memo
12-14-54

I recommend all assignments of personnel to foreign posts be taken from Dom. Intel. Div. and assigned to Adm. Div.

I recommend letters of censure to Boardman and Belmont for failure to properly supervise this matter. 12/18

I Concur. I am shocked at the lengthy delays but astounded at apparent complacency of Boardman & Belmont that such delays are normal. While I am approving transfer of project to Mohr, I do so with qualms as his Division is about as slow moving & poky as it appears Boardman & Belmont have been. H.

- 3 -

~~SECRET~~

REPORT OF MEDICAL EXAMINATION

INITIAL 2B

1. LAST NAME—FIRST NAME—MIDDLE NAME BOARDMAN, LELAND V.			2. GRADE AND COMPONENT OR POSITION GS 18 SA		3. IDENTIFICATION NO.
4. HOME ADDRESS (Number, street or RFD, city or town, zone and State)			5. PURPOSE OF EXAMINATION Annual		6. DATE OF EXAMINATION 1-11-55
7. SEX Male	8. RACE White	9. TOTAL YRS. GOVT. SERVICE MILITARY CIVILIAN 20	10. DEPARTMENT, AGENCY, OR SERVICE FBI		11. ORGANIZATION UNIT
12. DATE OF BIRTH 4-21-09		13. PLACE OF BIRTH Arkansas		14. NAME, RELATIONSHIP, AND ADDRESS OF NEXT OF KIN	
15. EXAMINING FACILITY, OR EXAMINER, AND ADDRESS Bethesda			16. OTHER INFORMATION		

17. RATING OR SPECIALTY	TIME IN THIS CAPACITY: TOTAL	LAST SIX MONTHS
-------------------------	------------------------------	-----------------

CLINICAL EVALUATION		NOTES.—Describe every abnormality in detail. (Enter pertinent item number before each comment; continue in item 73 and use additional sheets if necessary.)
NORMAL	ABNORMAL (Check each item in appropriate column; enter "N. E." if not evaluated)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	18. HEAD, FACE, NECK, AND SCALP
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	19. NOSE
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	20. SINUSES
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	21. MOUTH AND THROAT
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	22. EARS—GENERAL (Int. & ext. canals) (Auditory acuity under items 70 and 71)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	23. DRUMS (Perforation)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	24. EYES—GENERAL (Visual acuity and refraction under items 69, 80, and 81)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	25. OPHTHALMOSCOPIC
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	26. PUPILS (Equality and reaction)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	27. OCULAR MOTILITY (Associated parallel movements, nystagmus)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	28. LUNGS AND CHEST (Include breasts)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	29. HEART (Thrust, size, rhythm, sounds)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	30. VASCULAR SYSTEM (Varicosities, etc.)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	31. ABDOMEN AND VISCERA (Include hernia)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	32. ANUS AND RECTUM (Hemorrhoids, fistulae) (Prostate if indicated)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	33. ENDOCRINE SYSTEM
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	34. G-U SYSTEM
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	35. UPPER EXTREMITIES (Strength, range of motion)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	36. FEET
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	37. LOWER EXTREMITIES (Except feet) (Strength, range of motion)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	38. SPINE, OTHER MUSCULOSKELETAL
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	39. IDENTIFYING BODY MARKS, SCARS, TATTOOS
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	40. SKIN, LYMPHATICS
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	41. NEUROLOGIC (Equilibrium tests under item 78)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	42. PSYCHIATRIC (Specify any personality deviation)
Females only (Check how done)		
43. PELVIC <input type="checkbox"/> VAGINAL <input type="checkbox"/> RECTAL		

Traumatic amp. of distal phalanx middle finger
Pes Planus (slight) 1°

Rt. rectus appendectomy scar.

44. DENTAL (Place appropriate symbols above or below number of upper and lower teeth, respectively)																REMARKS AND ADDITIONAL DENTAL DEFECTS AND DISEASES	
X—Restorable teeth 1.—Nonrestorable teeth X—Missing teeth XXX.—Replaced by dentures (6 X 8).—Fixed bridge, brackets to include abutments																Type III Class 2	

RIGHT																LEFT	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
32	31	30	29	28	27	26	25	24	23	22	21	20	19	18	17	16	15

LABORATORY FINDINGS																RECORDED-144	
---------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--------------	--

45. URINALYSIS: SP, GR. 1.016			46. CHEST X-RAY (Place, date, film number, result) Negative			47. SEROLOGY (Specify test used and result) Kahn, Negative	
ALBUMIN Neg.	SUGAR Neg.	MICROSCOPIC Neg.	49. BLOOD TYPE AND RH FACTOR 20			50. OTHER TESTS	
48. EKG Normal						5 JAN 24 1955 FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION	

55 JAN 25 1955

JAN 21 1955

MEASUREMENTS AND OTHER FINDINGS											
51. HEIGHT 6' 1 1/4"		52. WEIGHT 190		53. COLOR HAIR		54. COLOR EYES		55. BUILD: SLENDER <input type="checkbox"/> MEDIUM <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> HEAVY <input type="checkbox"/> OBESE <input type="checkbox"/>		56. TEMP. Normal	
57. BLOOD PRESSURE (Arm at heart level).						58. PULSE (Arm at heart level)					
SITTING SYS. 120 DIAS. 70		RECUM. BENT SYS. DIAS.		STANDING (3 min.) SYS. 120 DIAS. 70		SITTING 60		AFTER EXERCISE		2 MIN. AFTER	
59. DISTANT VISION		60. REFRACTION		61. NEAR VISION							
RIGHT 20/ 50		CORR. TO 20/		BY		CORR. TO		BY			
LEFT 20/ 40		CORR. TO 20/		BY		CORR. TO		BY			
62. HETEROPHORIA: (Specify distance) ES° EX° R. H. L. H. PRISM DIV. PRISM CONV. PC PD											
63. ACCOMMODATION		64. COLOR VISION (Test used and result)		65. DEPTH PERCEPTION (Test used and score)		UNCORRECTED					
RIGHT LEFT		Normal				CORRECTED					
66. FIELD OF VISION		67. NIGHT VISION (Test used and score)		68. RED LENS		69. INTRAOCULAR TENSION					
70. HEARING		71. AUDIOMETER		72. PSYCHOLOGICAL AND PSYCHOMOTOR (Tests used and score)							
RIGHT WV 15 /15 SV 15/15		250 500 1000 2000 3000 4000 8000									
LEFT WV 15 /15 SV 15/15		250 500 1000 2000 3000 4000 8000									
73. NOTES (Continued) AND SIGNIFICANT OR INTERVAL HISTORY											

Conjunctivitis not noted
Mild "athletes foot" - controlled with Desenex.

(Use additional sheets of plain paper if necessary)

74. SUMMARY OF DEFECTS AND DIAGNOSES (List diagnoses with item numbers)

b6

75. RECOMMENDATIONS—FURTHER SPECIALIST EXAMINATIONS INDICATED (Specify)						76. PHYSICAL PROFILE					
						P U L H E S					
77. EXAMINEE (Check) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IS QUALIFIED FOR strenuous phys. exertion & use of firearms. <input type="checkbox"/> IS NOT						PHYSICAL CATEGORY					
78. IF NOT QUALIFIED, LIST DISQUALIFYING DEFECTS BY ITEM NUMBER						A B C E					
79. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF PHYSICIAN						SIGNATURE /S/ []					
80. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF PHYSICIAN						SIGNATURE					
81. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF DENTIST OR PHYSICIAN (Indicate which)						SIGNATURE /S/ []					
82. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF REVIEWING OFFICER OR APPROVING AUTHORITY						SIGNATURE					
						NUMBER OF ATTACHED SHEETS					

ATTACHMENT TO STANDARD FORM 88
(Revised July 21, 1952)

Report of Medical Examination

FOR INFORMATION AND GUIDANCE OF MEDICAL EXAMINER:

The following portions of the attached examination report form need not be completed:

2	67
3	68
11	69
14	71 (unless other
17	examination indi-
62	cates desirable)
65	72

Item 48, the electrocardiogram, is not required unless the examinee is over 35 years of age or unless other examination indicates such is desirable.

If the examinee is an applicant, the Chest X ray and blood type and Rh factor (Items 46 and 49) are not necessary unless the facilities for affording same are readily available to the examiner.

FOR ALL EXAMINEES, WHETHER CLERICAL OR SPECIAL AGENT APPLICANTS OR EMPLOYEES:

The medical examiner should answer the following question:

Examinee no qualified for strenuous physical
(is or ~~is not~~)
exertion. (Designate which)

FOR ALL MALE EMPLOYEES OR APPLICANTS:

The medical examiner is requested to answer the following:

Does examinee have any defects restricting or prohibiting his participation in defensive tactics and dangerous assignments which might entail the practical use of firearms?

b6

no
If answer is "yes" please specify.

IT IS ESSENTIAL THAT ALL STATEMENTS IN ITEMS 59, 61, 64 AND 70 PERTAINING TO VISUAL ACUITY, COLOR VISION AND HEARING BE COMPLETED IN DETAIL.

(Signature of)

17 Jan 1955
(Date)

LOSURE

67-38609-508

February 17, 1955

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

Mr. Leland V. Boardman
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D. C.

DECLASSIFIED BY 1565 SDP/KSP

ON 6-20-91

Dear Mr. Boardman:

I have given careful consideration to the circumstances surrounding the recent failure of the Domestic Intelligence Division to efficiently handle the dissemination of certain highly confidential information relating to our national security. I have observed that an informant had furnished this information during January, 1955, and after it had been received in the Espionage Section of the Domestic Intelligence Division it was not promptly disseminated to the appropriate Government agencies with an interest in data of this nature. While I realize that there was some question regarding the reliability of the informant in this instance, it is apparent to me that prompt dissemination could have been made with a suitable qualification as to the reliability of the source.

This was one of the most disastrous instances of bungling ineptitude and complete indifference I have ever seen. I must insist that in the future you see to it that you and your subordinates effectively discharge your responsibilities in connection with dissemination of such information so that there will be no further occasion for me to communicate with you in this regard.

RECORDED - Very

67-38629-509

J. Edgar Hoover

John Edgar Hoover

Director

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Tolson _____
Boardman _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Harbo _____
Mohr _____
Parsons _____
Rosen _____
Tamm _____
 Sizoo _____
Winterrowd _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
Gandy _____

DCM'998
(3)

55 FEB 24 1955

MAILED 5
FEB 15 1955
COMM-FBI

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : THE DIRECTOR

Best Copy Available

DATE: January 4, 1955

Tolson
Boardman
Belmont
Clegg
Glavin
Harbo
Ladd
Nichols
Rosen
Tamm
Sizoo
Winterrowd
Tele. Room
Holloman

FROM : MR. L. V. BOARDMAN

JUNE

SUBJECT: CONFIDENTIAL INFORMANT AND
SIMILAR TYPES OF COVERAGE

In the attached memorandum dated December 30, 1954, the statement was made that the decrease in security informants from 1091 to 1074 may be partially attributed to a decrease in Communist activity in various parts of the country. You commented "Maybe we don't need all the money we have sought for such investigations."

This decrease in Communist activity refers to the gradual decline in open Party activity in the last few years and the shift of certain Party activities to the Communist Party underground. It is to be noted that the estimated membership of the Communist Party was dropped from 69,000 in February, 1948, to 23,103 in September, 1954. This gradual decline has been accompanied by a reduction in activity in certain Communist Party clubs that were formerly active in the open Communist Party and has caused the loss of certain informants who were formerly productive but who are no longer in a position to furnish information of value concerning Communist activities.

Even though there has been a decline in open Communist Party activities and a decrease in the over-all estimated Communist Party membership, there has been a great increase in Communist Party underground activity which has increased and made more difficult our work in covering the Communist Party underground in the United States. Persons who remain in the Communist Party today can be considered hard core, fanatical Communists. The activities of these persons are more difficult to cover due to stringent security measures placed in effect by the Communist Party as a result of the first Smith Act trial in New York in 1949, the continuing prosecution of Communist leaders in subsequent Smith Act trials and the hearings before the Subversive Activities Control Board. As noted above, moreover, there has been widespread shift of Communist activity from the open Party to the underground and due to its method of operation, this phase of Communist activity has been much more difficult to penetrate than was open Party activity. We have made progress in penetrating into the Communist underground operation principally through the development of anonymous sources. In order to develop anonymous sources, however, we have had to expend much manpower on background investigations and physical surveillances. These sources have produced a tremendous amount of information which has also increased our work in the Communist field.

ENCLOSURE
Attachment
GEN:mn
(5)

Mr. Boardman
Mr. Belmont
Mr. Boardman
Mr. [redacted]

INDEXED-83

you didn't say
open in your statement
as of Dec 30. I am no
mind reader. N. M.

We have also recognized the necessity of penetrating the Communist underground with live informants and in October and November, 1954, a series of five training sessions were held in key points throughout the country in order to train additional Agent personnel in the development of security informants. The keynote of these schools was the development of informants within the Communist Party underground, which has proven to be a most difficult task. It is expected that in the near future there will be a resultant increase in the number of security informants covering underground activities.

ACTION:

We will continue to follow closely and carefully the development of additional security informants in both the open and underground phases of the Communist Party and related subversive organizations. It is regretted that the statement contained in my memorandum of December 30, 1954, did not clearly indicate that we were referring to the open Communist Party when we spoke of a decrease in Communist activity. This statement should have been more explicit and great care will be taken in the future to insure that statements set forth in memoranda are clear and concise.

212
JMM
QK
↓
↓
SEE ADDENDUM
JMM
2

ADDENDUM: January 4, 1955

b6
The statement, "decrease in Communist activity in various parts of the country," is inaccurate as well as misleading. The author of this communication, [redacted], dated December 30, 1954, must learn to prepare memoranda which clearly reflect the actual factual situation. Further, J. A. Sullivan, F. J. Baumgardner, W. V. Cleveland, V. P. Keay, A. H. Belmont, W. W. Klemp, and myself, who initialled for approval, have a background of knowledge of Communist activities which should enable them to realize that the statement was inaccurate and by placing their initials on this document are guilty of carelessness.

I, therefore, recommend a letter of censure to Special Agent [redacted] for inaccurate reporting in the memorandum which he prepared. I also recommend letters of censure for J. A. Sullivan, F. J. Baumgardner, W. V. Cleveland, V. P. Keay, W. W. Klemp, A. H. Belmont, and myself for having approved this memorandum which contained a statement which obviously was incorrect.

Since J. E. Edwards and Frank Holloman are not charged with the intimate knowledge of the content of this communication and would not necessarily have reason to disbelieve the inaccurate statement, I do not recommend administrative action as to them.

I will again emphasize to Belmont and Rosen the necessity for accuracy and conciseness in memoranda, and every effort will be made to minimize these inaccuracies.

L. V. Boardman

*I recommend letter
of censure for SA [redacted]
only - Jas.*

I agree

1-4

*No. There is no way of
making [redacted] the
goal. As we can't get our executives
to read the finalized product we
can't expect subordinates to do so. H.*

February 23, 1955

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

Mr. Leland V. Boardman
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D. C.

DECLASSIFIED
ON 6-20-91

1565 SDP/KSR

Dear Mr. Boardman:

I have been advised of the facts with respect to procedures adopted by the Bureau to make changes in investigative reports previously submitted in which former confidential informant Harvey Matusow had furnished information and I am utterly shocked at the lack of analysis and foresight manifested by you and your subordinates in the Domestic Intelligence Division in this matter. It should have been obvious that no changes should have been made in reports already in the files of the Department of Justice and other agencies without formal communications calling attention to the corrections. The procedures being followed could only prove extremely embarrassing to the Bureau and it was your responsibility to have detected and corrected this deplorable practice.

You have advised that you were not aware of the mechanics of dissemination of amended pages of investigative reports to the Department of Justice or to other Government agencies until the question was raised during the current inquiry. There is likewise no excuse for your lack of information in this matter.

COMM - FBI

FEB 24 1955

MAILED 31

Tolson _____
Boardman _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Harbo _____
Mohr _____
Parsons _____
Rosen _____
Tamm _____
Sizoo _____
Winterrowd _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
Gandy _____

JIC:ban
(6)

CC: Movement Unit
Leave Unit

RECORDED - 133

67-38609-510

Because of the utterly inexcusable manner in which this situation has been handled under your supervision, you are being placed on probation. I shall expect you to inform yourself fully regarding all pertinent Bureau procedures in matters under your general supervision and to also

55 FEB 28 1955

See memo from Mr. Nichols to the Director dated 2-19-55 filed in 67-0-9056

Mr. Leland V. Boardman

February 23, 1955

be expected to properly evaluate problems such as that which I have referred to above and see to it that any possible embarrassment to the Bureau is avoided.

Very truly yours,

J. Edgar Hoover

John Edgar Hoover
Director

BFR:mam
(?) 55 MAR 11 1955

b6
b7D

in authorizing additional payments to [] at this time in the amount of \$50 per month for a period of six months. (This necessitated the changing of the initial memorandum that recommended that no payments be paid to []).

To justify the recommendation that additional payments should be made to [] it was necessary that considerable review and research be conducted to establish a legal basis for such payments.

In this regard, it was necessary to minutely review and consider all aspects of the following decisions on such matters:

- (1) The legal analysis relating to payments to discontinued informant as compiled by former Special Agent J. A. Carlson that was made the subject of a memorandum to Mr. Tolson dated October 25, 1949.
- (2) The memorandum of Mr. Belmont to Mr. Boardman dated July 26, 1954, captioned "Payments to Confidential Informants After Services Have Been Completed."
- (3) Bureau letter to Mr. William P. Rogers, Deputy Attorney General from the Director dated July 29, 1954, regarding payments to discontinued informants.
- (4) Mr. Nichols' memorandum to Mr. Tolson dated August 2, 1954, regarding payments to discontinued confidential informants relating to the Department's opinion in such matters. In this regard, it is to be noted that Mr. William P. Rogers, Deputy Attorney General advised that the Bureau's action in continuing the payments for a reasonable period of time after the active services of confidential informants have been discontinued was not only proper but was thoroughly justified and had the Department's approval.

In view of the numerous complications encountered in this matter, it was necessary that several conflicting opinions and ideas relating to the solution of this matter be resolved by Mr. Boardman's Office and Mr. Belmont's Office.

Before a final conclusion could be reached in this matter, it was necessary that this memorandum be returned to the Supervisor six times. It is to be noted that the memorandum was returned to the

Supervisor not for the correction of errors of substance or form, but for a change of the recommendation or for the addition of information regarding the Bureau's policy and previous action taken in such matters.

Regardless of the above facts, too much time was consumed in the handling of this matter and every effort will be made in the future to bring about a more prompt and efficient handling of such matters.

ADDENDUM BY BELMONT: (AHB:jmr) 2-18-55 The delay in this matter was not the fault of the Supervisor, B. F. Rose, as he took prompt action while the matter was in his hands. The delay was occasioned by conflicting opinions as to how we should approach this, which resulted in the memorandum being rewritten several times based on instructions from Mr. Belmont's office and from Mr. Boardman's office. Certainly the matter could have been handled more rapidly and it was Belmont's responsibility to see that it was handled more rapidly. I recommend that I receive a letter of censure concerning this.

I should also be censured

Yes agree
2-18-55
Yes.
H.

304 me
JPM
2/18

This matter could have been resolved and acted upon within a few minutes time

2. Certainly agree 2-18
It is a sad commentary on the slow motion nature all too frequently manifested in Belmont's Dept. H.

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : L. V. Boardman

b6
b7D

DATE: February 11, 1955

FROM : A. H. Belmont

SUBJECT:
ESPIONAGE - RALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED #217,484
DATE 8/23/85 BY SP7MAC/vp

Tolson	_____
Boardman	_____
Nichols	_____
Belmont	_____
Harbo	_____
Moynihan	_____
Parsons	_____
Rosen	_____
Tamm	_____
Sizoo	_____
Winterrowd	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Holloman	_____
Gandy	_____

 informant, returned to the United States December 31, 1954. He was interrogated during the period January 2 to January 8, 1955, inclusive, concerning his trip to Europe. During that time he furnished detailed information about his trip including data relating to developments within Russia and the Russian attitude toward international matters. Also during this time he furnished an erroneous report concerning a contact with in was in the United States on that date. He was intensively interrogated concerning this erroneous report but maintained that he did meet on that date. In view of this, the Field was instructed to reinterrogate in detail concerning all other information he furnished relating to his trip in order that we could better evaluate him. Information relating to Russia and international matters was not disseminated because it was felt imperative that we take every possible step to determine veracity and in order that we would not later have to retract material in the event we proved unreliable.

 was reinterrogated in New York during the period January 10 to January 24, 1955. The typed results of the interrogation were forwarded to Los Angeles for verification and furnished to the Bureau on February 3, 1955. This case was then thoroughly evaluated and the material furnished by reviewed. It was noted that he had furnished essentially the same information in the reinterrogation that he furnished in the initial interrogation and no contradictions or discrepancies were noted. He did elaborate briefly on some of the information previously given. Inasmuch as material furnished by in the past has been cross-checked on numerous instances and this report about meeting was the only erroneous information known to have been given by him, it was decided that we should continue to use him as a double agent but cross-check him thoroughly at every possible point. This action was recommended in my memorandum to you dated February 9, 1955.

100-352385

cc - 1 - Mr. Boardman
Mr. Belmont
Mr. TurnerETT:blb
(4)

55 MAR 11 1955

Antitotal copy in 100-352385-

Turner, Brannigan, Alexander
 Belmont + Boardman
 3/17/55
 Dec 1/82

CLASSIFIED

As indicated above, it was not felt desirable to disseminate this data previously inasmuch as we had caught [] in a lie; had questioned him so severely that he became ill and collapsed and required a rest. Since the whole case hinged on whether or not [] was intentionally fabricating and since it was believed possible that he could be fabricating the information concerning Russia, the whole case was reviewed after he was reinterrogated and although the lie had not been completely cleared up, we had not found any other contradictions or discrepancies and decided to continue using him. It is most unfortunate that the information relating to Russia was not disseminated and it is now realized that it could have been and should have been disseminated in spite of the erroneous report and should have been qualified at the time of dissemination by indicating that the reliability of the informant was unknown. It was thought that by not disseminating, the Bureau's interests were being protected. It is realized now that this was the wrong action and that we lost an opportunity to clearly point out the type of information being provided in the [].

Included among the information furnished by [] were statements made to him in December, 1954, to the effect that in the very near future there would be a shakeup among the top 5 individuals in the Soviet Union (Malenkov; Khrushchev, Head of the Party; Molotov, Head of the State Department, and the Heads of the Military and the MVD, presumably Marshal Bulganin and Sergei Kruglov). It was also indicated to [] that Khrushchev had obtained the backing of the Military and might eventually emerge as the strong man in Soviet Russia and if this took place, Malenkov would be replaced. [] was also told that the Soviets were not yet ready for war with the U. S. and they were having trouble controlling the Chinese inasmuch as the Chinese wanted to make trouble in the Far East. [] was told that year by year the U. S. noticeably comes under the influence of the Soviet Union and that President Eisenhower and Secretary of State Dulles were "within the beam of influence"; however, it was men like J. Edgar Hoover and Senator Knowland that could not be influenced. He was also told that should Marshal Zhukov become powerful, it would indicate that the Soviets would be more disposed towards the West.

b6
b7D

[] was also told that Alexander Korotkov (MVD) had been liquidated in November; that the military was directing the activities of civilian intelligence within the USSR; that

there would be other liquidations both larger and smaller than Korotkov; that security agents throughout the world would be screened which would affect at least 12,000 lives. He was also told that the Soviets have a better Navy, Air Fleet and Army than the U. S. and in a short time would surpass the U. S. in all kinds of atomic, hydrogen and other "super destructive" instruments. Also, his Soviet superior stated "our stations in the air will within a few years no longer be projects."

Since recent developments have outdated some of this material, dissemination of the balance would not appear to be desirable inasmuch as it is not of such nature that action could be taken on it by other agencies, and, also it was secured during November and December by the informant. The material which does not relate to incidents which have already taken place is of little intelligence value standing alone. For example:

1. The Soviets are having a difficulty with the Chinese and would go to war when it suits the Soviet Union (newspapers have already suggested Soviet difficulty with the Chinese and it is common conclusion the Soviets will go to war when it suits them).

2. Korotkov's liquidation and the screening of security agents throughout the world (this is a natural result of the Beria liquidation and newspapers have commented on the possibility of such screening).

3. The military is directing the MVD (there has been speculation in the newspapers that the military is becoming more powerful in the Soviet Union as indicated by Marshall Bulganin becoming Premier).

4. If Zhukov becomes more powerful, it would indicate that the Soviets would be more disposed towards the West (there has been considerable speculation as to this in the newspapers as a result of Zhukov's former relationship with President Eisenhower).

5. The Soviets have a better Army, Navy and Air Fleet than the United States and would surpass the United States in atomic, hydrogen, and other weapons (Molotov and other Soviet leaders have made public statements to this effect).

6. Soviet stations in the air will within a few years no longer be projects (the Washington "Evening Star," October 4, 1951, referred to an article written by a Soviet scientist who was a member of the Soviet Academy of Artillery Sciences. This article predicted that rocket trips to the moon would take place in the next 10 or 15 years and stated that the first step in inter-planetary flights would be the building of an artificial moon to serve as a launching platform).

RECOMMENDATION:

1. It is recommended that no dissemination of this material be made at this time.

2. It is recommended that letters of censure be sent to SA E. T. Turner, who supervises this case; SA W. A. Branigan, Chief of the Espionage Section; Inspector C. E. Henrich, and to me for failure to disseminate this data prior to the resignation of Malenkov on February 8, 1955.

I also should be censured

Boardman.

I agree

2/14

This was a disastrous
one of the most
instances of being
latitude of error
difference I have ever seen.

5.

ETT

February 18, 1955

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

0
Mr. Leland V. Boardman
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D. C.

DECLASSIFIED BY 1565 SDP/KSR
ON 6-20-91

Dear Mr. Boardman:

As a result of the recent streamlining survey conducted in the Special Inquiry Section of the Investigative Division I have instructed that a reduction be made in the number of employees assigned to that section both in the Special Agent and clerical categories since the Training and Inspection Division has found a definite and substantial reduction in the volume of work being handled there. The fact that you and your subordinates in the Investigative Division had not already taken such action is shocking, particularly in view of my specific reference at staff meetings to the necessity of transferring surplus personnel at the Seat of Government.

It is most discouraging to find that Bureau executives are so carelessly indifferent to my appeals for economy and tight supervision. I trust, however, that you will see to it that there are no further failures in the divisions under your supervision to take appropriate action whenever it appears that too many employees are assigned to a particular section or other subdivision at the Seat of Government.

Very truly yours,

J. Edgar Hoover,

John Edgar Hoover
Director

Tolson _____
Boardman _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Harbo _____
Mohr _____
Parsons _____
Rosen _____
Tamm _____
 Sizoo _____
Winterrowd _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
Gandy _____

147
FIC 998
(3)

MAILED 5

FEB 18 1955

COMM-FBI

RECEIVED HEADQUARTERS
FBI
MAR 3 1955
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

55 MAR 4 1955

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Mr. Tolson

DATE: 2/10/55

FROM : R. T. Harbo

SUBJECT: STREAMLINING SURVEY
SPECIAL INQUIRY SECTION
INVESTIGATIVE DIVISION Inspection

Tolson
Boardman
Belmont
Clegg
Glavin
Ladd
Nichols
Rosen
Tracy
Harbo
Mohr
Tele. Room
Holloman
Gandy

In accordance with the Director's instructions, a survey is being made of the entire Investigative Division. This memorandum sets out the results determined from a survey of the Special Inquiry Section. The results of the survey of the remaining sections of the Investigative Division are being handled separately.

SYNOPSIS:

During the calendar year 1954 in the Special Inquiry Section the average total pending cases was 5244 and the average number of employees was 67.8, making an average of 77.3 cases per employee. The 67.8 employees was made up of 26.2 Special Agents, 10.8 Reviewer Analysts and 30.8 clerical employees. The average voluntary overtime for the section ranged from a low of 1 hour 41 minutes to a high of 2 hours 4 minutes during 1954. On 1/21/55 cases pending were 2733 or 52.1% of the average total pending cases for the calendar year 1954. On 1/21/55 the total personnel was 64 or 94.3% of the average personnel for the calendar year 1954. The average number of cases per employee amounted to 42.7. The 64 employees were made up of 26 Special Agents, 10 Reviewer Analysts and 28 clerical employees.

In the 4 units of the Special Inquiry Section a comparison of the 1954 calendar year average case load with the 1/21/55 case load is as follows:

Total
Personnel
Assigned
1/21/55

RECORDED-146

1954 Calendar Year Case Load
Present Personnel
Percentage of 1954 Case Load

Section Chief, #1 Man, #2 Man, 2 Secretaries

Atomic Energy Unit

Departmental Applicant Unit

Special Inquiry Unit

United Nations-Statistical Unit

Mail Room

4278.7

2204

51.5%

841.2

372

44.1%

33.8

31

91.7%

89.6

126

140.6%

To bring the number of personnel in line with the 1955 total of 55 cases would necessitate a reduction of 9 personnel.

Mr. Boardman

Mr. Mohr

RECEIVED - MOHR

RECEIVED - MOHR

55 APR 1955

3-90
4-10
4-10

the Special Inquiry Section from 64 to 36 (52.1% of 67.8) or a reduction of 28 employees. However, the Atomic Energy Commission has authorized referral of 1,000 reinvestigation cases to the Bureau at the rate of 150 cases per week commencing 2/1/55. Therefore, the total pending cases should increase by approximately 150 cases per week and reach approximately 3733 cases by the end of March 1955. This 3733 cases would be 71.1% of the average total pending cases for calendar year 1954. To bring the number of personnel in line with the total of 3733 cases would necessitate reducing personnel in the Special Inquiry Section from 64 to 48 (71.1% of 67.8), a reduction of 16 employees. Based upon the number of employees (64) who were assigned to the Special Inquiry Section on 1/21/55 and which consisted of 26 Special Agents, 10 Reviewer Analysts and 28 clerical employees, a proportionate breakdown of the employees who should be released consist of 6 Special Agents, 2 Reviewer Analysts and 8 clerical employees.

Section Chief G. C. Callan, #1 Man J. R. Malley and Mr. Rosen do not agree that a reduction of personnel is necessary and their objections in substance to this recommendation are as follows together with the answers of the Training and Inspection Division:

The Investigative Division does not believe that a statistical analysis of case loads as related to personnel assigned was an adequate basis for arriving at the true personnel situation because it did not take into consideration the difference in various types of cases supervised in the section, the tightening up of the whole Bureau structure of supervision of cases of investigative nature including applicant type investigations, the fact that special projects and problems rob a section of personnel, thus throwing out of balance the relation between case loads and personnel assignments, that as a result of the different kinds of work performed in each of the various units of a section, various problems arise and a varying time element is required in the handling of different types of cases. Inspector points out: With regard to the aforementioned comments, it appears that comparable situations to those referred to also existed during the calendar year 1954 and were taken into consideration during the present survey.

The Investigative Division also stated that the survey did not take into consideration the manner in which fraud cases must be handled under the Atomic Energy program. However, as

*Carried out fractionally this amounts to 48.2% or a reduction of 15.8 employees. For convenience this reduction figure has been carried at 16 employees throughout this memorandum.

the Investigative Division themselves point out, the present procedure or method in the handling of fraud cases under the Atomic Energy program has been in effect since April 1954.

The Investigative Division stated that in 1954 a large number of cases was handled involving Atomic Energy reinvestigations and investigation of all incumbents under the Departmental program, that these accounted for an increase in the case load; however, such cases were of a nonexpedite nature and were handled on a long deadline basis. These cases were transitory in nature and additional personnel were not obtained because this was a temporary condition. The present survey has taken into consideration the 1000 similar type reinvestigation cases to be referred to the Bureau by the Atomic Energy Commission during February and March 1955. In view thereof, curtailment is being recommended only by 16 employees whereas if personnel were actually brought in line with the actual case load of 2733 cases pending on 1/21/55, curtailment by 28 employees would be necessary.

The Investigative Division noted that the general tightening up of procedures and criteria, the need for raising the caliber of work and the constant attention being directed by Congressional Committees, certain pressure groups and the general public toward background and loyalty of government employees and the security program emphasizes the need now more than ever that there must be closer supervision to prevent dissemination of misinformation. In response thereto, it appears that during 1954, as well as at the present time, there were attempts to exert pressure on the Bureau by Congressional Committees, certain pressure groups and the general public with regard to the Bureau's work and the Bureau has constantly endeavored to insure that the caliber of work performed by its personnel was maintained as high as possible by close supervision.

The Investigative Division noted that the necessity for planning for additional work with which the section is immediately confronted throws a comparison of the case loads during 1954 and the personnel assigned out of balance, also considerable additional work is facing the Special Inquiry Section momentarily. Regarding this, it is noted that the only additional increase to the case load which is definitely assured in the immediate future is the 1000 reinvestigation cases previously mentioned to be received during February and March 1955. This was taken into consideration and provided for in the survey analysis.

The Investigative Division advised that reduction in case loads during the period under consideration was primarily in the volume unit, that is the Atomic Energy Unit, whereas there was an increase in case load in the United Nations - State Department Unit where the majority of cases are comparable to full field loyalty cases. In response to this it is to be noted that there were decreases in case loads in 3 of the 4 Units as set out in tabulation form previously in this memorandum.

The Investigative Division has stated that the proper method of arriving at whether or not the section has too much personnel is to analyze each unit with regard to work presently in that unit, work which confronts the unit, nature of work and time element in which such work must be handled. They state that such an analysis unit by unit does not indicate that the section is overassigned at this time, especially taking into consideration other factors set out above. The factors mentioned were taken into consideration in the present survey and comparable factors also existed during the calendar year 1954.

CONCLUSION:

It appears that the most accurate and satisfactory method of determining personnel needs is by an examination of the case loads and taking into consideration all of the other factors which may give rise to special or peculiar problems existing in any particular section. It is noted that comparable situations existed during 1954 to those presented at the present time and should not serve as a basis for continuing the excess personnel presently assigned to the section. It appears obvious that the Special Inquiry Section is overstaffed by 16 employees, and that inadequate attention has been afforded this matter by Section Chief G. C. Callan, #1 Man J. R. Malley and Mr. Rosen in keeping personnel down to the lowest practical level. If the case load in the Special Inquiry Section does increase in the future, it may be necessary to increase the personnel but it does not seem wise to retain excess personnel on the possibility that the case load may increase.

*This is shocking
particularly in light
of an appreciation
deficiency of my
specific reference to
surplus personnel
at the Staff Meetings.*

RECOMMENDATIONS:

1. That the personnel assigned to the Special Inquiry Section of the Investigative Division be reduced from 64 to 48, a reduction of 16 employees consisting of 6 Special Agents, 2 Reviewer Analysts and 8 clerical employees. It is suggested that the Special Agent personnel be assigned to the Washington Field Office where they will be readily available in the event it may be necessary to use their services in the Special Inquiry Section in the future.

*6 agents being
transferred to WFO
ERL 2-16*

RB

*I agree
JH*

*I agree
2/12*

*I agree
2-14*

I concur.

2. That Mr. G. C. ~~Callan~~, Chief of the Special Inquiry Section, Mr. J. R. ~~Malley~~, #1 Man, and Mr. Rosen be censured by letter and placed on probation, for failure to detect and recommend necessary personnel reductions.

I recommend censure only.

RB

*I agree
JH*

L L X B JARSH

I recommend strong letters

*It is discouraging of censure to Callan,
that Bureau of Malley, Rosen and also
there are no collars
independent to my Boardman
appears for some
my tight supervision*

*I agree
2-14*

*2-12
Yes. A*

The information developed covering survey of remaining sections of the Investigative Division is being submitted separately.

Attachments: Memo from Mr. Callan to Mr. Rosen dated 2/3/55 re Streamlining Survey, Special Inquiry Section of Investigative Division
PERSONNEL BRIEFS OF G. C. CALLAN AND J. R. MALLEY

DETAILS

As of 1-21-55 survey was made by SA W. H. Buys of the Inspection Staff as to the personnel needs in the Special Inquiry Section of the Investigative Division.

During the calendar year 1954, average number of pending cases for Special Inquiry Section was 5,244 and average number of employees was 67.8, an average of 77.3 cases per employee. The 67.8 is made up of 26.2 Special Agents, 10.8 Reviewer Analysts and 30.8 clerical employees. Average voluntary overtime for the Section ranged from a low of 1 hour and 41 minutes to a high of 2 hours and 4 minutes during 1954. It would appear that average total cases pending during calendar year 1954 would be the most accurate and fair standard for determining adequate employees as compared to cases pending. The previous inspection of Investigative Division was made August 17 to September 21, 1954, and in Special Inquiry Section on August 31, 1954, there was a total of 5,205 cases and total personnel of 69.

Cases pending 1-21-55 totaled 2,733 or 52.1% of the average cases pending for the calendar year 1954. Personnel on 1-21-55 totaled 64 or 94.3% of the average personnel for calendar year 1954. As of 1-21-55 the average cases per employee amounted to 42.7. The 64 was made up of 26 Special Agents, 10 Reviewer Analysts and 28 clerical employees.

To reduce personnel to 52.1% of the average personnel for the calendar year 1954 which would bring the total personnel in line with total cases pending as of 1-21-55 would mean a reduction from 64 to 36 or a reduction of 28 employees. However, the Atomic Energy Commission has authorized the referral of 1,000 reinvestigation cases to the Bureau at the rate of 150 cases per week commencing 2-1-55. There appears to be no definite way of ascertaining the exact amount of work to be referred to the Bureau and cases are not received on an even flow.

It would appear, however, that the receipt of the reinvestigation cases will increase the total pending cases by approximately 150 per week until the pending cases total by 3-31-55 will reach approximately 3,733 cases. This figure is 71.1% of the average total pending cases for the

calendar year 1954. To bring personnel in the Special Inquiry Section in line with this percentage it would be necessary to reduce the personnel from 64 on 1-21-55 to 71.1% of 67.8 or 48 employees, making a reduction of 16 employees which should consist of 6 Special Agents, 2 Reviewer Analysts and 8 clerical employees. (This is a break down proportionate to that of 1-21-55 total of 64 employees which consisted of 26 Special Agents, 10 Reviewer Analysts and 28 clerical employees.)

To reflect the fluctuation in total pending cases, following tabulation is being set forth reflecting total cases pending as of the end of each month of calendar year 1954 and as of 1-21-55 as well as the 1954 average case load and percentage of decrease or increase from the 1954 average to the 1-21-55 case load.

<u>MONTH</u>	<u>YEAR</u>	<u>DEPARTMENT APPLICANT UNIT</u>	<u>SPECIAL INQUIRY UNIT</u>	<u>VOA, ERP, IDP, AND UN UNIT</u>	<u>ATOMIC ENERGY UNIT</u>	<u>TOTAL NUMBER CASES</u>
January	1954	498	83	65	3,375	4,021
February	1954	506	74	71	4,561	5,212
March	1954	841	36	72	5,730	6,679
April	1954	1,694	28	76	6,086	7,884
May	1954	1,455	31	64	5,641	7,191
June	1954	958	28	82	6,253	7,321
July	1954	1,021	29	97	5,291	6,438
August	1954	835	22	102	4,246	5,205
September	1954	616	25	105	3,106	3,852
October	1954	577	15	106	2,593	3,291
November	1954	648	17	113	2,370	3,148
December	1954	452	18	123	2,093	2,686
January 21	1955	372	31	126	2,204	2,733
1954 Average		841.7	33.8	89.6	4,278.7	5,244
Case Load						
Percentage of		-55.8%	-8.2%	+40.6%	-48.4%	-47.9%
Increase or Decrease						
From 1954 Average to						
1/21/55 Case Load						
Percentage Comparison		-44.1%	91.7%	+40.6%	-51.5%	-52.1%
of 1954 Average to						
1/21/55 Case Load						

For purposes of comparison of total personnel in the Special Inquiry Section with the total pending cases, following tabulation is being set forth reflecting personnel as of the end of each month during the calendar year 1954 and as of January 21, 1955:

<u>MONTH</u>	<u>YEAR</u>	<u>SPECIAL AGENTS</u>	<u>REVIEWER ANALYSTS</u>	<u>CLERICAL EMPLOYEES</u>	<u>TOTAL PERSONNEL IN SECTION</u>
January	1954	26	10	30	66
February	1954	26	10	29	65
March	1954	26	11	30	67
April	1954	27	10	32	69
May	1954	27	12	33	72
June	1954	27	12	31	70
July	1954	26	12	32	70
August	1954	26	11	32	69
September	1954	26	11	31	68
October	1954	26	11	29	66
November	1954	26	10	31	67
December	1954	26	10	29	65
January 21	1955	26	10	28	64

It appears that amount of actual work necessary to be performed in connection with applicant-type cases fluctuates proportionately with the fluctuation in total pending cases to a close degree. This is true in the Special Inquiry Section inasmuch as the procedure of work to be performed on any one case of any of the four units of the Special Inquiry Section is similar to a considerable degree to that of all other cases in the same unit. Also, the various types of cases are to be closed within specific periods of time.

It appears that the fluctuation in the total pending cases from time to time may require that appropriate additional personnel be assigned to the Special Inquiry Section to take care of increases in volume of cases received; however, it would appear that a staff should be maintained sufficient to properly deal with only the present work load plus any definite foreseeable increase in the very near future, in order that the total employees would be adequate but not excessive.

Detailed comments of the Investigative Division are attached.

Conclusion and recommendations are set forth at the end of the synopsis.

February 25, 1955

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

Mr. Leland V. Boardman
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D. C.

DECLASSIFIED 1565 SDP/KSR
ON 6-20-91

Dear Mr. Boardman:

Careful consideration has been given to the dilatory handling afforded by the Domestic Intelligence Division to a letter submitted by the San Francisco Division under date of January 11, 1955, requesting that the Bureau consider financially assisting a former informant. I have noted that this letter was received in the Internal Security Section of the Domestic Intelligence Division on January 17, 1955, and due to an inability on your part and on the part of your subordinates to promptly arrive at a decision as to how this matter should be resolved, it was not handled to completion until approximately one month later.

I must insist that in the future you see to it that matters of this nature are acted upon more promptly in order that you and your subordinates will not be chargeable with any similar instance of delay.



Very truly yours,

J. Edgar Hoover

John Edgar Hoover
Director

Tolson _____
Boardman _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Harbo _____
Mohr _____
Parsons _____
Rosen _____
Tamm _____
 Sizoo _____
Winterrowd _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
Gandy _____

DCM/njc
(3)



55 MAR 7 1955

March 10, 1955

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

Mr. Leland V. Boardman
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D. C.

DECLASSIFIED BY 1565 SDP/KSR
ON 6-20-91

Dear Mr. Boardman:

I have very carefully reviewed the results of the recent survey conducted by the Training and Inspection Division into the method of handling errors and changes in reports by personnel of the Domestic Intelligence and Investigative Divisions. This survey clearly indicated that both of these divisions have not been following existing instructions and insisting upon amended pages of reports being submitted by cover memoranda with explanations of personnel responsible and recommendations of the Special Agents in Charge as to administrative action if necessary. I cannot accept your explanation that these instructions were followed only if the substance of the case was affected and the errors were serious enough to require administrative action. I never authorized any exceptions to these instructions.

I want you to understand that I am determined to put an end to the errors occurring both in the field and at the Seat of Government and I will not countenance any unwillingness or inability on the part of personnel to carry out my orders.

Very truly yours,

COMM - FBI

MAR 10 1955

MAILED 25

J. Edgar Hoover

John Edgar Hoover

Director

38609-514

Tolson _____
Boardman _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Harbo _____
Mohr _____
Parsons _____
Rosen _____
Tamm _____
Sizoo _____
Winterrowd _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
Gandy _____

Let based on memo from R. T. Harbo to Mr. Tolson 3/3/55
HCVP:cs

55 MAR 14 1955

TELETYPE

AUG 26 1953

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

Mr. Tolson	✓
Mr. Ladd	
Mr. Nichols	
Mr. Belmont	
Mr. Clegg	
Mr. Glavin	
Mr. Harbo	
Mr. Rosen	
Mr. Tracy	
Mr. Gandy	
Mr. Mohr	
Mr. Winterrowd	
Tele. Room	
Mr. Holloman	
Miss Gandy	

WASH 2

FROM NEW YORK

26

A

3-53

PM

DIRECTOR

ROUTINE

PROCEEDING BUFFALO VIA AMERICAN AIRLINES, LEAVING NEWARK THREE FORTY PM TODAY, TO ATTEND NY STATE SHERIFFS ASSOCIATION CONVENTION, HOTEL NIAGARA, NIAGARA FALLS, NY. CAN BE REACHED THRU BUFFALO OFFICE TONIGHT. RETURNING AUG. TWENTYNINTH. ASAC WILLIAM G. ~~SIMON~~ ACTING.

L. V. BOARDMAN

END

NY R 2 WA NRB

DISC

89 SEP 2 1953

Noted in
L. V. Boardman
Sect
By

Mr. Glavin

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Mr. Tolson

DATE: 3/8/55

FROM : R. T. Harbo *RH*

~~SECRET~~

SUBJECT:

AMENDED DOCUMENTS

Best Copy Available

(CORRECTED OR REVISED REPORTS)

Classified by *1565 SDP/KSK*
Declassify on: OOR

Tolson
Boardman
Nichols
Belmont
Harbo
Mohr
Parsons
Rosen
Tamm
Trotter
Tele. Room
Holloman
Gandy

BACKGROUND: Survey conducted by Inspector H. C. Van Pelt in accordance with Director's instructions to make thorough check as to why voluminous number of errors and changes in reports, which apparently have had to be made, were never called to Director's attention, and apparently no steps were taken by either Domestic Intelligence or Investigative Divisions to fix responsibility for such errors.

FINDINGS: Review was made by the Inspector of 22 files wherein corrections in reports were in the process of being made through amended pages submitted by field. In each instance, cover communications were received from field transmitting amended pages of reports without providing Bureau with explanations or supplying recommendations regarding administrative action.

Inspector found no instance wherein field's failure to comply with existing instructions concerning submission of amended pages was brought to attention of any Assistant Director. Files reviewed by Inspector show that cover communications from field transmitting amended pages have been approved by Agent Supervisors in Domestic Intelligence and Investigative Divisions, and were forwarded by them to Records Section for handling. Recommendations being submitted separately covering responsibility of both field and SOG personnel concerning each of these 22 cases.

EXPLANATIONS OF MESSRS. BOARDMAN, BELMONT AND ROSEN.

Said explanations point out that Domestic Intelligence and Investigative Divisions have not followed existing instructions and insisted upon amended pages of reports being submitted by cover memoranda with explanations of personnel responsible and recommendations of SACs covering administrative action.

cc - Mr. Boardman, Mr. Nichols

CLASSIFIED DECISIONS FINAL

BY DEPARTMENT REVIEW COMMITTEE

DATE 4-14-93 BY 9803 RDD/KSK

Appex 91-2069 5/1/93

~~SECRET~~

Appex 91-2069

55 MAR 29 1955

Memorandum to Mr. Tolson

~~SECRET~~

necessary. Messrs. Boardman, Belmont and Rosen advised that such a procedure was followed only if the substance of the case was affected and the errors were serious enough to require administrative action. In other instances it was not felt necessary to obtain explanations from responsible employees and recommendations from SACs as to administrative action.

never authorized any exceptions. H.
Mr. Boardman stated that the voluminous number of errors made by the field shows that insufficient action was taken by Domestic Intelligence Division and Investigative Division to reduce the volume.

TYPES OF ERRORS INVOLVED

b6

There is an attached sheet identifying the errors relating to the 22 cases previously mentioned. Although Mr. Belmont stated at the Executives Conference on 3/3/55 that the 12 errors referred to his Division were of "form-type" the attached sheet shows some very serious substantive errors causing undue expense and unnecessary correspondence. An example is the Internal Security case involving [redacted] wherein the Philadelphia Office on 1/10/55; submitted three amended pages involving:

- A. Changing [redacted] statement that both she and her husband prefer to reside in the United States... changed to eliminate husband.
- B. "She further stated she could read her mother's script..." changed to insert the word "not" ... completely changing the substance.
- C. Change to delete Confidential Informant T-1 and insert instead "records of Immigration and Naturalization Service in Philadelphia."

In addition, the Seat of Government Supervisor found it necessary to amend five pages in the same report in order to remove information which would prevent dissemination of the document to other agencies.

The above situation is purely an example set forth for convenience.

~~SECRET~~

[Handwritten signatures and notes at the bottom of the page]

Memorandum to Mr. Tolson

~~SECRET~~

RECOMMENDATIONS:

1. Messrs. Boardman, Belmont and Rosen.....**STRONG CENSURE**

most certainly - agree 2/13 3/4

2. A separate memorandum will be submitted as to each of the twenty-two cases involved and each of those memoranda will describe the situation, fix responsibility and contain recommendations for action.

Right. Supervisors who have been culpable in this matter are to be censured or transferred or both.

I want Boardman, Belmont & Rosen to understand I am desperately serious in my determination to put an end to the avalanche of error in the field & at the heart of Govt. If they can't or won't carry out my orders then I shall take direct administrative action.

~~SECRET~~

Errors relating to Domestic Intelligence Division

~~SECRET~~

1. [] INTERNAL SECURITY - R

Philadelphia letter 1/10/55, contains no explanation as to why the errors were made and contains no recommendation as to administrative action; transmitted three amended pages of a report submitted 12/31/54. The errors were of substance and involved:

b6

(a) Originally [] was quoted as saying that "both she and her husband" prefer to reside in the United States.. the amended page eliminated her husband.

(b) It was initially stated "she could read her mother's script..." which was changed to "she could not read her mother's script."

(c) Delete Confidential Informant T-1 and substitute instead "records of Immigration and Naturalization Service at Philadelphia." Thereafter on 1/19/55, Supervisor [] transmitted to Philadelphia five additional amended pages; these amended pages were necessary in order to prepare the report of 12/31 for dissemination and relate to such matters as love affairs, miscarriages and abortions. Domestic Intelligence Division requested no explanation from the Philadelphia Office.

b6

2. [] INTERNAL SECURITY - YUGOSLAV

(U) Bureau letter to Newark 1/25/55, prepared by Supervisor [] Espionage Section, pointed out to Newark that report of Agent [], 1/11/55, failed to reflect that subject was questioned about travel to Yugoslavia in 1950 to attend a meeting of the Congress of Anti-Fascist Womens' Front; two elective positions in a Yugoslav newspaper; whether Yugoslav diplomatic personnel who were her acquaintances sought Security-type information from her. Bureau communication also instructed that a garbled sentence be corrected. No explanation was requested from the Newark Office; Newark submitted 9 revised pages on 2/4/55, without explanation. It was subsequently necessary to instruct Newark to make additional corrections and no explanations were requested in this instance. These were substantive weaknesses.

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~3. [REDACTED] INTERNAL SECURITY - C

Bureau letter 1/28/55 referred to incomplete documentation of report of SA Troy F. Wilder, San Francisco, 1/6/55. Said letter requested San Francisco to expedite correction so that report could be disseminated. The incomplete documentation was due to stenographic and relief supervisory failures. It caused undue expense and unnecessary correspondence.

4. [REDACTED] INTERNAL SECURITY - R

Bureau letter 1/31/55, called attention to report of SA [REDACTED], Milwaukee, 1/20/55, which indicated subject was living under name of [REDACTED]. ~~The Bureau~~ The Bureau letter instructed Milwaukee that said name be included in the title of the next report. Milwaukee letter 2/11/55, advised that SA [REDACTED] report of 1/20/55, was in error, that the name should have been [REDACTED] and amended pages were attached correcting same. No explanation of personnel responsible was submitted although additional expense and unnecessary correspondence were required.

b6

5. [REDACTED] INTERNAL SECURITY - R

Bureau letter 1/21/55, to Milwaukee called attention to another report of SA [REDACTED] dated 1/12/55, which failed to indicate whether [REDACTED] was questioned as to whether the names of [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] were known to him, as requested in New York report of SA [REDACTED] 12/21/54. Milwaukee letter 2/3/55 transmitted a corrected page to show that [REDACTED] was so questioned but advised said names are not familiar and mean nothing to him. No explanation of personnel was submitted although additional expense and unnecessary correspondence were required.

b6

6. [REDACTED] SECURITY MATTER - C

Detroit routing slip 2/15/55 furnished Bureau with amended pages of report of SA [REDACTED], Detroit, 12/13/54, to provide identity of source for T-7. It does not appear that this was an error, but to provide the Bureau with documentation not available at the time said report was submitted. Bureau instructions provide that reports are not to be delayed for this purpose.

b6

7. [REDACTED] SECURITY MATTER - C

This is similar to case described next above.

8. [REDACTED] INTERNAL SECURITY - C

This is similar to case described next above.

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~9. [REDACTED] SECURITY MATTER - C

Bureau error form received New York 1/20/55 called attention to incorrect dates in report of SA [REDACTED] New York, 6/19/53, and New York submitted amended pages by routing slip 2/2/55 to clarify same. No explanation of responsible personnel was submitted although additional expense and unnecessary correspondence were required.

10. [REDACTED] SECURITY MATTER - C

b6

Albany letter 2/15/55 enclosed two corrected pages of report of SA George P. Simpson, 9/24/54. The corrections were required to transfer a twelve-line paragraph containing personal opinions and the reasons why subject was not recommended for listing in the Security Index, from the details of said report to the administrative page. No explanation was provided although additional expense and unnecessary correspondence resulted.

11. [REDACTED] SECURITY MATTER - C

b6

New York routing slip 2/10/55 transmitted amended copies of two pages of report of SA Gerard A. Pondo, 1/26/55. This correction occurred as a result of Bureau error form sent to New York 2/4/55, to change "Thompson Hill Club, Communist Political Association, 1938 - 1945" to "Thompson Hill Branch of Communist Party, 1938 - 1944, and Thompson Hill Branch of Communist Political Association, 1944 - 1945." Said change was required in both synopsis and details. No explanation was submitted, although additional expense and unnecessary correspondence were involved.

12. [REDACTED] SECURITY MATTER - C

b6

Honolulu routing slip 2/15/55, submitted three corrected pages of report of SA Leo S. Brenneisen, 1/27/55. These corrections were requested per Bureau error form 2/2/55, because the original report (1) did not include in the details date information was obtained from Confidential Informant T-1 and (2) concluded the details with the statement "subject's Security Index card is current." No explanation was submitted despite additional expense and unnecessary correspondence required.

~~SECRET~~

b6

~~SECRET~~

Errors concerning Investigative Division. It is to be noted that in no instances were explanations requested of the field nor were recommendations as to administrative action submitted.

13. [REDACTED] ET AL; THEFT FROM
INTERSTATE SHIPMENT (15-32697)

Fugitive matter involving 20 individuals. Names listed in title followed by word "FUGITIVES." Bureau requested amended page listing "FUGITIVE" behind name of each subject so no mistake could result.

14. [REDACTED] WATER
DEPARTMENT INSTALLATION DIVISION, DEPARTMENT OF
THE AIR FORCE, KELLY AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS;
THEFT OF GOVERNMENT PROPERTY (52-65154)

b6

Title of report did not include subject's Government employee title; required to ensure proper routing and dissemination.

15. [REDACTED] WA.:
[REDACTED] BANK ROBBERY;
CONSPIRACY; ESCAPE AND RESCUE (91-7777)

b6

Investigative report contained incomplete title. Name of bank, location and date of robbery omitted by stenographer. Poor spelling and sentence structure in two parole reports - messy typing. Responsible stenographer resigned January 28, 1955.

16. [REDACTED] ASCERTAINING FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITY (93-8792)

b6

Field set out lead to determine whether subject planning to handle certain matters with Assistant United States Attorney. Bureau suggested this a matter more properly handled by AUSA. Field corrected lead to indicate AUSA being followed.

~~SECRET~~

b6

Errors concerning Inv. Div. (contd)

~~SECRET~~

17. UNKNOWN SUBJECT; LIEUTENANT (JG) [REDACTED]
- VICTIM; CRIME ON GOVERNMENT
RESERVATION - ASSAULT (70-21946)

Substantive confusion in report as to names and identity of two individuals. Determined names inadvertently interchanged during dictation. Corrected by field.

18. [REDACTED] THEFT
FROM INTERSTATE SHIPMENT (15-32498)

b6

Details of investigative report did not indicate where certain inquiry conducted. Corrected pages submitted with caption, At McKinney, Texas, set out.

19. [REDACTED] WA; [REDACTED]
WAS. - FUGITIVE;
INTERSTATE TRANSPORTATION OF STOLEN MOTOR
VEHICLE (26-189648)

Administrative page of investigative report failed to identify "T-1" mentioned in details. Discovered and corrected by field supervisor. Unnecessary correspondence resulted.

20. [REDACTED]
SELECTIVE SERVICE ACT - 1948;
CONSCIENTIOUS OBJECTOR (25-372500)

b6

Statement on last page of report regarding, Enclosures to Bureau. Pertinent words omitted; giving incorrect information.

21. [REDACTED]
DESERTER (42-113625)

b6

Copy of report designated to Kansas City, with no leads. No indication why report so designated.

22. [REDACTED] WAS. - FUGITIVE; INTERSTATE
TRANSPORTATION OF STOLEN PROPERTY; MAIL FRAUD (87-24923)

Error from field for incomplete character - synopsis incomplete - one page missing from all copies of report, indicating lack of review in field.

~~SECRET~~

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : MR. TOLSON

DATE:

February 25, 1955

FROM : L. V. Boardman

SUBJECT: CONCEALING IDENTITIES OF SOURCES OF
INFORMATION IN SECURITY OF GOVERNMENT
EMPLOYEES, APPLICANT-TYPE (EXCEPT BUREAU
APPLICANTS) AND RELATED INVESTIGATIONS

Tolson _____
 Boardman _____
 Nichols _____
 Belmont _____
 Harbo _____
 Mohr _____
 Parsons _____
 Rosen _____
 Sizoo _____
 Winterrowd _____
 Tele. Room _____
 Holloman _____
 Gandy _____

Attached are the observations of seven Bureau officials who initialed a memorandum of 2/23/55, recommending transmittal of a teletype to all SACs instructing the field to include a statement on the administrative page of SGE and applicant-type reports to the effect that careful consideration had been given to each concealed source and T symbols were utilized in the report only where essential.

b6

SAC letter 55-7(N), of 2/1/55, prepared as a consequence of the problem arising in the [] case, instructed the field that "The identities of persons which can be revealed must be revealed." There was contained in SAC letter 55-7, which was directed to the inclusion of T symbol informants and sources in all reports, no specific admonition that there should be contained on the administrative page of SGE and applicant-type reports a statement to the effect that careful consideration had been given to each source, as was contained in the proposed teletype to the field dated 2/23/55. Strict compliance with the instruction contained in SAC letter 55-7 would obviate the necessity for the administrative certification suggested in the proposed teletype. However, a requirement for such a certification in the submission of each such report will keep the matter continuously in the mind of the reporting agent. For this reason I instructed Mr. Malley to advise the members in attendance at the special school receiving instructions in the handling of SGE and applicant cases to consider this certification a requirement. I recommend that an SAC letter be sent to the field requiring a certification not only in SGE and applicant-type reports, but in all types of reports wherein security informants and sources of information have been concealed by T symbols, since the certification feature is as desirable in all types of cases as in SGE and applicant-type cases.

At the time I initialed the teletype I felt that the certification would be desirable as an administrative technique, to constitute a continuous reminder of the necessity of insuring that

Attached 100-1504
 100-1504
 100-1504

55 APR 1 1955

REC-52 2 25

all identities which could be disclosed had been disclosed in the report being submitted. After discussing the matter with you, I consider that proper reflection as to the cost of the teletype would have resulted in my disapproving the teletype and requesting that the instruction be given orally to the members of the special school, as was done after I discussed the matter with you.

OK.

S.

March 10, 1955

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

0
Mr. Leland V. Boardman
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D. C.

DECLASSIFIED BY 1565 SDP/KSR
ON 6-20-91

Dear Mr. Boardman:

I wanted to take this means of expressing my displeasure with the manner in which you and your subordinates handled certain correspondence to Mr. Warren Olney III of the Department of Justice. I have noted that a letter had been prepared to a [redacted] and a copy of this letter was to be furnished to Mr. Olney as an attachment to a memorandum to him. However, it was necessary to revise the letter to [redacted] and due to carelessness on your part in reviewing the memorandum to Mr. Olney, you did not notice that a copy of the original letter to [redacted] rather than the revised version was attached. b6

I cannot insist too strongly that you and personnel under your supervision review official correspondence with the highest degree of care and attention to detail. I shall expect, therefore, that in the future matters of this nature will be reviewed more carefully so that there will be no further occasion for me to call your attention to such negligence.

Very truly yours,

J. Edgar Hoover

John Edgar Hoover
Director

Tolson _____
Boardman _____
Nichols _____
Belmont _____
Harbo _____
Mohr _____
Parsons _____
Rosen _____
Tamm _____
 Sizoo _____
Winterrowd _____
Tele. Room _____
Holloman _____
Gandy _____

DCM:gcp
(3)

NOTE: This letter of censure is based on a memo from Mr. Boardman to Mr. Tolson, dated 3-3-55; LVB:csh.

COMM - FBI

MAR 11 1955

MAILED 20

55 MAR 24 1955